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Abstract

It has been proposed that exercise induced asthma is a result of “rapid expansion of the blood volume of peri-bronchial plexi” (McFadden ER, Lancet 1990;335:880–3). This hypothesis proposes that the development of exercise induced asthma depends on the thermal gradient in the airways at the end of hyperpnoea. The events that result in exercise induced asthma are vasoconstriction and airway cooling followed by reactive hyperaemia. We agree that the airway microcirculation has the potential for contributing to the pathophysiology of exercise induced asthma. We do, however, question whether reactive hyperaemia, in response to airway cooling, is the mechanism whereby hyperpnoea provokes airways obstruction in asthmatic patients. Further, we question whether vasoconstriction accompanies dry air breathing and whether an abnormal temperature gradient and rapid rewarming of the airways are prerequisites for exercise induced asthma. From published experiments we conclude that dry air breathing is associated with vasodilatation and increase in airway blood flow rather than vasoconstriction and a decrease in blood flow to the airways. We propose that the stimulus for the increase in airway blood flow is an increase in osmolarity of the airway submucosa. This osmotic change is caused by the movement of water to the airway lumen in response to evaporative water loss during hyperpnoea. The increase in airway blood flow may occur directly or indirectly by the osmotic release of mediators. Exercise induced asthma is most likely to be due to the contraction of bronchial smooth muscle caused by the same mediators. Whether it is enhanced or inhibited by alterations in airway blood flow is not yet established in man.

Evidence for rapid rewarming and reactive hyperaemia of the airways as the critical event

The evidence for the theory that rapid rewarming with reactive hyperaemia of the airways is the critical event in the development of exercise induced asthma comes from a series of studies. The first, published in 1986, showed that, when rapid rewarming of the airways was prevented in asthmatic subjects by giving them cold air to breathe during recovery, the fall in FEV₁ (forced expiratory volume in one second) was reduced to less than 10%. In the same study it was also shown that the airway response to four minutes of exercise during the breathing of cold air could be increased if the airways were rapidly rewarmed by giving the subjects hot, humid air to inhale during the recovery period. This happened in normal as well as asthmatic subjects. It was suggested that to induce obstruction a “thermal gradient seems to be necessary at the end of the challenge.” A more rapid increase in airway temperature was shown to occur at the end of exercise in asthmatic subjects than in normal subjects.
This finding was thought to reflect a hyperplastic bronchial circulation in the asthmatic subjects. The authors concluded that the development of obstruction "appears to consist of vasoconstriction and airway cooling during exercise followed by a rapid re-supply of heat when exercise ceases." Other evidence given in support of the rapid rewarming theory of exercise induced asthma comes from the relation of changes in intra-airway temperature to changes in FEV₁, measured on a separate occasion, after repetitive exercise, and to increases in vascular volume produced by anti-shock trousers and by the rapid infusion of saline.

Incriminating the bronchial circulation in the narrowing of the airways would be attractive, particularly as oedema serves to amplify the effects of bronchial smooth muscle contraction. This hypothesis, however, raises questions about the prerequisites for the development of exercise induced asthma. Further, the experimental technique used in these studies raises the important question of whether a change in intra-airway temperature is an index of a change in airway blood flow. This article addresses these questions. The argument is put forward that vasoconstriction and reactive hyperaemia in response to airway cooling are unlikely to be prerequisites for exercise induced asthma. Further, we suggest that the osmotic effects of evaporative water loss and mucosal dehydration may be the signal for an increase in bronchial blood flow. We conclude that it has yet to be established that increased bronchial blood flow plays a part in the airway narrowing of exercise induced asthma.

Is vasoconstriction a prerequisite for exercise induced asthma and does it occur in response to airway cooling?

Vasoconstriction is a prerequisite for exercise induced asthma it must occur both when the inspired air is of subfreezing temperature and when it is as high as 80°C—because airway narrowing follows hyperpnoea in the same individuals under both conditions. If asthmatics do develop vasoconstriction during hyperpnoea with dry air this would not only fulfill a requirement for reactive hyperaemia but also enhance the potential for airway drying and changes in osmolarity. There is recent experimental evidence, however, both in humans and in man, that airway blood flow increases in response to the breathing of dry air over a wide range of temperatures. Agostoni et al. studied 50 patients having coronary artery bypass surgery, in which both the coronary circulation and the pulmonary circulation are bypassed. They found that when their patients were ventilated with dry air at a time when their airways were cool (about 27°C) the airway blood flow was substantially greater (41 SE 0.06 ml/min) than it was while they were being ventilated with warm humid air (21.7 (1.8) ml/min). Several important questions remain unanswered. Does bronchial blood flow increase during hyperpnoea with dry air in individuals with asthma, and, if so, what is the time course of this response?

If the blood flow in asthmatic individuals increases in response to dry air—as it does in non-asthmatic subjects—at rest, reactive hyperaemia is unlikely to occur after exercise.

Does an abnormal temperature gradient need to exist for exercise induced asthma to occur?

There is evidence that neither rapid rewarming nor an abnormal temperature gradient in the airways need to occur for an attack of asthma to be provoked by hyperpnoea. Although an abnormally large temperature gradient is easily achieved by inspiring cold dry air during hyperpnoea, this clearly does not happen when hot dry air is inspired. For example, in the study of Deal et al. the expired air temperature during exercise was 32.3°C and 37.1°C respectively when dry air of 25°C and 80°C was inspired during exercise and for four minutes after exercise. Under these conditions the severity of exercise induced asthma was not significantly different, the falls in FEV₁ being 32% and 35%. The temperature gradient between the mouth and the alveoli created by breathing air at 25°C was about 5°C, whereas no gradient was created by breathing air of 80°C (on the assumption that the alveolar temperature is 37°C). Although expired air temperature does not necessarily reflect local airway temperatures, experimental data do show that temperatures at the mouth are equal to, or lower than, those recorded in the lower airways.

Even though substantial heat is lost, owing to the evaporation of water, when hot air is inspired, the airways may not be cooled to a temperature that normally recorded at rest during the breathing of ambient air. In support of this, Zawadaski et al. found that the expired air temperature was higher during hyperpnoea with hot air than it was when the same subjects inspired room air at resting ventilation! The asthmatic subjects had a fall in FEV₁ of 0.5 (SE 0.07) 1 after hyperpnoea with hot air but no fall was reported after they had been resting. Furthermore, if an abnormal temperature gradient is all that is necessary to provoke airway narrowing then it should occur when inspired air conditions are changed from cold to hot during resting ventilation, but it does not. Thus for exercise induced asthma to occur there is no requirement for the airways either to be cooled to a temperature lower than that recorded under normal inspired air conditions or to develop a temperature gradient between exercise and the cessation of exercise.

To address the question of temperature gradient further, Ingenito et al. studied the bronchoconstrictor effect brought about by inspiring cold gas mixtures with different volume-heat capacities but the same water carrying capacity (SF₆0₂ and HeO₂). They found no relation between temperature gradient and heat loss or between total heat.
loss and airway response. They did, however, find a significant correlation between evaporative heat loss and change in lung function.

**Do the airways have to rewarm rapidly after exertion or hyperpnoea?**

It is well recognised that many people with asthma develop exercise induced asthma during exercise at a time when airway temperature is low and before rearming could occur. This is particularly evident in patients with severe exercise induced asthma who exercise for eight minutes rather than four minutes. Several experiments have shown that the rate of rearming may not necessarily determine the severity of the airway response. For example, when the condition of the air was cold during exercise and either cold or room temperature air was inspired during recovery, there was no difference in the maximum reduction in FEV₁ (% fall in FEV₁, 20 and 19 respectively). The thermal gradients and rate of rearming would have been substantially different under these two conditions of recovery but the only difference was the time at which the fall in FEV₁ occurred (15 versus five minutes after exercise). Similarly, no difference was found in the severity of exercise induced asthma in the study of Hahn et al when both exercise and recovery were in an environmental chamber set to 9°C or 36°C. Further, the finding that rapid rearming of the airways with saturated air at body temperature exacerbates exercise induced asthma was not confirmed in children. No differences were found in the airway responses when either cold dry air or fully saturated air at body temperature was inhaled during recovery from exercise. As in other studies, the duration of exercise appeared to be the important factor in obtaining a maximal airway response.

**Is a change in intra-airway temperature an index of airway perfusion?**

Intra-airway temperature measurements have shown that asthmatic subjects rearm their airways more quickly than do normal subjects. This is thought to reflect a difference in the nature of the bronchial circulation, asthmatic individuals having a more hyperplastic circulation. When the expiratory flow rates are reduced during exercise induced asthma, however, the air inspired resides in the alveoli longer. The finding that the airways are more rapidly rewarmed after exercise that has provoked an attack of asthma may be explained simply by an increase in residence time of the gases in the airways. Rapid rearming, rather than reflecting an increase in bronchial blood flow, may simply be a consequence of the increase in residence time and thus airway temperature produced by airway narrowing.

It has also been suggested that a rapid increase in the blood volume of the bronchial circulation reduces thermal gradients and thus reduces exercise induced asthma. One experiment has been the use of anti-shock trousers, which when activated give a sudden increase in the volume of blood in the airways. Suddenly increasing the blood volume in the airways attenuates the temperature gradient and reduces the airway response. In a second experiment intravenous infusion of about two litres of warm isotonic fluid was given. As plasma volume decreases rather than increases with short term exercise of high intensity these experiments do not mimic the effects of exercise. Further, a wider range of hormonal responses could be produced by an increase in vascular volume, making interpretation of these data difficult.

**The microvasculature and drugs used in the treatment and prevention of exercise induced asthma**

The effects of many drugs in both the prevention and the reversal of exercise induced asthma are not in keeping with the theory of reactive hyperaemia of the bronchial circulation as the important event in exercise induced asthma. It is hard to explain the inhibitory effect of sodium cromoglycate on exercise induced asthma on the basis of any effect on vascular smooth muscle. Further, exercise induced asthma is reversed acutely in most patients with β₂ adrenoceptor agonists. Because many β₂ adrenoceptor agonists are potent vasodilators, a rapid reversal of airway narrowing would not be expected if the cause of this narrowing were vascular engorgement.

It has been suggested that “when given after exercise beta agonists could speed the removal of oedema.” This suggestion was based on the action of the β₂ adrenoceptor agonists in inhibiting histamine induced oedema in the skin. The role of β₂ adrenoceptor agonists in decreasing microvascular permeability and preventing oedema is well known. There is no evidence, however, that these drugs reverse oedema once it has occurred, and this is unlikely to be their mode of action in reversing exercise induced asthma. By contrast ephedrine, a potent vasoconstrictor, might be expected to be a powerful preventive agent in exercise induced asthma when given orally, but it is not. Theophylline, which is thought to prevent extravasation from blood vessels and reduce airway oedema, has only a partially preventive effect in exercise induced asthma, even when given intravenously during exercise. The drugs that act to relax vascular smooth muscle but also reduce exercise induced asthma (for example, calcium antagonists, nitrates, α receptor antagonists) may act by increasing blood flow, thereby improving water delivery and enhancing the clearance of mediators rather than reducing temperature gradients.

**What is the signal for an increase in bronchial blood flow?**

On the basis of the experimental evidence on airway temperature and changes in airway blood flow, airway drying appears to be associated with an increase in airway blood flow. We think that an increase in osmolarity of the airway surface liquid, epithelial cells, and air...
The potent stimulus
exercise. This high osmolarity would act as a potent stimulus for water to enter the airway lumen from the epithelial cells and from the submucosa either via the paracellular pathway or via the epithelial cells. If the water lost from the airway surface liquid layer by evaporation is replaced by water from epithelial cells, and if the epithelial cell volume is replaced by water coming from the submucosa, there should be an increase in the concentration of ions surrounding the blood vessels. We propose that, in response to airway drying, there is a small increase in osmolarity in the submucosa of the airways or epithelial cells, and this in some way acts as the stimulus for the airway blood flow to increase for the delivery of more water.

Evidence supporting osmolarity as the stimulus for increased bronchial blood flow
Deffebach et al. showed that vasodilatation of the tracheal circulation occurred in response to hypertonic, but not isotonic, solutions perfusing the trachea. This indicates that vasodilatation and an increase in blood flow can occur independently of thermal events, and as a result of increased osmolarity of the perfusion fluid. The blood flow to skeletal muscle has also been shown to increase in response to very small changes in osmolarity (10–20 mOsmol) brought about by the generation of lactate.

Even though blood flow may increase and water may diffuse rapidly through the circulation, the demands for it during hyperpnoea may outstrip the supply. Studies by Tabka et al. show the inability of the human airways to maintain a fluid balance during the breathing of warm dry air. They showed that during 15 minutes of exercise at 50 watts breathing dry air of 28°C, the concentration of expired water decreased in both normal and asthmatic subjects to less than 29 mg/l, which was less than 80% relative humidity. In the normal subjects the concentration of expired water was restored to normal within five minutes, whereas in the asthmatic subjects it was not. The authors suggested that this would be in keeping with dehydration and hyperosmolality of the airway mucosa. These findings could be explained by insufficient delivery of water during exercise in both the normal and the asthmatic subjects. If this is the case, then this water delivery appears to be still reduced during recovery. There is pathological evidence that the return of water is insufficient to replace the water lost by evaporation during mechanical ventilation in man. Mathematical models of heat and water loss from the airways also confirm the potential for substantial loss of water from below the pharynx, even when temperate air is inspired at moderate ventilation rates.

From the intra-airway temperature measurements given by Gilbert et al. an analysis of the magnitude of water lost from the trachea to the fifth generation has been made. This analysis showed that the airway surface could become dehydrated within a few minutes even if the water is lost at only 1 mg/l. Dehydration of the trachea could occur very quickly as less than 68 mg of airway surface liquid is thought to cover it. Even the epithelial cells could be significantly dehydrated within five minutes. Gilbert et al. were unable to conclude that dehydration and an increase in osmolarity of the airway surface liquid were important. The reason for this was that they inadvertently calculated the volume of water lost as a proportion of the volume available over 17 generations of airways rather than as a proportion of the volume in the five generations over which the loss occurred.

Mechanisms whereby an increase in osmolarity in the submucosa increases airway blood flow
Increases in osmolarity cause mast cells to release histamine. In addition to producing its well recognised effect in contracting bronchial smooth muscle the histamine could also act on receptors on the precapillary sphincters causing relaxation and an increase in blood flow. If an increase in osmolarity of the submucosa does cause an increase in airway blood flow and a movement of fluid into the interstitial space, this may not necessarily cause oedema, but could merely lead to a rapid opening of junctions between endothelial cells. In these circumstances the osmotic gradient may be quickly reduced.

In addition to increasing blood flow, possibly the increases in osmolarity also cause leakage from the airway microvasculature. The mediator for this may be one or more of the sensory neuropeptides. Evidence for this comes from experiments in rats, in which an increase in vascular permeability occurred with exposure to hyperosmolar sodium chloride. This effect could be diminished by pretreatment with capsaicin, increased by pretreatment with phosphoramidon, and simulated by giving substance P.

If increased airway osmolarity, rather than airway cooling, is the stimulus for increased airway blood flow, this would serve to explain several observations about exercise induced asthma. For example, it would explain why both intensity and duration of hyperpnoea are important determinants of the response. The longer and more intense the hyperpnoea the greater is the number of airways that will become hyperosmolar. It would also explain why a temperature gradient or abnormal cooling of the airways is not necessary for the development of exercise induced asthma.

The beneficial effect on exercise induced asthma of many of the drugs that are vasodilators can be explained on the basis of improving water delivery to the airways. Further, the refractory period could be explained by improved water delivery to the airways caused by a persistent increase in airway blood flow at the time of repeated challenge. The delay in the airway response observed in many subjects until after
the cessation of hyperpnoea may in part be due to the fact that cooling is an inhibitor rather than an excitor stimulus.

It is well known from studying hyperosmolar aerosols that the airways of individuals with asthma narrow in response to an increase in osmolarity, and this is most likely to be a result of bronchial smooth muscle contraction. We have previously proposed that the osmolarity of the airway surface liquid increases in response to the evaporative water loss accompanying dry air breathing, and that exercise induced asthma is a consequence of the mediators released in response to this osmotic change. We now propose that an increase in osmolarity of the airway submucosa, through either direct or indirect effects, is the stimulus to the increase in airway blood flow observed during dry air breathing. Whether this increase in flow contributes in any way to the airway narrowing that follows exercise or hyperventilation in man has still to be established.
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