Attenuation of exercise induced asthma by local hyperthermia
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Abstract
Background Prior treatment with local hyperthermia has been shown to prevent mast cell degranulation and leucocyte histamine release, and to reduce mortality and cellular infiltrates in a model of acute lung injury. Local hyperthermia is effective in reducing the symptoms of the common cold and perennial and seasonal allergic rhinitis, nasal patency also being improved in rhinitis. It is possible that these effects are mediated by common anti-inflammatory mechanisms, and that this treatment may be effective in the treatment of asthma. The effect of prior local hyperthermia on the response to exercise challenge and histamine bronchoprovocation was therefore examined.

Methods In a randomised, double blind, placebo controlled, crossover study, 10 asthmatic subjects with exercise induced asthma used machines delivering 40 l/min of fully humidified air at either 42°C (active treatment) or 31°C (placebo treatment) for 30 minutes' tidal breathing. For each pretreatment, at two week intervals they underwent exercise challenges starting one and 24 hours after starting the inhalations. After a further two weeks the protocol was repeated with histamine substituted for the exercise challenges.

Results The mean (SE) maximum percentage fall in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) was significantly lower one hour after treatment with air at 42°C (30.8% (3.1%)) than after treatment with air at 31°C (22.3% (2.9%)). There was no significant effect on exercise challenge at 24 hours, or on histamine challenge at either time point, though there were non-significant trends towards protection with exercise at 24 hours and with histamine at one hour.

Conclusion In asthmatic subjects the response to exercise challenge is significantly attenuated one hour after treatment with local hyperthermia. This treatment warrants further investigation in the treatment of clinical asthma and other inflammatory disorders.

Local hyperthermia has been found to be effective in relieving the symptoms of the common cold and of perennial and seasonal allergic rhinitis, where nasal patency is also improved. Allergic rhinitis and asthma have many features in common with respect to their inflammatory basis, and many of the symptoms of the common cold result from the release of inflammatory mediators. There is accumulating evidence to show that local hyperthermia interferes with inflammatory mechanisms by reducing mast cell degranulation and histamine release from leucocytes after IgE dependent challenge, reduces mortality and morbidity in an animal model of acute lung injury, enhances the anti-inflammatory effects of interferon, and reduces the mononuclear cell production of interleukins 1 and 2 and of granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor and mononuclear cell natural killer activity, all of which are or represent cytokines active in the genesis of inflammation. Local hyperthermia also reduces the synthesis of normal cellular proteins, such as proinflammatory mediators and enzymes in the case of inflammatory cells, in favour of the production of heat shock proteins, whose functions are complex and ill understood but which in some instances may have important protective anti-inflammatory actions.

In view of these findings we hypothesised that local hyperthermia might have potential for the treatment of asthma. To investigate this we examined the effect of local hyperthermia, applied to the respiratory mucosa, on the response of asthmatic airways to challenge with exercise and histamine. We used exercise as an indirect stimulus to airway narrowing through mediator release, histamine being a direct stimulus acting via the H₁ receptor. The heat was delivered to the respiratory mucosa in the form of preheated humidified air at 42°C, and the study was controlled with a placebo machine delivering air at the temperature of the nasal mucosa (31°C); the two machines were used on the subjects in a randomised double blind fashion.

Methods

Subjects
Ten non-smoking asthmatic subjects (three male, seven female) aged 16–60 (mean age 38.1) years participated in the study. All had a history of exercise induced asthma. There were eight with atopic and two with non-atopic asthma as judged by at least one weal over 3 mm in diameter on skin prick testing with Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, house dust, mixed grass pollens, and cat and dog dander (Bencard, Brentford, Middlesex). Inhaled bronchodilators were withheld for at least six hours before each challenge procedure, but inhaled corticosteroids were continued without inter-
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LOCAL HYPERTHERMIA AND THE VIROTHERM MACHINES

Local hyperthermia was delivered by a device called Viroturm (patent pending; see fig 1), designed to expel fully saturated air, at 40 l/min through a ventilated face mask for normal tidal breathing. A thermostat was preset to deliver the air at specific temperatures. Two machines were used, one regulated to 42°C and the other to 31°C. The temperature of the hot, humid air leaving the two machines was verified at the point of air entry into the face mask by an independent observer, who recorded the temperature every five minutes for 60 minutes, the results not being shown to the investigators until decoding had taken place.

The machines were identical in appearance, and were coded to render the study double blind. Blinding was effected by having an interval of two weeks between the treatments with the two machines, to make recall of the relative temperatures difficult, and by not informing subjects whether the hotter or the cooler temperature was expected to have beneficial effects. Decoding was carried out after full data collection.

EXERCISE TESTING

Subjects exercised on an electrically driven treadmill (PK Morgan Ltd, Chatham, Kent) while breathing dry air at room temperature and atmospheric pressure from a 200 litre Douglas bag, supplemented as necessary, via a mouthpiece connected to a two way valve and expired into the ambient air. The room temperature varied from 16°C to 25°C during the study. The volume of air inspired was measured with a Parkinson Cowan gas meter (PK Morgan Ltd) and the data were fed into a BBC microcomputer, which displayed the inspired volume in real time on a monitor. The total inspiratory volume and the peak heart rate over the six minutes of exercise were recorded. Forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) was measured by the same dry wedge spirometer (Vitalograph, Buckingham) throughout, the higher of two consecutive readings being taken as the baseline value.

Before entering the study each volunteer undertook one to three trial six minute exercise tests on the treadmill until they were accustomed to the procedure. The gradient and speed of the treadmill were kept constant during each trial test, but were adjusted at the beginning of subsequent screening tests until a maximum fall in FEV₁, from pre-exercise baseline levels of more than 20% was achieved on two occasions. Once an adequate exercise task had been established for each subject, the treadmill gradient and speed were kept constant for all subsequent challenges in that subject. On completion of each exercise challenge subjects had FEV₁ measured at 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 minutes, the higher value of two recordings at each time point being used. Each subject then repeated the task that produced the fall in FEV₁, from baseline of more than 20% to assess reproducibility.
HISTAMINE CHALLENGE

Histamine (BDH Chemicals, Poole, Dorset) was made up freshly on each challenge day in 0.9% sodium chloride to produce a range of doubling concentrations of 0.03–64 mg/ml. The solutions were administered as aerosols generated from a starting volume of 3 ml in a disposable Inspiron Mini-nebuliser (CR Bard International, Sunderland) driven by compressed air at a pressure of 20 lb/in² (137.9 kPa), and at a rate of 8 l/min. The subjects were instructed to take five consecutive breaths from functional residual capacity to total lung capacity via a mouthpiece.2

Baseline FEV₁ was recorded as the highest of two measurements. Subjects then inhaled 0.9% saline for five breaths and FEV₁ was recorded as the higher of two measurements after one and three minutes. Provided that FEV₁ did not fall by 10% or more from the baseline value histamine provocation was undertaken. Increasing doubling concentrations of histamine were inhaled at five minute intervals and FEV₁ was measured one and three minutes after each inhalation. The challenge was terminated when the FEV₁ fell by 20% or more of the higher of the two post-saline values. The FEV₁ was plotted against the concentration of histamine on a logarithmic scale; the provocative concentration producing a fall in FEV₁ of 20% from the post-saline value (PC₂₀) was derived by linear interpolation.

PROTOCOL

The study was a placebo controlled, randomised, double blind, crossover study. The machine was set to deliver air at 31°C, representing the placebo treatment, and at 42°C, representing the active treatment. On each treatment visit the subjects inhaled the temperature conditioned humid air for 30 minutes, followed by a 30 minute interval to allow the airways to recover to normal temperature, before undergoing the exercise challenge. Twenty four hours later the subjects returned for repeat exercise testing. At least two weeks later this procedure was repeated with the second treatment. After a further two weeks subjects followed an identical protocol, but with histamine provocation substituted for the exercise challenge.

Finally, after a further two weeks, and with intervals of two weeks between treatments, subjects’ FEV₁ was recorded before, during, and after each 30 minute treatment (5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 minutes after the start) to determine whether the heat treatment had any direct effect on airway calibre.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All data are presented as means with standard errors in parentheses unless otherwise indicated. The null hypothesis was rejected at p < 0.05. Data were tested for normality (Statworks), and confirmed as such; comparisons between groups were therefore carried out with Student’s t test for paired data.

The order in which the machines were used was decided by allocating randomly generated numbers. Testing for a period effect was carried out by the method of Hills and Armitage.23 The coefficient of repeatability for the two screening exercise tests was determined by the method of Bland and Altman.34

For the exercise testing the maximum percentage fall from baseline FEV₁, and the area under the FEV₁ response-time curve (AUC), were calculated for each subject and each treatment and compared, as were the heart rate and volume of inspired air during the exercise challenges.

For the histamine challenges the PC₂₀ values were logarithmically transformed and compared; the FEV₁ recordings during and for 30 minutes after treatment were also compared, both between active and placebo treatments and with baseline FEV₁, at each time point.

Results

All subjects completed both the challenge studies and the FEV₁ recordings. There was no significant period effect. The baseline data for the subjects, including age, the screening exercise challenges, and baseline histamine provocation results, are given in table 1. All subjects were hyperresponsive to inhaled histamine, and had a fall in FEV₁ of at least 20% in both the screening exercise tests. The placebo machine was found to deliver air at 31°C and the active machine at 42°C. The coefficient of repeatability for the two screening exercise tests was 15.7%. There were no significant differences in the peak heart rate achieved or the volumes of dry air inspired during the two exercise challenges (table 2).

With placebo treatment, the exercise challenge at one hour produced a fall in FEV₁ in all subjects, which reached a maximum at 10 minutes (fig 2A). For the group as a whole the maximum fall from baseline FEV₁, was 30.8% (3.1%). The active treatment attenuated the exercise provoked fall in FEV₁, at one hour in nine of the 10 subjects (fig 3), the maximum achieved for the group being a 22.3% (2.9%) fall from baseline, significantly less than after placebo (p < 0.02). In seven of the 10 subjects (fig 3) the protection extended to 24 hours, but for the group this just failed to reach statistical significance (maximum falls in FEV₁, 28.8%...
Table 2: Mean peak heart rate (PHR) and mean of the total volume of dry air (TVDA) inspired during exercise testing for each machine at one and 24 hours for all 10 subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 h placebo</th>
<th>24 h placebo</th>
<th>1 h active</th>
<th>24 h active</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHR (min)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>146-9</td>
<td>145 8</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVDA (l)</td>
<td>258-53</td>
<td>261-93</td>
<td>242-74</td>
<td>269-83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS* for both peak heart rate and TVDA

NS* for both peak heart rate and TVDA

*Student's paired t test.

(4-0%) for placebo and 21-9% (4-3%) for active; fig 2B). Similar results were obtained when the AUC data were analysed, there being significant protection with the higher temperature at one hour (656 (94) for placebo and 436 (87) for active; p < 0-05), but no significant difference at 24 hours (536 (97) for placebo and 440 (111) for active). All subjects were hyperresponsive to inhaled histamine with geometric mean PC20 values one and 24 hours after placebo treatment of 0-1 and 0-17 mg/ml respectively. Application of the higher temperature had no significant effect on PC20 histamine at either time point after treatment (one hour 0-24 and 24 hours 0-19 mg/ml; fig 4). There were no significant changes in FEV1, either from baseline or between the two treatments, at any time point during or for 30 minutes after each treatment (fig 2C).

Discussion
Local hyperthermia significantly attenuates exercise induced asthma one hour after treatment and there is a non-significant trend towards protection at 24 hours. Peak heart rate and the volume of dry air inspired during each exercise test were not significantly different, suggesting that the treatment effect was not a result of differing work loads during exercise testing.

We chose exercise induced asthma as a reproducible means of inducing asthma, probably depending on release of inflammatory mediators and neural pathways.25 Although there is an alternative hypothesis that it depends on reactive hyperaemia induced by rapid rewarming after exercise induced cooling,26 this hypothesis is controversial.27 We consider that the weight of evidence is sufficient to implicate inflammatory mediator release, possibly via mast cell degranulation,28-31 the stimulus for release being an increase in the osmolality of the airway lining fluid rather than hyperthermia and rebound vasodilatation.32,33 Published studies examining the role of leukotriene D4 and histamine in exercise induced asthma suggest an important role for these mediators as effectors of the constrictor response.34,35

The degree of protection against exercise induced asthma afforded by local hyperthermia after one hour is similar to that afforded by inhaled sodium cromoglycate36 and nedocromil sodium,37 but not as great as that afforded by inhaled β2 agonists,38 which are known to be much more potent inhibitors of mast cell degranulation in addition to being functional antagonists.39 The mechanisms by which this treatment achieved its effect and the explanations for the size of the effect achieved are unknown, but there are several possibilities that might explain why only a partial effect occurred. Firstly, the method of heat delivery to the lower airway mucosa with the active treatment is likely to have been suboptimal, resulting in bronchial mucosal temperatures below the theoretically desired 43°C. The apparatus was designed to increase the temperature of the nasal mucosa, which it does effectively,1 but efficient heat delivery to the lower airway is much more complex, and would be better achieved with a mouthpiece and a nose clip rather than the methods used in this study.

Figure 2: Effect of local hyperthermia treatment (open squares) and placebo (closed squares) on A—the FEV1 response to an exercise challenge one hour after treatment; B—the FEV1 response to an exercise challenge 24 hours after treatment; C—baseline airway calibre. FEV1 was recorded during (hatched area) and for 30 minutes after each treatment. Each point represents the mean and the bars the SE for 10 subjects.
cells are known to release preformed mediators, such as histamine, by degranulation, whereas the products of arachidonic acid metabolism are newly synthesised on stimulation of the cell. The use of terfenadine, a histamine H₁ receptor antagonist, and flurbiprofen, a cyclo-oxygenase inhibitor, in exercise induced asthma has suggested that histamine release may be maximal in the first five minutes of the response, whereas prostanoid release peaks later, around 15 minutes after exercise. Examination of the degree of separation of the curves in figures 2A and 2B suggests that one hour after treatment the greatest degree of protection afforded by local hyperthermia occurs within the first five minutes after exercise, at a time when histamine release is thought to be at its peak. In contrast, any protection that may be present 24 hours after the treatment is not apparent in the first five minutes but is most apparent between five and 20 minutes, when the products of arachidonic acid metabolism are at their most active.

Finally, exercise induced asthma may be brought about by a combination of mechanisms, including both mediator release induced by osmotic change and reactive hyperaemia. The evidence outlined above would suggest that local hyperthermia might inhibit the former. Whether or not prior heating of the airway mucosa has an effect in reducing exercise induced vasoconstriction and as a consequence diminishes rebound vasodilatation is not known, but this seems unlikely in view of the 30 minute interval between the treatment and the exercise provoked airway cooling.

It is well established that inhalation of hypotonic aerosols may induce bronchoconstriction in asthmatic subjects. In the present study the quantity of water delivered to the airways was insufficient to cause any direct constrictor effect.

Histamine inhalation was used to examine the effects of thermal treatment on an index of non-specific airways responsiveness. In guinea pig airways experimental evidence suggests a reduction in smooth muscle contractility at higher than physiological temperatures. In the present study we failed to find any significant differences between the effects of active and placebo treatments on histamine responsiveness measured at either one or 24 hours, though there was a trend towards protection at one hour, which might have become statistically significant with larger numbers in the study. Such a small effect, however, is unlikely to be clinically significant.

In conclusion, we have shown that local hyperthermia reduces the severity of exercise induced asthma one hour after treatment. Further studies on the potential of local hyperthermia in the treatment of asthma and other inflammatory conditions, as well as the mechanisms of this protection, are warranted.
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