Case reports

Fluoroscopically guided percutaneous gastrostomy in patients with cystic fibrosis

R F McLoughlin, G O'Connor, J Dowsett, M X Fitzgerald, R G Gibney

Abstract
The feasibility of fluoroscopically guided percutaneous gastrostomy in patients with cystic fibrosis is presented, highlighting differences between these and other patients undergoing the procedure. (Thorax 1994;49:519–520)

Many factors affect the nutritional status of patients with cystic fibrosis.1 Undernourished patients have a poorer prognosis,2 and a recent report recommends enteral feeding in selected cases.3 While nasogastric tubes provide short term nutritional support,4 surgical enterostomy and percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy are required for long term feeding5 which improves nutritional status in patients with cystic fibrosis.6 However, these methods require general anaesthesia or significant sedation, neither of which are desirable in patients with poor respiratory function.

Fluoroscopically guided percutaneous gastrostomy (FGPG) is a safe effective method for enteric access.7 Performed under local anaesthesia, it is particularly suited to patients with poor respiratory function, although only occasional reference has been made to its use in such cases.8 We describe our experience with FGPG in patients with cystic fibrosis.

Methods
Six patients with cystic fibrosis referred for FGPG from November 1991 to May 1992 were prospectively studied. The referral criteria were body weight <80% of ideal, and failure to improve with dietary counselling and oral supplements. All patients had pancreatic insufficiency.

Before the procedure pulmonary function was optimised by chest physiotherapy. All patients fasted overnight and had a nasogastric tube inserted. During the procedure the patient lay on a fluoroscopy table. The stomach was distended with air via the nasogastric tube. An entry site in the left hypo-
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chondrium was infiltrated with local anaesthetic and the stomach was then punctured with a Jelco 16 gauge 152 mm sheathed needle (Critikon). The needle was withdrawn and a 0.097 cm Lunderquist Ring torque guidewire (Cook) was introduced through the sheath and coiled in the stomach. The tract was dilated and the gastrostomy tube then introduced over the guidewire. An 8 French pigtail nephrostomy catheter (Cook) was used. This catheter has a loop at the end which facilitates retention within the gastric lumen.

Following gastrostomy patients are normally fed by day. Using a pump, a peptide feed (Rebilan HN, Roussel) of 1000–1500 calories was delivered during the night via the gastrostomy. Patients took oral enzymes—for example, Nutrizym (E Merck Pharmaceuticals) 4–8 capsules—before and after each feed.

Results
There were four men and two women of mean age 26.6 years. The nutritional and respiratory status of the patients is outlined in table 1.

Tube placement was successful in all patients, low dose sedation being necessary in four cases. After the procedure five patients experienced pain at the gastrostomy site which required narcotic analgesia. Satisfactory feeding rates (60–175 ml/hour) were attained in all tubes. Two patients subsequently required tube replacement for dislodgement and leakage respectively. Other problems included a case of gastro-oesophageal reflux which resolved spontaneously, and a gastrostomy site infection which responded to antibiotic therapy. Meconium ileus equivalent developed two days after the procedure in two patients (in whom there was a prompt response to treatment) and after two months in a third (in whom the response to treatment was poorer).

Four of the patients died of their underlying condition within 111 days of FGPG. In all cases tube function was maintained until the time of death or review, representing an average follow up of 20.8 weeks.

Details of nutritional and respiratory status before, at the time of, and after the procedure are outlined in table 2.

Discussion
In this small series we established and maintained a functioning gastrostomy tube in all cases until the time of review or death. No major complications were encountered, and the incidence of minor complications such as tube dislodgement, leakage, and infection is similar to that among our other gastrostomy cases and following surgical enterostomy in patients with cystic fibrosis.8,9 However, a number of differences were noted between this group and other patients in whom we have performed FGPG.10 Firstly,
Abbreviations as gastrostomy, and (kg) Weight 422-9iM 520 FVC FEVy 37 and after * p 5 3 IBW % FVC = forced vital capacity.

Table 2 Mean ( SD ) nutritional and pulmonary status one year before, at time of, and after gastrostomy – that is, at time of death or final review ( on average 20-8 weeks after gastrostomy )

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>% IBW</th>
<th>Albumin (g/l)</th>
<th>FEV1 (% predicted)</th>
<th>FVC (% predicted)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28-5 F</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>29-5 M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16-3 M</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22-9 M</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>24-0 M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>37-4 F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p refers to the significance of differences between the mean values before and at the time of gastrostomy, and at time of and after gastrostomy ( paired Student’s t test ).

Abbreviations as in table 1.

Sedation is usually unnecessary, yet over half of the patients with cystic fibrosis required low dose sedation during the procedure. This is understandable as other gastrostomy candidates are often less responsive ( for example, after cerebrovascular accident ). Secondly, pain at the site of the gastrostomy, often severe, is more common among patients with cystic fibrosis. This may be related to coughing associated with underlying lung disease. Finally, meconium ileus equivalent developed after gastrostomy in three of our patients. This may have been precipitated by gastrostomy tube insertion, change in diet to a peptide feed, or inappropriate pancreatic enzyme supplementation. It is therefore important to start enzyme supplementation immediately after the procedure, and it may be worth treating patients with significant faecal accumulation on plain radiography with Gastrografin before FGPG. The above findings have not been reported following gastrostomy by other methods in patients with cystic fibrosis. 1-3

We have documented the nutritional and pulmonary status after the procedure for completeness, but our numbers are too small to be meaningful.

In conclusion, our results show the feasibility of enteral feeding by FGPG in patients with cystic fibrosis. This, in our opinion, is the procedure of choice for long term enteral feeding in these patients, particularly those with advanced disease. We also highlight differences between patients with cystic fibrosis and others undergoing FGPG.
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