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Abstract

Background Many studies have reported a significant association between parents' smoking and reduced lung function in their children, but often the association has been found to be significant only in relation to maternal smoking. There have been few epidemiological studies on this topic in Britain.

Methods Spirometry, in 2756 children aged 6.50-11.99 years, was carried out in a representative sample of English children, an inner city and ethnic minority sample, and a Scottish sample. Forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV\textsubscript{1}), and forced expiratory flow rates of 25-75% and 75-85% (FEF\textsubscript{25-75} and FEF\textsubscript{75-85}) were measured and standardised scores obtained separately for the English representative sample, the Scottish sample and subgroups in the inner city sample, white and Afro-Caribbean children and those originating from the Indian subcontinent. Multiple regression analyses were used to assess associations of FVC, FEV\textsubscript{1}, FEF\textsubscript{25-75} and FEF\textsubscript{75-85} with the passive smoking and respiratory illness, with adjustment for a large number of potential confounders. Passive smoking was defined in terms of reported number of cigarettes smoked at home by each parent. The respiratory symptoms and illnesses assessed were wheeze, asthma and bronchitis attacks, cough in the morning, and cough at any other time as reported by parents.

Results Maternal smoking, but not paternal smoking, was associated with reduced FEF\textsubscript{25-75} and FEF\textsubscript{75-85} in boys. No association was found between passive smoking and lung function in girls, but in an analysis including both sexes the interaction of sex and parental smoking on lung function was not significant. With few exceptions, FEV\textsubscript{1}, FEF\textsubscript{25-75}, and FEF\textsubscript{75-85} were reduced in children with wheeze and asthma attacks.

Conclusions The effect of passive smoking may depend on the close contact of a parent with a susceptible child as only maternal smoking in boys was significantly associated with impaired lung function. However, this explanation remains unsubstantiated. A parent's report of wheeze and asthma attacks in the child is reflected in reduced lung function.

In the United States there have been a large number of studies exploring the relation between lung function in children and the environment. In Britain studies of this subject were largely carried out in the 1960s, and were limited to a small geographical area or restricted to a specific issue such as dampness or outdoor pollution. A recently published paper reported the association between salivary cotinine and spirometric indices in seven year old children. Many studies have focused on the association between lung function and passive smoking and respiratory illness in childhood and the most frequent social factors included in the studies have been parents' education level and occupation of the head of the household. Social factors, however, have been shown to be only marginally associated with lung function or not associated at all. Most studies exploring the association between passive smoking and lung function have reported a significant relationship. A few studies, especially those carried out in the 1970s, have failed to show an association between lung function and passive smoking. Furthermore, in those studies where significant associations have been reported, these have been confined to either maternal smoking or to children of one sex or of a limited age group. It is therefore of interest to explore the extent of the relationship between respiratory illness, passive smoking, and lung function in Britain when the data are adjusted for potentially confounding biological and social factors.

In the National Study of Health and Growth (NSHG), a nutritional surveillance system, data were collected on lung function from a substantial subsample of study areas in England and Scotland in 1987 and 1988. The sample included a range of socioeconomic, ethnic and geographical groups in the population. The aim of the analysis was to assess the contribution of respiratory illness and passive smoking to variation in lung function, with adjustment for potentially confounding social and biological factors. The factors associated with respiratory illness have been reported previously.

Methods

Information on lung function was obtained for children aged 6.50-11.99 years from a subsample of areas of the NSHG in 1987 and 1988. The subsample included data from a representative sample of English children surveyed in 1988, an inner city and ethnic minority sample surveyed in 1987, and a Scottish representative sample assessed in 1987 and 1988. The total
number of eligible children was 2486 in the English representative sample, 1895 in the English inner city sample and 634 in the Scottish representative sample. Within the inner city sample, one area had a high proportion of children of Afro-Caribbean origin and three had a high proportion of children originating in the Indian subcontinent. Children were classified into the ethnic groups Caucasian, Afro-Caribbean, Urdu, Gujarati, Punjabi, other Indian subcontinent, and other or not known.18

Lung function was measured with a spiroometer (Vitalograph Model S) with a spirogram and digital printout. After calibration of the machine and demonstration of its use, each child had three recorded attempts without a noseclip with a minimum exhalation time of 1-5 seconds. These were recorded unless the fieldworker judged a blow to be in error from the spirogram. Children with reported severe diseases such as a heart condition were not included. Children with asthma were included and use of inhaler in the morning was recorded, but the data were excluded from the initial analysis. From the total of eligible children the following exclusions were made: 99 for use of inhaler, 54 for leak in tube, 15 for severe disease, five for refusal to participate, 271 for absenteeism during fieldwork and 153 for no valid blow. The total number of children with at least one valid blow was 2235 (89.9%) of the English representative sample, 1595 (84.2%) of the inner city area, and 499 (78.7%) of the Scottish representative sample. The lower percentage of children in the Scottish sample was due to a leaking spirometer tube that excluded 54 children from analysis.

For each child forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1), forced expiratory flow rates between 25% and 75% (FEF25-75), and between 75% and 85% (FEF75-85) were recorded. All data from the best blow, defined as the greatest sum of FVC and FEV1, were analysed. Height was measured on a Holtain Stadiometer to the last complete 0.1 cm, as described by Tanner et al.20 Weight was recorded to within 100 g with electronic scales (Soehnle) and triceps skinfold was measured by the method recommended by Tanner and Whitehouse.24

Distributions of residuals from multiple regression analyses of ln(lung function), where ln = log, on ln(height) and ln(age), were assessed for normality, and the method of Bland et al22 was then used to obtain standardised scores. The logarithmic transformation stabilised variances and antilogging the residuals produced near normal distributions in the English representative sample.22 Standard deviation scores were calculated by taking the difference between actual ln(lung function) and the predicted value from appropriate equations, antilogging the result, taking the difference between this figure and the mean of the distribution of antilogged residuals, and dividing by the standard deviation. The same procedure was used to calculate standard deviation scores separately for white children in the inner city areas, the Scottish sample, the Afro-Caribbean group and the groups originating from the Indian subcontinent combined (referred to here as Asians).

Multiple regressions were performed with FVC, FEV1, FEF25-75, and FEF75-85 standard deviation scores as dependent variables to estimate the effect of passive smoking on lung function of boys and girls. The additional independent continuous variables were ln(weight), ln(triceps skinfold), birthweight, reported parental heights, and mother’s age at child’s birth. The categorical variables were the sociodemographic variables family size, father’s social class, overcrowding, one parent family, mother’s education, father’s employment status, type of cooking fuel, study area, and type of school meals. The latter variable identified children receiving free school meals on socioeconomic grounds. The variables height, age, and ethnic background were not included in the analyses as the standard deviation scores were specific for each ethnic group and were obtained adjusted for height and age. The association of passive smoking and lung function was assessed for paternal, maternal, and total home smoking in separate models, including and excluding the respiratory symptoms wheeze, asthma attacks in last year, bronchitis attacks in last year, cough first thing in the morning, and cough at other times. The respiratory symptoms were analysed separately as independent variables, allowing for total home smoking and the other variables included in the previous analysis. In a separate analysis the relationships of FVC, FEV1, FEF25-75, and FEF75-85 to reported wheeze according to exposure to passive smoking was assessed.

Results
Table 1 gives the parents’ combined daily cigarette consumption while in the home by ethnic group and country of residence, including one parent families. Inner city white parents smoked most heavily. There were very few children in the Asian groups exposed to smoking in the home of more than 15 cigarettes per day, as smoking is predominantly a male activity in these groups. Only 13 out of 681 Asian mothers were smokers. Gujarati parents smoked least of the Asian groups (not shown). For Afro-Caribbean children, maternal smoking was a more important component of total parental smoking because there was a larger percentage of one parent families. In the representative sample, Scottish parents smoked more than English parents.

Children with missing values for continuous independent variables were omitted from the analysis. From a total of 4329 children with at least one valid blow, 2756 (63.7%) were included in the analysis. An analysis of the height and age associations with lung function and the differences in lung function between ethnic groups has been reported previously.22 The independent variables explained from 7% (FEF25-75) to 11-9% (FEF75-85) of the variation in standard deviation scores, with intermediate values for FEV1 and FVC. Dif-
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Table 1 Percentage exposure to cigarette smoking in the home of children eligible for lung function measures, by ethnic group in English inner city areas, and in the English and Scottish representative samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parents' daily cigarette consumption in the home</th>
<th>England</th>
<th>Scottish representative sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>60-0</td>
<td>37-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>4-2</td>
<td>2-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-14</td>
<td>18-1</td>
<td>26-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>11-7</td>
<td>19-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>7-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 or more</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>6-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No of children</td>
<td>2205</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Gujarati, Punjabi, Urdu and other children originating in the Indian subcontinent were grouped together.

The differences in explained variation between sexes were minimal.

Most of the independent confounding variables in the analysis were not consistently related to all four measurements of lung function, or were not consistent in boys and girls. The only exceptions were birthweight, which was positively associated with most measures of lung function in both sexes, and study area in boys. Of the other significant associations, girls whose fathers were in the manual social class had lower FEF25-75 and FEF75-85 measurements (p < 0-01) than other girls, and girls from one parent families had lower FEV1 values (p < 0-05) than other girls. These associations are only indicative, as the analysis was designed to adjust sufficiently for confounding variables rather than to investigate these relationships directly.

Table 2 shows the regression coefficients and their standard errors for measures of lung function on passive smoking, adjusted for the factors specified in the "Methods" section but excluding respiratory symptoms as these may be the mechanism for impaired lung function. The only significant associations detected were between maternal home smoking and reduced FEF25-75 and FEF75-85 in boys. Two other associations were of borderline significance in boys—FEV1 with maternal home smoking, and FEF25-75 with total home smoking (p < 0-1). Although not significantly different from zero, all other regression coefficients of lung function on maternal smoking were negative. In contrast, for paternal smoking at home most of the regression coefficients were positive. Little change in the regression coefficients occurred when respiratory symptoms were included in the model. In an analysis including both sexes, the interaction of sex and passive smoking was not significantly related to any of the measures of lung function. The differing effect of maternal and paternal smoking was confirmed by an analysis of both sexes in which both the sum and difference of maternal and paternal home smoking were used as the measures of passive smoking. The regression coefficient for the difference was significant (p < 0-05) for FEV1 and FEF75-85 and of borderline significance (p < 0-1) for FEF25-75.

Children with occasional and frequent wheeze and asthma attacks had significantly decreased lung function (generally FEV1, FEF25-75, and FEF75-85) (table 3). Bronchitis attacks in girls were associated with lower lung function. Respiratory conditions were consistently negatively related to lung function with the exception of FVC. The possible increased effect of parental smoking on lung function in children with wheeze was analysed in a sample of 181 boys and 120 girls. In boys, there was a significant reduction in FEV1 (p < 0-05) and lower FVC and FEF25-75, of borderline significance (p < 0-1), with increasing exposure to parental cigarette smoke. This was not observed in girls with wheeze.

Discussion

In this study the lung function of a wide range of groups of children has been measured to assess its relation with respiratory illness and parental passive smoking, adjusting for social

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>FVC</th>
<th>FEV1</th>
<th>FEF25-75</th>
<th>FEF75-85</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total parental home smoking</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>0.0012(0.0029)</td>
<td>-0.0021(0.0029)</td>
<td>-0.0053(0.0029)</td>
<td>-0.0044(0.0029)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal home smoking</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>-0.0016(0.0044)</td>
<td>-0.0074(0.0043)</td>
<td>-0.0128(0.0043)</td>
<td>-0.0100(0.0044)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal home smoking</td>
<td>1388</td>
<td>0.0042(0.0046)</td>
<td>0.0038(0.0046)</td>
<td>0.0015(0.0046)</td>
<td>0.0032(0.0047)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total parental home smoking</td>
<td>1309</td>
<td>0.0015(0.0029)</td>
<td>-0.0010(0.0030)</td>
<td>-0.0047(0.0030)</td>
<td>-0.0011(0.0030)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal home smoking</td>
<td>1342</td>
<td>-0.0013(0.0044)</td>
<td>-0.0047(0.0045)</td>
<td>-0.0055(0.0045)</td>
<td>-0.0029(0.0046)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal home smoking</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>0.0071(0.0045)</td>
<td>0.0058(0.0046)</td>
<td>-0.0020(0.0047)</td>
<td>0.0034(0.0047)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was a small difference in the number of valid blows by measurement, with usually fewest observations for FEF75-85. The number of observations given is the minimum for the four measures of lung function. It is greater for total than for paternal home smoking because the former included one parent families.

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.1.
Table 3  Standard deviation scores for regression coefficients (SE) of differences in lung function between groups of children defined by respiratory conditions each adjusted for passive smoking (total parental smoking at home), NSHG area and biological and social variables (see Methods)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respiratory condition/symptoms</th>
<th>FVC</th>
<th>FEV₁</th>
<th>FEF₂₅-₇₅</th>
<th>FEF₇₅-₉₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=1443)</td>
<td>(n=1443)</td>
<td>(n=1438)</td>
<td>(n=1429)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional wheeze</td>
<td>0-02(0-09)</td>
<td>-0.18(0-09)</td>
<td>-0.34(0-09)***</td>
<td>-0.32(0-09)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeze most days or nights</td>
<td>-0.11(0-17)</td>
<td>-0.39(0-17)***</td>
<td>-0.21(0-17)***</td>
<td>-0.16(0-17)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma attacks</td>
<td>0-14(0-12)</td>
<td>-0.27(0-12)***</td>
<td>-0.61(0-11)***</td>
<td>-0.52(0-12)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchitis attacks</td>
<td>0-09(0-18)</td>
<td>-0.09(0-18)</td>
<td>-0.02(0-17)</td>
<td>-0.04(0-18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough first thing</td>
<td>-0.04(0-13)</td>
<td>-0.23(0-13)***</td>
<td>-0.14(0-13)</td>
<td>-0.12(0-13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough at other times</td>
<td>0-01(0-09)</td>
<td>-0.13(0-09)</td>
<td>-0.23(0-09)***</td>
<td>-0.12(0-09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional wheeze</td>
<td>-0.22(0-11)**</td>
<td>-0.38(0-11)***</td>
<td>-0.42(0-11)***</td>
<td>-0.17(0-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeze most days or nights</td>
<td>0-23(0-19)</td>
<td>-0.14(0-19)</td>
<td>-0.49(0-19)***</td>
<td>-0.58(0-20)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma attacks</td>
<td>0-01(0-16)</td>
<td>-0.34(0-17)***</td>
<td>-0.60(0-17)***</td>
<td>-0.45(0-17)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchitis attacks</td>
<td>-0.04(0-24)*</td>
<td>-0.20(0-24)***</td>
<td>-0.01(0-24)***</td>
<td>-0.27(0-24)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough first thing</td>
<td>-0.15(0-13)</td>
<td>-0.20(0-14)</td>
<td>-0.19(0-14)</td>
<td>-0.27(0-14)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough at other times</td>
<td>-0.12(0-10)</td>
<td>-0.12(0-10)</td>
<td>0-01(0-10)</td>
<td>-0.07(0-10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Rows are significant at *p < 0.01; **p < 0.05; ***p < 0.01; ****p < 0.001.

Factors and other characteristics of the child such as age, sex, and height. In a previous paper a methodology for adjusting for age and height was proposed and has been the basis for the current analysis. All the children with at least one valid blow were included in the analysis. With this method, Afro-Caribbean children had lower lung function values than white children, whether living in inner city areas or from a representative sample, whereas children originating in the Indian subcontinent had lung function values intermediate between those of the Afro-Caribbean and the white children.22 Several studies have reported similar findings for differences between Afro-Caribbean and white children,22-28 although some that have looked at FEV₁/FVC ratios have failed to find any difference between the groups.25 We confirm that children whose parents report wheeze or asthma attacks in the child have significantly lower lung function test results than children without these symptoms. 15 12 29 This study shows that a large range of potential confounding variables do not reduce the negative significant association between FEV₁, FEF₂₅-₇₅, and FEF₇₅-₉₅ and symptoms of asthma. This study also asserts the value of parents’ information on children’s respiratory illness in surveys. Even children who were reported by parents to have occasional wheeze had significantly lower lung function measurements than those who were not. However, in our study children with severe wheeze did not have consistently more impaired lung function than children with occasional wheeze. Other respiratory symptoms may be associated with FEV₁, FEF₂₅-₇₅ and FEF₇₅-₉₅ given their consistent negative coefficient, but they rarely achieved significant levels. FVC was the only measure of lung function not related to respiratory illness.

The associations between passive smoking and lung function were inconsistent. Our results are consistent with many reports which show that maternal and not paternal smoking is significantly associated with some measures of lung function.3 5 10 14 A pattern of association between lung function and passive smoking in boys only was also reported by Tashkin et al. Their findings invite interpretation on the potential differential susceptibility of boys and girls in line with a suggestion proposed by Taussig et al that the mechanical properties of the lung may be related to the different prevalence for lower respiratory tract illnesses and asthma in the two sexes. In this study, the regression coefficients for each of the lung function measures are substantially smaller in girls than in boys. The interaction effect of sex and passive smoking on lung function in the total group was not significant. Therefore the hypothesis of differential susceptibility between sexes is not supported by this analysis.

The amount of time spent by each parent with a child can explain the association of the child’s lung function with maternal smoking but not paternal smoking. In China, where smoking is mainly a male activity, paternal smoking has been found to be associated with children’s lung function.15 Overcrowding, house ventilation, and the amount smoked by each parent at home can affect the relationship between passive smoking and lung function. In this study overcrowding was unrelated to lung function and number of children in the family was related only to FVC in girls, a measure not associated with passive smoking in our study. Lebowitz and Holberg found maternal smoking related to FEV₁ in Boston but not in Tucson (Arizona).4 They ascribed the difference to a ventilation phenomenon. In a study in Los Angeles, Tashkin et al detected an association between maternal smoking and the child’s lung function but the association tended to disappear in older children.

On the whole, our study illustrates the difficulties in making generalisations about the association between lung function and passive smoking in childhood. The effect of passive smoking on lung function is likely to depend on the amount of time spent by the smoker with the child, the number of cigarettes smoked, and the child’s susceptibility. We have included a large number of confounding variables that could have explained a spurious relationship between lung function and passive smoking.

In our analysis, boys with wheeze exposed to parents’ smoking had more impaired lung function in terms of FEV₁ and possibly FVC and FEF₂₅-₇₅ than boys with wheeze whose parents did not smoke. This result provides further evidence that parental smoking may
worsen the functional state of asthmatic chil-
dren. However, it is puzzling that the associa-
tion between lung function and maternal smok-
ing appeared weaker in girls.

Of the many social, environmental, and
demographic factors included in the study as
possible confounders, few showed an associa-
tion with lung function measurement. In girls,
lung function was associated with father's
social class. In addition, the number of children
in the family was associated with FVC in girls.
Social class has been shown to be associated
with lung function measurement. With respect to
lung function, individual characteristics of the
study areas selected were significantly asso-
ciation measurement. With respect to

It must be recognised, however, that the
Close contact of the smoker with the child may
pollution, Ware JB, Weiss ST, Munoz A, Rosner B, Speizer FE.
Longitudinal study of the effects of maternal smoking on
function. The exceptions
included measures of socioeconomic level
when assessing lung function. The exceptions
are parents' education (a factor not
when assessing lung function. The exceptions
may have a small effect on lung
occasionally occupation of the head of
the household. The socioeconomic environment
may have a small effect on lung function which is
independent of intermediate associations
with respiratory symptoms and passive smok-
ing—both of which are more prevalent in poor
sectors of the community. Three factors
besides respiratory symptoms and passive smoking which may explain the association
between social factors and lung function are
housing conditions, outdoor pollution, and
undernutrition, but none has been confirmed in
the literature. Strachan and Sanders13 were
unable to show any relationship between home
dampness, humidity, and temperature and
lung function. With regard to nutritional state,
we have adjusted for anthropometric measures
such as weight and triceps skinfold thickness,
and height has been included in the calculation
of standard deviation scores of each lung func-
tion measurement. With respect to outdoor
pollution, Ware et al20 reported no effect of
sulphide particle and suspended
function.

Even after the inclusion of a large number of
independent variables to assess variation in
lung function, individual characteristics of the
study areas selected were significantly asso-
ciated with lung function in boys. It is un-
certain whether this indicates additional
environmental effects on lung function.

In conclusion, this study has shown that
parents' information about a child's asthma is
associated with measures of lung function and
that maternal smoking can affect lung function.
Close contact of the smoker with the child may
be critical in damaging the growth of the lung.
It must be recognised, however, that the
mechanism of the association between a child's
lung function and maternal smoking but not
paternal smoking remains unexplained.
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