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Abstract

Background The direct effect of bronchoconstriction on cough receptor sensitivity is unknown, and the antitussive effect of β₂ adrenergic agonists in man has been controversial. This study was designed to throw light on these questions.

Methods The threshold of the cough response to inhaled capsaicin, a stimulant acting on C fibre endings, and tartaric acid, a chemostimulant, was measured before and 10 minutes after inhalation of methacholine, which caused a nearly 20% fall in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), in 14 normal subjects (study 1), and also before and 30 minutes after inhalation of procaterol (30 μg), placebo, and saline in eight normal subjects (study 2). Progressively increasing concentrations of capsaicin and tartaric acid solutions were inhaled for 15 seconds by mouth tidal breathing at one minute intervals and cough threshold was defined as the lowest concentration of capsaicin and tartaric acid that elicited five or more coughs.

Results In study 1 the geometric mean values of the cough threshold of response to capsaicin and tartaric acid before methacholine challenge, 2.98 (GSE1:30) μg/ml and 46.6 (1:22) mg/ml, were not significantly different from those of the response to methacholine inhalation, 3.45 (1:33) μg/ml and 32.9 (1:37) mg/ml. In study 2 the geometric mean value of the cough threshold of response to capsaicin before inhalation of procaterol (4:61 (GSE1:84) μg/ml) was not different from that after inhalation of procaterol (4:61 (GSE1:84) μg/ml), which had significant bronchodilator effects. The cough threshold was not altered by placebo or saline.

Conclusions These findings suggest that muscarinic receptor stimulation, bronchoconstriction, β₂ receptor stimulation, or bronchodilatation might have no direct effect on the sensitivity of the cough receptors in normal subjects.

Cough is a very common presenting symptom in general practice and the chest clinic. Although it has been established that cough may be the sole manifestation in patients with asthma and bronchodilators such as β₂ adrenergic agonists and theophylline relieve the cough, whether bronchodilators have an antitussive effect on cough induced by conditions other than asthma has been a matter of controversy. Salem and Ariado proposed that cough receptors are stimulated by local bronchoconstriction rather than through direct interaction with the stimulus. Some evidence, however, suggests that cough occurs independently of bronchoconstriction. Indeed, we have practical experience of bronchodilator resistant cough that is completely relieved by histamine H₂ blockers or corticosteroids, or both (unpublished data). This study was conducted to determine whether changes in bronchomotor tone, β₂ adrenergic agonists, and muscarinic agonists influence the sensitivity of cough receptors to inhaled cough stimuli. We examined the effects of methacholine induced bronchoconstriction and procaterol induced bronchodilatation on the threshold of cough in response to inhaled tartaric acid and capsaicin in normal subjects.

Methods

SUBJECTS

Fourteen normal 20 year old women participated in study 1 (table 1) and another eight normal women, with a mean age of 20.4 (range 20–21) years, participated in study 2. All subjects were non-smokers, had no respiratory symptoms, and had not had a viral infection for at least four weeks. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects. These studies were approved by the ethics committee of our university hospital.

MEASUREMENT OF COUGH SENSITIVITY

We determined the cough threshold as an index of cough receptor sensitivity by the method we have described previously. Tartaric acid (Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd, Tokyo) was dissolved in physiological (normal) saline to make solutions of 1:56, 3:12, 6:25, 12:5, 25, 50, 100, 200, 400 and 800 mg/ml. Capsaicin (30.5 mg) was dissolved in Tween 80 (1 ml) and ethanol (1 ml) and then in normal saline (8 ml) to make a stock solution of 3:05 mg/ml (1 × 10⁻³ mol/l), which was stored at −20°C.
STUDY 2

Proving provocative FVC-forced vital capacity; FEV₁-forced expiratory volume in one second; PC₂₀meth—provocative concentration of methacholine producing a 20% or more fall in FEV₁.

Table 1 Details of the subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects No</th>
<th>FVC (l)</th>
<th>% FVC (%) pred</th>
<th>FEV₁ (l)</th>
<th>% FEV₁ (%) pred</th>
<th>PC₂₀meth (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STUDY 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STUDY 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This solution was diluted with physiological saline to make solutions of 0·372, 0·744, 1·49, 2·98, 6·00, 11·9, 23·8, 47·6, 95·2, and 190 µg/ml. Subjects inhaled a control solution of normal saline followed by progressively increasing concentrations of the tartaric acid or the capsaicin solution. They inhaled solutions for 15 seconds by mouth tidal breathing, wearing a nose clip, every 60 seconds from a Bennett Twin nebuliser (3012–60 ml, Puritan-Bennett Company, Carlsbad, California), inhaling increasing concentrations until they coughed at least five times. The nebuliser output was 0·21 ml/min. The cough threshold was defined as the lowest concentration of the cough stimulant that elicited five or more coughs.

METHACHOLINE INDUCED BRONCHOCONSTRICTION

Bronchoconstriction was produced by methacholine inhalation. The provocative concentration of methacholine causing a 20% or greater fall in the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁)—the PC₂₀ meth—was determined (table 1). Methacholine was dissolved in physiological saline to make solutions of 0·04, 0·08, 0·16, 0·31, 0·63, 1·25, 2·5, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, and 160 mg/ml. Methacholine was inhaled from a Devilbiss 646 nebuliser (Devilbiss Company, Somerset, Pennsylvania) operated by compressed air at 5 l/min. The nebuliser output was 0·28 ml/2 min. Subjects inhaled methacholine for two minutes by mouth tidal breathing, wearing a nose clip, and FEV₁ was measured with a dry wedge spirometer (Transfer Test, PK Morgan Ltd, Chatham, Kent). Increasing concentrations were inhaled until FEV₁ fell by 20% or more.

STUDY DESIGN

Study 1: effect of bronchoconstriction on cough receptor sensitivity

The tartaric acid or capsaicin cough threshold was determined before and 10 minutes after inhalation of the PC₂₀ concentration of methacholine or saline for two minutes. Three spirometric measurements were carried out at one minute intervals on three occasions (before and immediately after methacholine inhalation and after the post-methacholine measurement of cough threshold) to ensure that the cough threshold was determined during methacholine induced bronchoconstriction. The best FEV₁ value of the three measurements on each occasion was kept for data analysis. When all the measurements were completed a β₂ adrenergic agonist, salbutamol, was inhaled to relieve the bronchoconstriction.

Study 2: Effect of bronchodilation on cough receptor sensitivity

The capsaicin cough threshold was determined before and 30 minutes after inhalation of 30 µg of procaterol or placebo (freon gas alone) from a metered dose inhaler or inhalation of saline from a Devilbiss 646 nebuliser for two minutes. Partial and maximum expiratory flow-volume curves were produced by the method of Barnes and coworkers on two occasions, before the control measurement of capsaicin cough threshold and before the cough threshold measurement after the test drug had been inhaled, to evaluate the bronchodilator effect of the test drug. Maximum expiratory flow at 25% forced vital capacity (FVC) on the partial expiratory flow-volume curve (PCF₂₅) and FEV₁ were measured as indices of bronchodilator tone. The curve with the largest FVC out of three partial and full flow-volume curves produced at one minute intervals was retained for analysis on each occasion.

DATA ANALYSIS

Cough threshold values are given as geometric means, with the geometric standard error of the mean (GSE) expressed as a factor, and values of FVC, FEV₁, and PEF₂₅ as arithmetic means and standard errors of the mean (SE).

We have compared geometric mean values for the cough threshold before and after methacholine induced bronchoconstriction (study 1) and before and after procaterol induced bronchodilation (study 2) by Student’s paired t test. A p value of 0·05 or less is taken as significant.

RESULTS

Study 1: Effect of methacholine on cough receptor sensitivity

Tartaric acid cough threshold

The geometric mean value for the tartaric acid cough threshold was 46·6 (GSE 1·22) mg/ml before inhalation of methacholine and 32·9 (GSE 1·37) mg/ml afterwards (fig 1), a nonsignificant difference. Saline inhalation did not alter the cough threshold (40·5 (GSE 1·29) mg/ml before and 37·8 (1·69) mg/ml after saline; fig 2). There was also no difference between the cough thresholds before inhalation of methacholine and of saline or between the cough thresholds after inhalation of methacholine and...
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Figure 1. Threshold of cough response to tartaric acid and capsaicin before and after methacholine induced bronchoconstriction in 14 normal subjects. Each open circle with bar represents the geometric mean value with the geometric standard error of the mean.

of saline. Furthermore, the ratio of the cough threshold after methacholine inhalation to the initial value was 0.99 (SE 0.27), which was not significantly different from the ratio of the cough threshold after saline inhalation to the initial value, 1.02 (0.13). As shown in table 2, FEV₁ fell significantly immediately after inhalation of methacholine and after the post-methacholine determination of the tartaric acid cough threshold. Inhalation of saline did not change FEV₁.

Capsaicin cough threshold
The capsaicin cough threshold was 2.98 (GSE 1.30) µg/ml before methacholine inhalation and 3.45 (GSE 1.33) µg/ml afterwards, a non-significant difference (fig 1). The cough threshold was not influenced by inhalation of saline (fig 2). There was no difference between the cough threshold before inhalation of methacholine and saline or after inhalation of methacholine and saline. The ratios of the cough threshold after inhalation of methacholine and saline to each initial value were 1.20 (SE 0.18) and 1.25 (SE 0.24), again a non-significant difference. As shown in table 2, the decrease in FEV₁ was significant after inhalation of methacholine and after the post-methacholine determination of the cough threshold. Saline inhalation had no influence on FEV₁.

Study 2: Effect of procaterol on cough receptor sensitivity
The geometric mean value of the capsaicin cough threshold was 4.61 (GSE 1.84) µg/ml before inhalation of procaterol and 4.61 (GSE 1.84) µg/ml afterwards (fig 3). There was no significant difference between these values. The cough threshold was not altered by inhalation of placebo or saline (3.54 (1.68) µg/ml before placebo and 4.21 (1.79) µg/ml after placebo; 5.00 (1.87) µg/ml before saline and 4.61 (1.79) µg/ml after saline (fig 3). There were no differences among the cough thresholds before inhalation of procaterol, placebo, and saline, and the cough thresholds after these inhalations did not differ from each other. As shown in table 3, FEV₁ and PEF₉₀ were significantly increased by inhalation of procaterol, whereas neither FEV₁ nor PEF₉₀ was significantly influenced by placebo or saline.

Discussion
Cough usually results from the stimulation of sensory nerves in the airway.8 The larynx has two types of cough receptors: myelinated irregularly firing irritant receptors9 and non-myelinated C fibre endings.10 The tracheobronchial tree has also two types of cough receptors: myelinated rapidly adapting stretch receptors (or “irritant receptors”)12 and non-myelinated bronchial C fibre endings.13 In this study we used tartaric acid and capsaicin to provoke cough. It has been proposed that chemostimulants such as citric acid elicit cough mainly by stimulating irritant receptors.7 In this study we used tartaric acid as a tussive agent, which is considered to be a chemo-stimulant like citric acid, though details of its mode of action are not well understood. It has been postulated that capsaicin, on the other hand, the active ingredient of red pepper, produces cough mainly by stimulating C fibre endings.14 15 Inhaled capsaicin probably acts mainly on the larynx, trachea, and major bronchi, which are areas with the greatest sensitivity for provocation of cough.16 17 Although the larynx may be the initial site of cough stimulation, the sublaryngeal airways may also contribute to the response, as patients who have had a laryngectomy still cough when they inhale capsaicin through their tracheostomy tubes.18

Irritant receptors are located in the airway wall and it has been proposed that they are probably influenced by the airway deformation caused by smooth muscle contraction because bronchoconstriction agents have been found to
activate irritant receptors.19 On the other hand, there is some evidence that cough occurs independently of bronchoconstriction.16 Eschenbacher et al20 reported that alteration of the osmolarity of inhaled aerosols caused cough and bronchoconstriction but absence of a permanent anion caused cough alone. Simonsson and coworkers20 also showed that atropine reduced citric acid induced bronchoconstriction but not citric acid induced cough in patients with asthma. To our knowledge, a direct effect of bronchoconstriction and muscarinic agonists on cough receptor sensitivity has not been reported. This study was conducted to examine whether or not methacholine induced bronchoconstriction enhances the cough induced by inhaled capsaicin and tartaric acid and to confirm that inhaled β2 agonists have no direct effect on the cough elicited by inhaled capsaicin. The dose-response curve for capsaicin induced cough is reliably reproducible when the challenge is repeated after an interval of more than 15 minutes,21 as was the case in both our studies. As saline or placebo hardly altered individual cough thresholds in this study, the reproducibility of our method is considered to be good.

In normal subjects our results showed that inhaled procaterol in a dose of 30 μg, which was enough to reduce bronchomotor tone (56% increase in PEF₁₅), had no effect on capsaicin induced cough. In addition, inhaling sufficient methacholine to cause at least a 20% fall in FEV₁ did not influence the cough sensitivity to capsaicin and tartaric acid. Inhaled β2 agonists have been shown to have a role as antitussive agents in some conditions, such as asthma characterised by cough.12 In other studies cough induced by distilled water and prostaglandin F₂α was reduced by inhalation of fenoterol in both asthmatic and normal subjects;22-24 citric acid induced cough was inhibited by inhaled salbutamol in asthmatic subjects25 and bronchoscopy induced cough is attenuated by inhaled fenoterol.26 Thus it has been postulated that β2 agonists may reduce the input from myelinated slowly adapting stretch receptors located in the membranous posterior wall of conducting airways by causing bronchodilation, which could lead to a reduction of the cough reflex, and that they could also have a direct effect on sensory nerves, which may possess inhibitory β2 receptors, and on epithelium to alter the penetration of the tussive agents to the nerves.3 Inhaled salbutamol has been shown to have no effect on cough induced by citric acid and capsaicin in normal subjects.24-25 Our findings confirm the lack of effect of inhaled β2 agonists on capsaicin cough threshold in normal subjects. Accordingly, we may speculate that indirect mechanisms are responsible for the attenuating effect of inhaled β2 agonists on the cough induced by distilled water and prostaglandin F₂α, both of which are likely to change the surroundings, the vicinity of cough receptors, perhaps by releasing chemical mediators27-28 and changing epithelial ion transport. Indeed, β2 agonists have been shown to inhibit an allergic mediator release29-30 and inhaled frusemide has been reported to inhibit cough induced by solutions with a low chloride content.31 Inhalation of lignocaine, however, has been reported to block cough, but not bronchoconstriction, and sodium cromoglycate to block bronchoconstriction, but not cough.32 At present we do not know the mechanism of the indirect antitussive effect of β2 agonists.

The other main result of this study is that bronchoconstriction induced by methacholine failed to augment the sensitivity of cough receptors to capsaicin and tartaric acid in normal subjects. Our recent studies (un-
published) indicate that capsaicin inhaled by the method used in this study reaches to relatively peripheral airways as PEF fallen by a mean of 21% after inhalation of capsaicin in a cough threshold dose in asthmatic subjects and 7.8% in normal subjects. If bronchoconstriction per se enhances the cough receptor sensitivity of the bronchi, methacholine induced bronchoconstriction could be expected to augment the capsaicin cough sensitivity. Consequently, this study suggests that cough receptor sensitivity may not be directly influenced by bronchoconstriction or bronchodilatation.
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