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The last 20 years of respiratory medical practice have seen many changes. As other sub-specialties such as oncology, infectious diseases, allergy/immunology, intensive care, and occupational medicine increasingly make inroads into the traditional areas of respiratory practice, new fields requiring the expertise of the respiratory physician have developed. Arguably the dominant examples of these are disorders of breathing in sleep. Until recently terms such as “REM sleep,” “apnoea index,” and “nasal CPAP” were foreign to most people working in respiratory medicine. The parallel development of methods of measuring ventilation and blood gas levels non-invasively with the standardised monitoring of sleep has led to a recognition that sleep disorders—particularly abnormal breathing during sleep—are both causes and contributors to a broad spectrum of clinical morbidity and mortality.

While researchers and clinicians working in the field agree on the importance of accurate diagnosis and appropriate treatment for patients with sleep breathing disorders, there is considerable disparity in the availability of investigation and treatment for such patients in different countries. In the USA it has been estimated that there is more than one sleep laboratory per 250 000 people; in Australia there is at least one per 1 000 000, but in the UK the ratio is much lower. While these differences in the availability of facilities may reflect a variation in health care expenditure or structure, it is likely that they also reflect a divergence in the relative importance with which health planners and policy makers view disorders of breathing in sleep. To provide a detailed review of recent advances in the understanding of sleep and breathing disorders requires a monograph. The purpose of this review is to assess some recent developments in the most common sleep breathing disorder—obstructive sleep apnoea—with particular emphasis on recent data on diagnosis, prevalence, predisposing factors, clinical sequelae, and treatment in the adult patient.

Diagnosis and prevalence

**WHAT IS OBSTRUCTIVE SLEEP APNOEA?**

One of the key problems in recognising the importance of obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) is the lack of a clearly agreed definition. While an apnoea is agreed upon as a cessation of breathing for 10 or more seconds, there is a wide range in the frequency of such events during sleep; how this frequency (or “respiratory disturbance index”) correlates with disease severity and incidence continues to be debated. Most researchers use a working definition of five apnoeas per hour to define sleep disordered breathing but this is by no means consistent in the literature. This definition was developed at a time when OSA was thought to be a rare disorder and these arbitrary cut offs allowed researchers to communicate in a common “language”—an important consideration in reviewing any literature on this subject. As OSA is increasingly recognised as a common disorder such definitions need to be reconsidered.

Most clinicians recognise OSA as a disorder characterised by repetitive apnoeas, loud snoring, and excessive daytime sleepiness. However, in OSA the patient is often the last to realise the extent of the mental and physical effects of the disorder. Recent studies have shown that some forms of OSA may occur without the presence of snoring or apnoeas but with obvious clinical effects. Similarly, excessive daytime sleepiness may not occur but instead the clinical picture may mimic an anxiety state, especially in women. Adult criteria for OSA may also not be appropriate in children. It is important that the clinical definition of OSA is kept flexible. The individual with one or two apnoeas per hour, oxygen desaturation to 60–70%, and impaired arousal reflexes due to autonomic neuropathy is far more vulnerable to the consequences of their OSA than a healthy, asymptomatic 75 year old with 15 apnoeas per hour. The epidemiologist or health administrator may wish for a more rigid definition of OSA, but until we have a better understanding of the exact “dose” of OSA that produces a specific “clinical effect” it is better to avoid such rigidity.

**EPIEDEMOLOGY**

Epidemiological studies in OSA fall into three categories: firstly, studies based solely on questionnaire data about habitual snoring, or a history of witnessed apnoeas, or both; secondly, studies in which questionnaires are validated by full polysomnographic sleep studies or nocturnal respiration monitoring in
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Recent epidemiological studies in obstructive sleep apnoea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gislason, Sweden</td>
<td>3201</td>
<td>30–69</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.7–1.9% (RDI &gt;10)</td>
<td>Men only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirignotta, Italy</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>30–69</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.7% (RDI &gt;10)</td>
<td>RDI &gt;10, men only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stradling, UK</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>35–65</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>5% (men)</td>
<td>Oximeter dips per hour &gt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearpark, Australia</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>40–65</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>10% men, 7% women</td>
<td>MESAM 4 recorder calculated RDI &gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young, USA</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>30–60</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>7.8% men, 2.3% women</td>
<td>RDI &gt;10 on full sleep studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennum, Denmark</td>
<td>1504</td>
<td>30–60</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>10–9% men and 6–3% women had RDI &gt;5</td>
<td>Inductive plethysmography to screen 50% of study population</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RDI—respiratory disturbance index (events/hour); study type B—questionnaire with full sleep studies in a subgroup of sleep apnoea positive replies; study type C—overnight screening or sleep studies in entire group.

a random or selected subpopulation; finally, studies where all or most patients undergo full sleep studies or nocturnal respiratory monitoring. In the past five years studies of the latter two types (some ongoing) have shown that OSA is a common finding (table) but there is a wide range (1–9%) in the reported prevalence of OSA. These differences may reflect disparity in methodologies, population differences in obesity and alcohol consumption, or even genetic variability. For example, the percentage of the population with heavy snoring doubles when the bed partner contributes to the questionnaire (fig 1). Some questionnaire data also do not seem to correlate highly with actual respiratory monitoring. The high prevalence in some studies does not correspond with a high frequency of such symptoms as hypersomnolence. For example, Jennum and Soul reported that 10–9% of men aged 30–60 years had OSA (more than five apnoeas/hour) but only 1–9% complained of hypersomnolence. In our own epidemiological studies in Busselton, a Western Australian rural community, 10% of men aged 40–65 years had more than 10 apnoeas per hour. This study used a well validated ambulatory monitoring system (the MESAM 4 recorder) which measures oxygen saturation, snoring, heart rate, and body position. It is important, however, to confirm whether this high prevalence is accompanied by a high prevalence of symptomatology and clinical consequences. It is clear that epidemiological information will need to be more sophisticated to allow a better understanding of the relationship between sleep apnoea and clinical effects. Even a conservative view of the more recent OSA epidemiological studies, however, would suggest a potentially huge investigative and therapeutic load for health care systems.

**SYMPTOMS AND HISTORY TAKING: CAN CLINICAL ASSESSMENT PREDICT SLEEP APNOEA?**

If OSA is as common as epidemiological evidence suggests, it is important that simple methods of diagnosis are available. Obviously the simplest potential method of diagnosis would be by history and physical examination. The symptoms associated with OSA are many and varied and may include nocturnal choking attacks, morning headaches, gastroesophageal reflux, nocturia, impotence, poor memory and concentration, and alteration in mood. However, those considered to be “key” or “major” symptoms are snoring, apnoeas witnessed by bed partners, and excessive daytime somnolence.

The predictive power of these key symptoms has been examined in an Australian study. Apnoeas observed by a bed partner, with a lesser contribution from coexisting hypertension, body mass index, and age, produce a predictive model with high sensitivity but only moderate specificity. Other workers have found that these “key symptoms” explained only 36% of the variability in apnoea index. The authors suggested that the presence of key symptoms did not obviate the need for a properly performed diagnostic sleep study. Additional data provided by such measurements as neck circumference may help predict OSA, but not to the extent of replacing sleep studies.

The nature of these symptoms also emphasises the importance of obtaining a history from the spouse, bed partner, and other family members in the proper assessment of the patient with OSA. Unless they are told few, if any, patients are aware that they snore or stop breathing during sleep, yet this concerns many bed partners to the point where they initiate the medical review. Excessive sleepiness may be recognised by the patient but often, for social or other reasons, this too may be denied by the sufferer of OSA—again
underlining the critical importance of confirmatory history from a family member, friend, or workmate.

INVESTIGATION OF OSA: DO WE NEED TO MEASURE SLEEP?

Under ideal circumstances a full sleep study is the most appropriate investigation for assessing OSA. It allows accurate quantification of breathing events and provides information on sleep fragmentation and arousals which may be just as important in producing clinical effects as the respiratory events. However, such studies are expensive and, if current epidemiological surveys are accurate, full sleep studies for all suspected cases of OSA will be beyond the reach of health care systems. A recent EEC consensus report suggested that full sleep studies may not be appropriate for highly probable cases of OSA. A recent prospective study from Scotland compared the relative value of sleep and respiratory monitoring in the assessment of OSA. The authors concluded that sleep monitoring was not necessary in the assessment of patients suspected of having OSA and that respiratory measurements, particularly inductive plethysmography, were adequate. Oximetry alone was of limited value. Whether the findings of such a study could be extrapolated to home studies (where real cost savings would occur), interpreted by less experienced physicians, is not known. In contrast to the previous study, Gyulay et al. found that home oximetry was a sensitive screening test for OSA but specificity was low. There is now a plethora of devices available for sleep apnoea “screening” but their reliability and cost-benefit need to be proven, particularly for home use. If the desired end point is correct diagnosis of OSA and exclusion of other sleep disorders plus successful treatment of OSA in appropriate cases, how much is saved by screening studies? A key issue is the sophistication of the physician interpreting data; this has not been assessed in studies of sleep apnoea screening.

Predisposing factors

A number of conditions or associations will predispose an individual to OSA. Only recent data in this area will be reviewed and the reader will be referred to more detailed reviews where appropriate.

FAMILIAL

Sleep apnoea aggregates in families. The risk of having OSA increases progressively with increasing numbers of affected relatives and this risk is independent of age, obesity, and alcohol consumption. Such risk may be the result of similarities in facial structure affecting upper airway dynamics in sleep.

ALCOHOL

Acute alcohol ingestion promotes the development of apnoea during later sleep. Some studies have suggested that lifetime alcohol consumption may be a risk factor for the development of OSA, particularly if accompanied by respiratory failure. Other studies have failed to find a link between lifetime alcohol consumption and OSA.

OBESITY

Most patients with OSA are overweight, but the relationship between sleep apnoea and obesity is controversial. Studies employing different upper airway imaging modalities have shown that patients with sleep apnoea have a decreased pharyngeal cross sectional area, but the contributing role of upper airway fat to this observation is controversial. External neck circumference is increased in OSA and it has been stated that this measurement explains the link between obesity and sleep apnoea. The hypothesis suggested by this study is that neck circumference is an index of neck fat deposition, and increased fatty tissue in the neck region in turn promotes mass loading and obstruction of the upper airway in sleep, leading to sleep apnoea. However, in a study of 1464 patients presenting for sleep assessment we have found waist measurement to be a better predictor of OSA than neck measurement. Nevertheless it is clear that OSA is associated with a central fat distribution. Abdominal obesity may reduce lung volumes, particularly in the supine posture, and both may reflexly influence upper airway dimensions and lead to impaired respiratory muscle force. The link between central obesity and sleep apnoea may also be related to abnormal upper airway muscle function. A reduction in type I and IIb muscle fibres in the middle pharyngeal constrictor muscle has been found in non-obese habitual snorers. Similar changes in muscle fibre have been noted in other skeletal muscles in obesity. Moreover, studies of patients with OSA before and after weight loss have shown that obese patients in upper airway function rather than structure, supporting a hypothesis of abnormal upper airway muscle function in obese patients with OSA. The association of central obesity with increased cardiovascular risk indicates that this measure of adiposity should be controlled for in future studies of health risk in sleep apnoea and arguably the reverse is also true.

ENDOCRINE AND METABOLIC DISORDERS

Several endocrine and metabolic disorders other than obesity are associated with an increased prevalence of OSA. Hypothyroidism may lead to sleep apnoea by reducing chemosensitivity, myxoe dematous infiltration of the upper airway, and upper airway myopathy. Interestingly, hypothyroid rats have a similar upper airway muscle fibre structure as that reported in habitual snorers. It is controversial whether treatment with thyroxine will cure sleep apnoea in hypothyroidism. Sleep apnoea may also provoke cardiovascular complications when initiating thyroid hormone replacement.

Over 50% of patients with acromegaly have sleep apnoea and there is a higher than expected prevalence of central apnoea.
Obstructive sleep apnoea

Figure 2  High rate of prevalence of sleep disordered breathing in a series of 53 patients with acromegaly. A higher than expected prevalence of central apnoea was observed.

(figure 2). Increased biochemical activity (growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor 1 levels) are associated with the presence of central sleep apnoea. Treatment of acromegaly with the somatostatin analogue octreotide reduces the severity of sleep apnoea; it is also associated with Cushing’s disease.

One important observation in OSA is the male predominance of the disorder. Interestingly, androgen treatment has been reported to provoke sleep apnoea and oestrogen treatment may reduce mild sleep apnoea in women. However, anti-androgen treatment does not appear to reduce the severity of OSA in men with severe sleep apnoea, and oestrogen therapy does not alter more severe forms of sleep apnoea in postmenopausal women.

A recent report has identified a strong link between OSA and the connective tissue disorder, Marfan’s syndrome. Nearly two thirds of patients with Marfan’s syndrome have OSA and such patients, being tall and thin, do not have the typical body habitus of the sleep apnoea patient. The reason for the link between Marfan’s syndrome and OSA is likely to be the abnormal compliance of the upper airway resulting from abnormal connective tissue. One important consideration is that the changes in intrathoracic pressure and blood pressure in OSA may provoke aortic dilatation and rupture, a common mode of death in Marfan’s syndrome.

Clinical sequelae

ENDOCRINE AND METABOLIC EFFECTS

Patients with sleep apnoea are also characterised by a reversible neuroendocrine defect in growth hormone and testosterone secretion, probably due to central effects of sleep fragmentation and hypoxaemia. Growth hormone deficiency in OSA may explain impaired growth seen in children with upper airway obstruction which often improves following adenotonsillectomy. In adults impaired growth hormone secretion leads to central adiposity, reduced muscle and bone mass.

NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL AND SOCIAL CONSEQUENCES OF OSA

Excessive daytime sleepiness is characteristic but not pathognomonic of sleep apnoea. It is important to recognise that it may occur in a range of sleep disorders which may coexist with OSA. Sleepiness in OSA is predominantly related to repetitive arousal and sleep fragmentation, but a direct effect of hypoxaemia is possible. OSA is also characterised by a range of excessive daytime sleepiness from simply increased sleep time in a previously short sleeper to obstruction. Sleepiness may lead to both impairment of work performance and driving. One problem is that patients themselves may not be aware of their degree of sleepiness and information from family is often helpful. There is also a relatively poor correlation between severity of OSA and daytime sleepiness and no simple test will accurately quantify daytime sleepiness. Such a test would be useful to identify the high risk patients for treatment, assessing ability to work and drive and evaluating response to therapy. The standard test for quantifying sleepiness—the Multiple Sleep Latency Test (MSLT)—may not be useful in OSA and a modification—the Multiple Wakefulness Test (MWT)—has been shown to be more sensitive for detecting sleepiness before and after treatment for OSA.

Patients with sleep apnoea perform worse on driving simulator tasks. Haraldsson et al reported that 15 patients with OSA ran off the road 101 times in a 60–90 minute simulated highway drive compared with only twice in 10 controls. Although poor performance in simulation tasks may be overcome by greater vigilance in the real life situation, data from a number of centres show a higher actual accident rate between patients with OSA and controls. Interestingly, self-reported accident rates may not differ between patients with OSA and controls, questioning the reliability of patient history in this area. Loss of driving privileges can be economically and socially disastrous to patients and they may be reluctant to admit problems.

Accidents where the driver falls asleep are likely to cause fatalities. There are legal precedents both in US and British law where, if a patient drives a car with the knowledge that he or she frequently falls asleep while driving, such an action may be negligent and lead to either civil or criminal liability. One recent case occurred in the UK when a transport driver, with a history of sleepiness whilst driving, drove a semitrailer into a stationary queue of vehicles and killed six people. The driver was sentenced to three years imprisonment and was subsequently confirmed as having severe sleep apnoea (R Wilkinson, British Sleep Society Meeting, Leicester, 1992, unpublished). The responsibility of the physician dealing with sleepy patients who continue to drive will vary between countries. It is often frustrating to leave such patients untreated because of resource limitations in provision of appropriate treatment. This is especially the case as evidence exists that treatment with nasal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) dramatically improves daytime sleepiness and even driving...
simulator performance. Several studies have found that patients with OSA perform poorly on psychometric tests compared with controls and a variable degree of improvement occurs after nasal CPAP therapy. Whether this is an effect of impaired concentration or actual deterioration in cognition and memory is uncertain. Follow up tests may produce practice effects that need to be controlled, as does education level and alcohol use. Few standard psychometric tests are designed to test for the subtle differences in cognition that are often reported by patients with OSA. Most studies have looked at small groups of patients with severe disease and it is unknown what cognitive impairment exists in milder forms of OSA.

CARIOVASCULAR SEQUELAE OF OSA

The cardiovascular consequences of OSA can be considered from two aspects. Firstly, the acute cardiovascular changes that occur during an apnoea with the associated hypoxia, hypercapnia, acidosis, and arousal from sleep, and secondly, the chronic cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with OSA, namely hypertension, myocardial infarction, stroke, and death.

Acute effects

Obstructive apnoeas are accompanied by profound haemodynamic changes. Cylindrical increases in systemic and pulmonary artery blood pressure occur coincidently with obstructive events. Each apnoea can be considered in three phases with respect to the observed effects on blood pressure, heart rate, sympathetic and parasympathetic nerve activity, and cardiac output. Phase 1 (recovery from the previous apnoea typically during the early part of the next apnoea) is characterized by minor pleural pressure swings, minimal changes in heart rate and muscle sympathetic nerve activity (MSNA), and modest changes in oxygen saturation. As the apnoea progresses (phase 2) there is progressive hypoxaemia, increasing pleural pressure swings, bradycardia (and possibly bradyarrhythmias), heightened MSNA, and an overall rise in blood pressure. With arousal and resumption of ventilation (phase 3) oxygen saturation returns to normal. There are significant increases in heart rate and blood pressure may rise to levels ranging from 200 to 300 mm Hg. In phase 3 MSNA increases, but this appears to be rapidly interrupted before the peak in blood pressure following apnoea. There is a fall in stroke volume during apnoea, particularly at its termination. The combination of fall in stroke volume and rise in blood pressure suggests a substantial increase in total peripheral resistance.

The potentiation of MSNA activity during apnoea is likely to be the result of a combination of apnoea and hypoxaemia. Somers and coworkers were able to demonstrate a 12 fold increase in the MSNA response to hypoxia by voluntary apnoea in normal subjects. However, the relative contribution of hypoxaemia is controversial, particularly regarding the blood pressure rise in phase 3. It has been suggested that arousal is the primary stimulus for this rise in blood pressure. Others have provided evidence supporting a contributory role for hypoxaemia. Whatever the mechanism, the considerable changes in cardiorespiratory behaviour, together with reported changes in cerebral blood flow, provide an environment for increasing the risk of various vascular disease end points.

Chronic effects

It is unknown to what degree these acute cardiovascular changes produce chronic effects. A number of groups have reported that patients with OSA are characterised by markedly elevated sympathetic nerve traffic while awake which may promote chronic elevation of blood pressure. In addition we have recently observed that patients with OSA have a potent pressor response to eucapnic hypoxia compared with controls (fig 3). This pressor response was related to disease severity and likely to be the result of exposure of the cardiovascular system to intermittent hypoxia in sleep. In normal subjects the central effects of hypoxaemia tend to increase blood pressure but these effects are counterbalanced by peripheral vasodilation.

The pressor response in OSA may be due to impaired vasodilatory mechanisms, as there is preliminary evidence of an attenuated forearm blood flow response to acetylcholine in patients with OSA (J Carlson, J Hedner, personal communication). This in turn indicates impaired endothelial dependent (nitric oxide mediated) vasodilation. Interestingly, the vasodilatory response to hypoxia in chemodenervated rats is mediated by nitric oxide. Apart from nitric oxide, other vasoactive mediators appear to be altered in OSA. These include eicosanoids, endothelin, and adenosine. Intrathoracic pressure swings in OSA may alter volume regulating hormones, although some of these changes may potentially attenuate rises in blood pres-

**Figure 3** Mean arterial pressure at different oxygen saturation levels during a hypoxic ramp test in two patients with different severity of sleep apnoea. Patient 1 (closed circles) had severe sleep apnoea (respiratory disturbance index (DI) 75 events per hour, minimum oxygen saturation in sleep (SaO2, min) 72%) and patient 2 (open circles) had minimal obstructive sleep apnoea (DI 6 events per hour and SaO2, min 91%). Values from two tests in each patient are shown and the fitted polynomial regression lines. Adapted from reference 81 with permission.
sure. In addition, changes in intracranial pressure may influence blood pressure. Other evidence for a potential effect of OSA on development of hypertension has been provided by an elegant series of studies in rats exposed to seven weeks of intermittent hypoxia. These animals developed persistent elevation of daytime mean arterial pressure. Sectioning of the carotid sinus nerve and chemical peripheral sympathetomy with 6-hydroxydopamine eliminated the persistent elevation of blood pressure.

Increased left ventricular wall thickening, independent of resting daytime blood pressure, has been observed in OSA. This may result from acute increases in left ventricular afterload leading to left ventricular wall stress and increased sympathetic tone, causing a direct trophic effect on the myocardium. Interestingly, both left and right ventricular hypertrophy have been found after repetitive hypoxia in the rat. Left ventricular hypertrophy has an adverse prognosis in itself and may also lead to impaired myocardial contractility, perhaps exacerbated by an adverse effect of tissue hypoxia on myocardial muscle metabolic demand. Recently, Malone and coworkers reported that nasal CPAP improved left ventricular function in men with OSA and idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. Withdrawal of CPAP led to deterioration in myocardial function. Left ventricular hypertrophy also occurs more frequently in patients who do not have the normal nocturnal "dip" in measurements of ambulatory 24 hour blood pressure. Patients with OSA certainly have episodic rises in blood pressure at night, but the overall pattern of 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure shows a preserved diurnal pattern.

Despite a number of potential mechanisms for the development of sustained hypertension, there is no irrefutable evidence that OSA directly causes daytime hypertension. Sleep apnoea is a common finding in patients attending hypertension clinics, but this may be due to shared confounding factors such as central obesity and increasing age. Large scale epidemiological studies often find an association between snoring (reported on questionnaire) and hypertension, but these studies are typically also confounded by coexisting obesity or interpretation is difficult because there are only a few cases of OSA or hypertension. In a recent study of an Oxfordshire general practice, for example, the number of patients with systolic hypertension was correlated with arm cuff pressure, but this was not independent of age or body mass index on multivariable analysis. However, only 5% of the subjects were obese and the actual numbers of individuals with hypertension was not reported. In another study, examining the relationship between blood pressure and OSA in a sleep clinic population, patients with known hypertension or on antihypertensive agents were excluded. Despite these methodological limitations, such studies indicate that the independent association between classic measures of OSA severity, such as apnoea index or minimum oxygen saturation in sleep, and hypertension is unlikely to be strong. We observed recently that morning but not evening blood pressure was related to severity of OSA, independent of central obesity and age. Nevertheless, these three variables only explained 18% of the variance in blood pressure, with the largest contribution from central obesity. Future studies correlating blood pressure with other measures, such as arousal index or even the magnitude of the blood pressure response to individual apnoeas, may prove an important line of investigation.

Prospective studies examining the longitudinal development of hypertension in untreated patients with OSA compared with matched controls are unlikely to be performed due to the ethical issues of not treating sleep apnoea. One potential method of avoiding the problems of confounding variables and interpretation of cross sectional statistical data is effectively to treat OSA and examine the subsequent response of blood pressure. Factors such as weight loss and alcohol consumption need to be controlled. Patients should be withdrawn from antihypertensive medication ideally, but this may not be possible, particularly in patients with OSA. Since Coccagna and colleagues reported that blood pressure fell in five patients with OSA after tracheostomy, several studies have looked at the blood pressure response to treatment of sleep apnoea. Most of these studies are limited by small numbers, confounded by parallel weight change and antihypertensive medications or use of single cuff measurements as an index of blood pressure. More recently two groups have reported decreased nocturnal and morning awake intra-arterial blood pressure following CPAP therapy. Naughton and Pierce reported a fall in automated daytime blood pressure readings in hypertensive patients with OSA after one week of CPAP. However, some of these patients were also receiving antihypertensive drugs. In a study of 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure in 19 men with OSA before and after eight weeks

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4** Fall in systolic and diastolic ambulatory blood pressure before (closed circles) and after (open circles) eight weeks of nasal CPAP in 14 men not using antihypertensive agents. Adapted from reference 107 with permission.
of nasal CPAP\textsuperscript{107} there was a moderate fall in 24 hour mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure during the day but only in the systolic blood pressure at night (fig 4). This fall occurred in the 14 patients with good CPAP compliance but not in those unable to use CPAP. This is the first study to report a decrease in ambulatory daytime blood pressure following treatment of OSA. Such a daytime fall may not be unexpected in view of the high sympathetic nerve traffic during wakefulness observed in untreated patients with OSA\textsuperscript{79-80} and the decrease in sympathetic activity seen following nasal CPAP treatment.\textsuperscript{71} Recent preliminary data have also suggested that patients with OSA may have abnormally high responses to daytime stressors such as mental arithmetic which are reversible with treatment (JH Peter, personal communication). One criticism of this 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure study is the lack of a placebo treated control group to avoid “regression to the mean.” This phenomenon has not, however, been observed in 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure studies\textsuperscript{108} and “placebo” CPAP has not been successfully used in any short or long term study. Such a technique would be obvious to the patient and bed partner as snoring and upper airway obstruction would continue and the expected improvement in daytime sleepiness with CPAP treatment would not occur.

OSA, STROKE, MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION AND DEATH

The advent of nasal CPAP has prevented large studies investigating the natural history of untreated OSA. However, in certain sleep disorder centres established in the 1970s long term data are available which strongly suggest that the mortality risk is increased in untreated sleep apnoea.\textsuperscript{109-112} He et al\textsuperscript{109} observed an increased cumulative mortality in untreated patients with an apnoea index above 20 compared with those having an index below 20. Tracheostomy or CPAP treatment, but not uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP), reduced the mortality risk. These authors, however, did not provide information on the cause of death, but studies from Stanford\textsuperscript{110} and Haifa\textsuperscript{112} suggest an excess of cardiovascular deaths in OSA. A number of groups have reported an increased risk of myocardial infarction\textsuperscript{113,114} and stroke\textsuperscript{115} in sleep apnoea. Snoring is a strong risk factor for sleep related strokes while sleep apnoea symptoms (snoring plus reported apnoeas or excessive daytime sleepiness) increase the risk of cerebral infarction with an odds ratio of 8.0.\textsuperscript{115} Cerebral blood flow changes associated with sleep apnoea may certainly be linked to these observations.\textsuperscript{116} However, there is no information currently available on an increased thrombotic tendency or accelerated atherosclerosis in sleep apnoea.

OSA, RESPIRATORY FAILURE AND PULMONARY HYPERTENSION

The “Pickwickian” patients described in older literature were often characterised by marked obesity, right heart failure, and awake respiratory failure with hypercapnia.\textsuperscript{117} They clearly represent the severe end of the spectrum of OSA, and it is evident that upper airway obstruction during sleep in the presence of other factors will produce the “Pickwickian” patient. What are the factors that will transform a patient with OSA into a “Pickwickian” patient? Firstly, there is likely to be a complex interrelationship between these factors. Some patients develop hypercapnia without evidence of right heart failure and the reverse is also true. Most studies examining this interrelationship are limited in size and vary in the method of assessing right heart failure. The key factors suggested are presence of chronic airflow limitation, obesity, awake hyperoxygenaemia, hypercapnia, profound nocturnal hyperoxygenaemia, and alcohol consumption.\textsuperscript{118-122} A recent preliminary report has shown that daytime PCO\textsubscript{2} and FEV\textsubscript{1} explain 29% of the variability in pulmonary artery pressure in sleep apnoea. It would not have an independent contribution. Of 78 patients with pulmonary hypertension and FEV\textsubscript{1} >1-5 l, 30% had a P\textsubscript{O}\textsubscript{2} >80 mm Hg. These data suggest that patients with OSA can often develop pulmonary hypertension in the absence of daytime hyperoxygenaemia.\textsuperscript{131} Treatment of “Pickwickian” patients and other patients with OSA and pulmonary hypertension leads to a normalisation of both hypercapnia and pulmonary artery pressure.\textsuperscript{120,122}

Treatment

Despite many drug trials and attempts at electrical stimulation of the upper airway,\textsuperscript{123} the most accepted treatments for OSA are nasal CPAP and surgery.

CPAP

The advent of nasal CPAP revolutionised positive airway pressure ventilation and allowed a wider range of patients to be treated. Nasal CPAP is an effective treatment but compliance is variable.\textsuperscript{124} When accurate hours of use are measured, patient compliance is 70%.\textsuperscript{125} The improvements in mask and machine technology are likely to further increase compliance as will humidification devices aimed at reducing nasal side effects. It is not known whether the variation of inspiratory and expiratory pressure\textsuperscript{148} with the use of the more expensive bi-level positive airway pressure devices will influence patient compliance. Indeed, some authors have suggested that reduction of expiratory positive airway pressure may lead to incomplete improvement in upper airway calibre.\textsuperscript{127} Although the severity of OSA may influence compliance, patient symptoms and their response to CPAP provide a powerful reinforcement to machine use.

Patients with OSA and daytime respiratory failure may benefit from nasal intermittent positive pressure ventilation (nIPPV). Such patients may require high CPAP pressures and, in the short term, may prefer nasal ventilation.\textsuperscript{128} These treatment periods of nasal ventilation may be brief and, after improve-
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ment in blood gas levels, nasal CPAP can be commenced.

SURGERY

Tracheostomy

Before the introduction of nasal CPAP as a treatment for OSA tracheostomy was the main method of treatment. The current indication for tracheostomy is in patients with severe OSA who have been unable to comply with CPAP. Our clinical practice is to fully evaluate such patients as hospital admissions with repeat polysomnography, intensive support for nasal CPAP treatment including ENT review, humidification of inspired air through the CPAP machine and, if necessary, customised CPAP masks. Tracheostomy can produce significant morbidity and may be a problem in the morbidly obese, fat necked individual. However, skilful minimalist surgery and regular nursing care allow tracheostomy to be a therapeutic option in some patients.

Facial reconstructive surgery

Many patients with OSA have abnormalities in facial structure on cephalometry and it has been suggested that correction of such factors by maxillofacial surgery will lead to cure in sleep apnoea. However, the correlation of mechanical characteristics of the pharyngeal airway with cephalometry is only indirect and, moreover, such surgery is expensive, requiring several operative procedures. Virtually all cases have been reported by one group and therefore efficacy will need to be shown in wider clinical trials using the expertise of several surgeons.

Uvulopalatopharyngoplasty (UPPP)

This operation was developed by Ikematsu for the treatment of heavy snoring in the early 1950s. A similar operation was used by veterinary surgeons to treat bothersome breathing in bulldogs, a breed of dog potentially useful as a large animal model of OSA. The introduction of UPPP for the treatment of OSA into North America occurred in 1981, the same year as the efficacy of nasal CPAP in OSA was first reported. Initially there was great enthusiasm for performing UPPP, often with minimal patient assessment. The accumulated data from many studies over the past decade, however, suggest extreme caution in performing this form of surgery for OSA. There is still no consensus on the most appropriate methods to assess the likely success of UPPP in an individual patient. Awake tests such as computed tomographic scanning, nasopharyngoscopy, and even multilevel upper airway pressure measurement in sleep have been used. Often areas that narrow on preoperative assessment are still narrowed postoperatively, or new areas of upper airway narrowing are found. Moreover, the response to surgery is highly variable. Most papers define a "cure" as a 50% reduction in the number of apnoeas per hour of sleep; in many patients with repetitive apnoea, however, these "cures" will still leave a substantial degree of sleep disordered breathing. Results are frequently reported without detailed examination of sleep quality, hypopnoea, or arousals. Polo et al. while observing a decrease in obstructive apnoea following UPPP, found an increase in partial upper airway obstruction thus stressing the importance of close postoperative follow up. This may also explain why investigators reporting apnoea reduction following UPPP find no correlation between subjective and objective improvement.

One of the problems in examining the long term efficacy of UPPP is that most papers report short term results or have incomplete follow up. Recently Larsson et al. reported a two year follow up of 50 consecutive patients who underwent UPPP. After six weeks 60% of patients were classified as "responders" (50% decrease in apnoea index or number of apnoeas per hour). At two years the "response rate" had fallen to 36%. In addition there are data suggesting an appreciable morbidity and mortality for patients treated by UPPP, while the increased mortality of OSA is not modified by UPPP.

There is also some evidence that the vibration of snoring may protect against further upper airway obstruction, suggesting that removal of the vibrating tissues (plate, uvula) may critically alter important upper airway afferent receptors, thereby causing narrowing and airway closure during sleep at other sites postoperatively.

Recently a novel technique using nasopharyngoscopy during sleep, simultaneous use of nasal CPAP to manipulate intrapharyngeal pressure, and digitised computer assessment of airway lumen size has been employed to select patients who may respond to UPPP. The authors could identify patients with exclusively nasopharyngeal narrowing of the passive airway. Such patients had 50% improvement in severity of sleep apnoea four months after UPPP compared with no change in the non-exclusively nasopharyngeal occluders. There was, however, a deterioration in the severity of OSA at 14 month follow up. Moreover, "responders" still had a substantial degree of disordered breathing in sleep at 14 months after surgery (mean apnoea index 58, with 35% of total sleep time spent in disordered breathing).

Such pre-UPPP assessment techniques are complex and expensive and current results do not seem to warrant more widespread use. It is possible that better results may be produced in patients with milder OSA. It is therefore important to stress that UPPP remains an experimental treatment method in OSA. Careful objective preoperative and postoperative assessment of breathing during sleep with long term follow up should be performed.

Conclusion

Obstructive sleep apnoea is a common disorder which will become an increasingly important part of respiratory practice. The
best methods of diagnosis are costly and increased sophistication of screening devices and interpreting physicians are required to reduce the need for full polysomnography. There is a wide range of clinical disorders associated with OSA which affect the decision to treat patients. However, in some cases the link between OSA and these clinical disorders has not been proved convincingly. Studies clarifying these issues will allow better selection of patients requiring treatment. Nasal CPAP is the standard treatment for OSA and tracheostomy should only be considered in patients truly unable to tolerate CPAP. Uvulopalatopharyngoplasty and facial reconstructive surgery should only be considered as part of objective controlled trials.
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