Tracheal paraganglioma
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Abstract
The trachea is an unusual site for paragangliomas, only four cases having been documented previously. A fifth case is presented here, together with immunohistochemical evidence that the tumour is biologically benign.

(Thorax 1993; 48: 866–867)

Case history
A 55 year old woman presented with a 12 month history of intermittent haemoptysis. During this time chest radiographs showed only transient abnormality—right lower lobe collapse—which cleared after expectoration of some blood clot. Two attempts at fibreoptic bronchoscopy failed before she proceeded to bronchoscopy under general anaesthesia. At bronchoscopy a vascular, polypoid tumour, 3 cm in diameter, was seen arising from a broad base from the anterior wall of the trachea just proximal to the carina. A biopsy specimen of the tumour was taken, accompanied by brisk haemorrhage, and the tumour was later removed by a segmental tracheal resection through a right thoracotomy. Postoperative recovery was uneventful and there has been no recurrence 12 months after resection of the tumour.

Pathological findings
The resection specimen consisted of a segment of trachea which contained a fleshy, sessile tumour arising from the anterior wall. Blocks from the tumour were fixed in 10% formal saline, processed, embedded in paraffin wax, and 5 μm sections were cut.

The sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin and reticulin.

Immunohistochemical examination was also performed using the avidin–biotin immunoperoxidase technique with antibodies to high (LP 34) and low (CAM 5-2) molecular weight keratins, S100 protein, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), neurone specific enolase (NSE), chromogranin, and synaptophysin.

The histological appearance was of a well circumscribed, unencapsulated tumour composed of nests of uniform tumour cells within the submucosa of the trachea, beneath ciliated columnar respiratory epithelium. The nests contained chief cells (type 1) with pale granular, eosinophilic cytoplasm, mildly pleomorphic nuclei with inconspicuous nucleoli and sustentacular cells (type 2) at the periphery (fig 1). The latter were elongated and possessed scanty cytoplasm. There was no evidence of mitotic activity, necrosis, or vascular invasion. Anteriorly the tumour was pushing between the rings of tracheal cartilage into the pretracheal connective tissues. Reticulin stain highlighted the nesting pattern of the tumour.

Immunohistochemical examination showed strong cytoplasmic reactivity in the chief cells for NSE, chromogranin, and synaptophysin. Sustentacular cells stained positively for both S100 and GFAP (fig 2). Both type 1 and type 2 cells were negative for high and low molecular weight keratin.

Discussion
Tracheal paragangliomas are rare neoplasms and only four cases have been reported previously.1 The origin of these tumours is unclear, origination from true tracheal or ectopic paraganglial tissue having both been postulated.2 The finding of paraganglia in normal human trachea validates the plausibility of the former suggestion.3 Laryngeal paragangliomas, which may be supraglottic or subglottic, are also rare but rather more common than tracheal tumours. Their origin...
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from either supraglottic and subglottic laryngeal paraganglia is not disputed.

In one of the previously reported cases of tracheal paraganglioma massive bleeding accompanied surgical removal and the patient died perioperatively. Although this was a large tumour extending from vocal cord to carina, there was no evidence of malignancy. The three other cases have behaved in a benign fashion. Evidence has recently been collected that the presence of sustentacular cells positive for S100 and GFAP is a marker for a benign lesion and, specifically, that they are not found in malignant lesions. It has also been shown that GFAP positive cells are found only in parasympathetic paragangliomas.

The immunohistochemical findings in this case confirmed that the tumour was a paraganglioma derived from parasympathetic paraganglia and suggest that benign clinical behaviour is to be expected.
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Abstract

Two cases of pancreaticopleural fistula are reported. The delay in diagnosis and extensive investigations performed highlight the need for pleural fluid amylase estimation at an early stage.

(Thorax 1993;48:867-868)

Pancreaticopleural fistula is an uncommon cause of large, recurrent, predominantly left sided pleural effusions. When the underlying pancreatic disease is asymptomatic the diagnosis may not be considered and, as a consequence, extensive unnecessary investigations may ensue. We report two cases in which long delays in diagnosis (four and 13 months) of the pancreaticopleural fistula occurred. These cases emphasise the importance of considering the diagnosis and of estimating the pleural amylase content.

Case 1

A 50 year old man presented to another hospital with exertional dyspnoea and a four month history of weight loss. There was no previous history to suggest pancreatic disease but he drank 40 units of alcohol per week. Examination indicated a large left sided pleural effusion which was confirmed by chest radiography. The pleural fluid had a protein content of 87 g/l and both cytological and microbiological investigations were negative. The pleural biopsy specimen was normal, as were the results of bronchosopic examination. A computed tomographic (CT) scan of the chest revealed thickening of the left hemidiaphragm, and a CT scan of the abdomen revealed left para-aortic lymphadenopathy. Ultrasound guided biopsy of the lymph nodes showed reactive changes only. A bone marrow aspirate and trephine sample were normal.
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