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Abstract

Background – Whilst many recent reports have suggested a rise in the prevalence of asthma and allergic disease in Western countries, little is known about the epidemiology of these common conditions in south-east Asia. This study compared the prevalence of asthma and allergic disease amongst secondary school students in three south-east Asian populations – Hong Kong, Kota Kinabalu in Malaysia, and San Bu in China – and investigated the associations with atopy and family history.

Methods – Secondary school students were given standard questionnaires on respiratory and allergic symptoms for completion by parents with response rates of 89-2% in Hong Kong (611 male, 451 female; mean (SD) age = 13.9 (1.5 years), 87-6% in Kota Kinabalu (134 male, 275 female; 15-5 (2-1) years), and 98-6% in San Bu (492 male, 245 female; 16-4 (1-8) years). Skin tests were performed in a subsample of students to determine atopic status.

Results – The respective prevalence (and 95% CI) for hay fever, asthma, and allergic symptoms was 15.7% (13.5–17.9), 20.1% (17.7–22.5), 11.6% (9.3–13.9) in Hong Kong, 11.2% (8.2–14.3), 7.6% (5.0–10.1), 8.2% (5.5–10.9) in Kota Kinabalu, and 2.1% (1.2–3.1), 7.2% (5.4–9.1), 1.9% (0.7–3.1) in San Bu. Asthma was common and was present in 49-0–63-9% of subjects in the three populations. Dust mite and cockroach were the commonest allergens that gave positive reactions in 42.8–60.5% and 25.7–35.9% of students respectively. A higher proportion of students in Hong Kong had severe degree of reactivity on skin test than the other two populations. Family history was associated with asthma and allergic symptoms in the three populations conferring a 3–80-fold increase in risk to family members and was a stronger predictor for asthma and allergy than atopy.

Conclusions – Prevalence of asthma and allergic disease is low compared with Western countries, but considerable differences exist between the three south-east Asian populations despite similar rates of atopy. Asthma and allergic disease are more strongly associated with family history than atopy, which suggests that genetic and environmental factors common to the family, other than aeroallergen sensitisation, are important in the pathogenesis of asthma and allergy in the region.

The prevalence of a history of wheeze and asthma in children ranges from 11.9% to 23.1% in Western countries.1–3 Recent studies have shown that the prevalence of asthma has been increasing in both children3–5 and adults.6 Some of the reasons proposed for the increase in asthma prevalence include heightened community awareness of asthma symptoms, a change in diagnostic labelling patterns amongst health professionals, and increase in the severity of illness amongst the same number of asthmatics thus enhancing awareness of symptoms. The parallel increase in the prevalence of hay fever6 and eczema7 suggests a more broadly based change in reactivity, possibly due to increased exposure to allergens and other environmental factors.

There are a few studies on the prevalence of asthma and allergic disease in Asian Pacific countries, but the available data suggest these conditions are much less common in the Western countries.7–9 A recent report by Leung and Jenkins based on the current study showed that the prevalence of respiratory and allergic symptoms was low in Chinese school students despite a high prevalence of atopy.10 Nevertheless, an increase in asthma prevalence in the region has also been reported over the last two decades.11 The lack of uniformity in methodology and a reliable “gold standard” for defining asthma makes it difficult to compare prevalence data between studies. We have examined the prevalence of asthma and allergic disease in school students in three separate south-east Asian populations using identical methodology, and have investigated the associations with sensitisation to environmental aeroallergens and family history.

Methods

Permission was obtained from local authorities to conduct the study in the region and a number of secondary schools in each population were assigned to the study. Within each school every second class in each grade was selected and all students in the selected classes were enrolled for the study. All students were of ethnic Chinese background (in Malaysia students of Malay and Indian extract were excluded). The geographical locations of the three study populations are shown in the figure. Hong Kong is a metropolitan city with a population of six million and has a subtropical climate. San Bu has a population of 144 000 and is situated 140 km south west of Guangzhou, the provincial capital of Guangdong, and 200 km west of Hong Kong. It has a similar climate to
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Hong Kong. Kota Kinabalu is situated on the northern coast of the Island of Borneo and has a tropical climate with a population of 250 000. The study was performed between April and June 1992 with a temperature range of 25–28°C and relative humidity of 70–90%.

**Questionnaire**

Questions on respiratory and allergic symptoms were from standard respiratory questionnaires.11,12 These were appropriately translated into Chinese and the Chinese version of the questionnaire has previously been used in epidemiological studies in the region.13,14 Hayfever or seasonal allergic rhinitis was defined as itchy, runny nose associated with paroxysms of sneezing and itchy, runny eyes in spring and summer. Eczema was defined as an itchy, red rash in the crease of elbows and bends of knees. Wheezing was defined as a whistling noise in the chest on breathing. The presence of asthma was indicated by an affirmative response to the question: “Have you ever had asthma?” A history of wheeze or asthma ever was used as a more accurate measure of the “asthma syndrome”.

**SKIN TEST**

In Kota Kinabalu and San Bu all questionnaire respondents were asked to undergo a skin test but only half of the respondents in Hong Kong were randomly selected for the test. Skin tests were performed according to Pepsy’s method15 using histamine (10 mg/ml) and normal saline as positive and negative controls respectively. Nine common aeroallergens were used which were grouped into five allergen groups: (1) pollen – ryegrass (Lolium perenne), couch grass (Cynosurus dactylon), seven grass mix (Kentucky blue, orchard, reedtop, timothy, sweet vernal, meadow fescue, perennial rye; (2) dust mite (Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus); (3) mould (mixture of Alternaria, Aspergillus, Hormodendrum, and Penicillium); (4) cockroach (mixture of American, German and Oriental spp); (5) animal dander (cat, dog, and rat hair). Weals of 3 mm or greater were regarded as positive in the absence of a reaction to normal saline and subjects with one or more positive reactions were considered atopic. Each subject was assigned a reactivity index according to both the number and the size of the positive skin test reaction as described by Peat et al.16 This integer index was the sum of the number of the five allergen groups that gave a positive reaction plus the size of the largest reaction (1 for <4 mm, 2 for ≥4 mm). Non-atopic subjects had a reactivity index of zero by definition and, for the purpose of simplicity, an index of 2 and 3 was defined as mild reactivity, 4 or 5 as moderate reactivity, and 6 or 7 as severe reactivity.

**Statistical Analysis**

The statistical package Epi-Info was used to categorise and analyse the data.17 \( \chi^2 \) for trend in proportion was used to compare the prevalence of symptoms and degree of reactivity between the three populations. Relationships between asthma and allergic disease and explanatory variables – age, sex, atopic status, and family history (at least one parent and/or sibling) – were analysed by multiple logistic regression using the statistical package EGRET.18 Age was treated as a categorical variable (11–13 years, 14–16 years, and 17–20 years) with the 11–13 year group as the baseline. All other explanatory variables were treated as binary factors, the baseline corresponding to being unaffected (or being female for the sex variable). Estimates of odds ratios, standard errors, and confidence intervals were based on asymptotic likelihood theory. A significance level of p<0.05 was used.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the response to the questionnaire. The response rates were satisfactory in all three study populations with an overall rate of 90%. There were twice as many boys as girls in San Bu, whereas the opposite sex distribution was seen in Kota Kinabalu. Subjects in San Bu were older than those in Kota Kinabalu and Hong Kong and the difference in mean age was significant (p<0.01, Kruskal-
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Table 2 Skin test reactivity in the three study populations. Values are percentage (95% CI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hong Kong (n = 471)</th>
<th>Kota Kinabalu (n = 321)</th>
<th>San Bu (n = 667)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pollen</strong></td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>78.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grass mix</strong></td>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>2-8</td>
<td>3-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rye</strong></td>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>4-1</td>
<td>4-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Couch</strong></td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>16-3</td>
<td>3-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mite</strong></td>
<td>55-5</td>
<td>60-5</td>
<td>42-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mould</strong></td>
<td>23-4</td>
<td>8-2</td>
<td>2-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cockroach</strong></td>
<td>25-7</td>
<td>30-7</td>
<td>35-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Animal</strong></td>
<td>10-4</td>
<td>3-1</td>
<td>0-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cat hair</strong></td>
<td>2-7</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>0-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dog hair</strong></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>0-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rat epidermis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allergen groups</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pollen</strong></td>
<td>64 (4.2, 9.6)</td>
<td>172 (13.1, 21.3)</td>
<td>5-6 (3-8, 7-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mite</strong></td>
<td>55-5 (51-0, 60-0)</td>
<td>60-5 (55-2, 65-8)</td>
<td>42-8 (39-0, 46-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mould</strong></td>
<td>23-4 (19-6, 27-2)</td>
<td>8-2 (5-2, 11-2)</td>
<td>2-0 (0-9, 3-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cockroach</strong></td>
<td>25-7 (21-8, 29-6)</td>
<td>30-7 (25-7, 35-7)</td>
<td>35-9 (32-2, 39-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Animal</strong></td>
<td>12-6 (9-6, 16-0)</td>
<td>9-1 (6-0, 12-2)</td>
<td>1-9 (0-8, 3-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atopy</strong></td>
<td>63-9 (58-6, 69-2)</td>
<td>49-0 (45-2, 52-9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Relation between asthma and allergic symptoms and selected risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Atopic status</th>
<th>Family history</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atopic (%)</td>
<td>Non-atopic (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayfever</td>
<td>23-6</td>
<td>10-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>14-2</td>
<td>5-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kota Kinabalu</td>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>1-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bu</td>
<td>17-0</td>
<td>5-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezing or asthma</td>
<td>9-3</td>
<td>1-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>3-2</td>
<td>0-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kota Kinabalu</td>
<td>6-0</td>
<td>0-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bu</td>
<td>22-5</td>
<td>21-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eczema</td>
<td>7-4</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>10-7</td>
<td>8-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kota Kinabalu</td>
<td>51-3</td>
<td>50-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bu</td>
<td>39-3</td>
<td>42-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted for age, sex, atopic status, and family history of the symptom of interest.

Over 85% of atopic students had a mild or moderate degree of reactivity in all three populations, but the proportion of those with severe reactivity was significantly higher in Hong Kong (14-4%) than in Kota Kinabalu (10-3%) or San Bu (2-5%) (p<0-001 for trend in proportion).

Family history was found to be a very strong predictor for hayfever, eczema, and wheeze or asthma ever in all three populations. Table 3 shows that subjects with a family history of asthma and allergy were 3–5 times more likely to have similar symptoms themselves than those with a negative family history. Familial aggregation of asthma and allergic symptoms was particularly evident in San Bu where family history conferred a 17–75-fold increase in risk of similar symptoms in the students. In contrast, atopy was not significantly associated with any allergic symptoms in San Bu. Atopy predicted a history of hayfever and wheeze or asthma ever but not eczema in Kota Kinabalu and Hong Kong.

Discussion

It is difficult to compare disease prevalence between different populations if there is no uniformity of methodology. We have attempted to overcome this problem by estimating the prevalence of asthma, allergic disease, and atopy in secondary school students of similar age in Hong Kong, Kota Kinabalu, and San Bu by using identical questionnaire and skin test reagents. Although there is no gold standard for defining asthma, standard respiratory questionnaires have provided a useful estimation of asthma prevalence in the past. Bronchial hyperresponsiveness was not tested because it was logistically prohibitive in China and Malaysia and, although it would provide an objective measure supportive of the diagnosis of asthma, it lacks both sensitivity and specificity.

Secondary school students were chosen for the study as most children of this age group are expected to be attending schools. The number not at school because of debilitating asthma and allergic disease is likely to be small. Because subjects were sampled in their class groups in the assigned schools and not randomly in the communities, it is possible that the standard errors of estimates could be undervalued if no adjustment for cluster sampling was made. However, as the total number of students at-
tending secondary schools in each of the three target populations is not known, it was not possible to make adjustment for cluster sampling and confidence intervals were calculated as if simple random sampling was employed.

There were more boys in San Bu and more girls in Kota Kinabalu in the study. The difference in sex ratio was unlikely to be caused by bias in response as participation rates were high in both populations. In China the enrolment rate for secondary school was 1·6 times higher in boys than girls. It is possible that in rural areas such as San Bu boys are even more likely to receive higher education than girls, a traditional Chinese value which is still widely held. On the other hand, it is equally possible that Chinese parents in Kota Kinabalu are more inclined to send their daughters to Chinese schools so that the cultural and ethnic values could be passed down through future mothers, whilst their sons receive English and Malay education that will provide more opportunities for tertiary education and future employment. The difference in the age of students between populations could be related to earlier age of school entry in Hong Kong than China where 25% of school enrolment was outside the recommended age range.21 Because of the age and sex difference between populations, the prevalence data were adjusted for these two variables. In the logistic regression analysis in table 3, age and sex were treated as potential confounders but no significant confounding was found in many instances.

The prevalence of asthma and allergic disease was low in the three south-east Asian populations compared with the United Kingdom and Australia. On the other hand, the prevalence of atopy and dust mite allergy was similar between the three study populations and comparable to that in Western countries.22,23 Despite similar atopy rates, considerable differences in prevalence of asthma and allergic disease were found between the three study populations.

There are immense differences in the socioeconomic profiles between Hong Kong, Malaysia, and China as shown in table 4. In China the parents of many of the students originated from rural areas and farming communities where the literacy rate is low and they may have had difficulty in comprehending the questionnaires. The belief in traditional and herbal medicine is widespread amongst many Chinese families and terms such as “wheeze” or “asthma” may not be used by local practitioners. Moreover, it is recognised that the Chinese believe that chronic illnesses exert global effects on physical and spiritual aspects of the present as well as future life; this concept could possibly result in denial of symptoms and different diagnostic labels may be more acceptable to the parents.24 In contrast, Hong Kong has a westernised lifestyle and a higher standard of living and education. The community as a whole is likely to be more informed and therefore more aware of asthma and allergy symptoms in China. Zhong et al studied 3067 students aged 11–17 years in rural and urban Guangdong, a short distance from San Bu, using questionnaire, skin test and bronchial hyperreactivity assessment, and found an asthma prevalence of 2·4%. Previous studies in Hong Kong have shown that asthma was present in 7–3–10% of schoolchildren between the age of seven and 15 years.12,25 These prevalence estimates are consistent with our results, indicating that other explanations besides awareness of symptoms are needed for the difference in prevalence between the three south-east Asian populations.

Exposure to high levels of air pollutants including sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, and suspended particulates has long been implicated as a possible cause of respiratory morbidity.26–29 Whilst the concentrations of these pollutants in the atmosphere have been under more stringent control in many developed countries in recent years, the prevalence of asthma and allergic rhinitis has not, in fact, shown a reducing trend but has risen to a higher level. In contrast, many developing countries in Asia are generally more polluted and yet retain a low prevalence of these conditions. Data from the United Nation Environmental Program showed that the annual mean level of ambient sulphur dioxide concentration was 0·012 (0·002–0·035) ppm for Hong Kong and 0·006 (0·001–0·015) ppm for Kuala Lumpur between 1973 and 1980, and was 0·024 ppm for Guangzhou between 1982 and 1984.28,29 There is no available information on atmospheric pollution for Kota Kinabalu and San Bu which, being less populated with light industrial activities than Kuala Lumpur and Guangzhou respectively, would be expected to have lower levels of sulphur dioxide and other ambient pollutants in the atmosphere. The upper level of ambient sulphur dioxide concentration recommended by WHO is 0·017 ppm and, ironically, heavily polluted areas such as Guangzhou has one of the lowest prevalences of childhood asthma in the world.8

Passive smoking increases the risk of childhood asthma,30 the frequency of asthmatic attacks,31 and its adverse effect is dose-related.30 Decrease in asthma severity with cessation of maternal smoking has been documented in many communities where the maternal smoking rate is low and may have had difficulty in comprehending the questionnaires.
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Asthma, and in three strong disease factors are hypothesised strong, in three countries.4546 Despite family reactivity, the prevalence of asthma and allergic disease was the lowest in the three populations suggesting that the adverse effect of cigarette smoke could be counterbalanced by other more significant protective factors.

House dust mite is the most important indoor allergen which has been associated with asthma and bronchial hyperreactivity in many parts of the world including south-east Asia.37-39 Many homes in South China and Hong Kong38 are heavily infested with dust mite40 and repeated exposure to mite allergens is important for the clinical expression of asthma in mite sensitive individuals.41 Cockroach hypersensitivity has been recognised as a risk factor for asthma, particularly amongst lower socioeconomic groups in crowded multi-family dwellings.42 Dust mite and cockroach sensitivity was common and the rate of sensitisation to these allergens was comparable between the three populations, suggesting that the disagreement in the prevalence of asthma and allergic disease could not be adequately explained by specific aeroallergen sensitisation. We used pollen extracts of cool temperate grasses in skin testing but, despite being common causes of hayfever elsewhere, these grasses are only found in small quantities in parts of south-east Asia with a subtropical climate. The low prevalence of pollen sensitivity and hayfever in the three populations is likely to be related to limited exposure to grass pollen. Indeed, hayfever in China has been linked to other pollens including castor bean, plane tree, and sage.43

Students in Hong Kong had a more severe degree of reactivity and higher prevalence of asthma and allergic disease than students in Kota Kinabalu and San Bu. The degree of reactivity has previously been associated with the occurrence of respiratory symptoms44 and severity of both asthma45 and bronchial hyperresponsiveness.14 15 We found a close association between the relative odds for wheeze and asthma and the degree of reactivity, but such relationship was seen in all three populations (data not shown), implying that the difference in prevalence between the three populations could not be explained by the degree of reactivity to common inhalant allergens.

Family history was the factor with the strongest association with asthma and allergic symptoms, conferring a 3-80-fold increase in risk to family members which is significantly higher than the 2-3-fold increase found for Western countries.46 46 Despite the familial tendency the prevalence is low, indicating that any hypothesised factors common to the family, although strong, are relatively rare. The basis for the strong association between family members of aetiological factors for asthma and allergic disease in the three south-east Asian populations is unclear. A possible reason for this familial association is reporting bias which may be amplified in uncommon conditions. As questionnaires were completed by the parents, it is possible that the parents’ report of asthma and allergy in the students was biased by their own history. Unfortunately the design of our study did not allow an assessment of the extent of false reporting. Alternatively, common genetic and/or environmental factors in the family could interact in a complex manner to initiate clinical asthma and allergy. The inheritance of IgE responsiveness has been linked to the gene locus of chromosome 11q13 but this has not been found amongst Chinese populations.47 Although HLA-BW61 haplotype has been associated with a 6-6 times risk for asthma in Northern Chinese in one study,48 others found no evidence of HLA involvement in the expression of clinical asthma.49 50 Recent twin studies showed that 40-50% of the variation of the liability for asthma and hayfever cannot be accounted for by genetic factors, suggesting the importance of environmental factors in determining the occurrence of these conditions.51 The pathogenetic role of environmental adjuvants including susceptibility to certain viral infections, the indoor microclimate that may facilitate the growth of dust mite and other indoor allergens, dietary factors, and others is unknown and deserves further investigation.

We found that the prevalence of asthma and allergic disease in school students is low but is different between Hong Kong, Kota Kinabalu and San Bu only in three populations. The difference in prevalence could not be solely explained by difference in sensitisation to aeroallergens and awareness of symptoms. The three populations differ in many aspects of socioeconomic profiles and these characteristics may be important and need to be explored further in future comparative studies. Family history is the strongest predictor for asthma and allergic disease in the students, suggesting the existence of important pathogenetic factors that are common to the family.

32 Murray AB, Morrison BJ. The decrease in severity of asthma in children of parents who smoke since the parents have been exposing them to less cigarette smoke. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1993;91:101-10.
41 Sporik R, Platts-Mills TAE, Coghills J. Exposure and sensitization to children admitted to hospital with asthma to house dust mite allergen (Der p II). J Allergy Clin Immunol 1991;87:1,291.
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