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Abstract

Background The airway response to bronchial provocation may be evaluated by monitoring the fall in transcutaneous oxygen tension (Ptco₂) but the repeatability of this method has not been rigorously assessed.

Methods To determine the repeatability of this indirect method of assessment, bronchial challenge was performed with methacholine in nine children with stable asthma (age range 6–12 years) and was repeated 24 hours later. The response was determined by the fall both in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) and in Ptco₂. A modified tidal inhalation protocol was used in which quadrupling concentrations of methacholine were given, thereby reducing the time taken for the full challenge by almost half. The concentrations of methacholine that provoked a 20% decrease in FEV₁ (PC₂₀FEV₁) and 15% and 10% falls in Ptco₂ (PC₁₅Ptco₂, PC₁₀Ptco₂) were calculated.

Results Repeatability measures, assessed as the 95% range for a single determination, were ±0–96 and ±1·12 doubling concentration differences respectively for PC₁₀Ptco₂ and PC₁₅Ptco₂ and ±0·80 for PC₂₀FEV₁.

Conclusion This challenge method using quadrupling concentrations and an indirect assessment of the response by Ptco₂ was sufficiently repeatable for clinical use and compared favourably with repeated challenge assessed by FEV₁. The Ptco₂ method is simple and effort independent, and should prove particularly useful for measuring bronchial reactivity in young children.

(Thorax 1992;47:804–808)

Bronchial responsiveness in young children is often technically difficult to measure because of their inability to cooperate with lung function tests. Several studies have shown that the airway response to bronchial provocation with non-specific agents or cold air can be evaluated indirectly by monitoring the transcutaneous oxygen tension (Ptco₂). This method is not invasive, is effort independent, and has been successfully used with infants and preschool and older children.³ ⁴ ⁵ ⁶

To investigate the reliability of the method, we have examined the repeatability of responses to methacholine challenge repeated within 24 hours. The repeatability with Ptco₂ determination was compared with that with the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), which is widely accepted in clinical practice as a reliable method of assessing the response to bronchial challenge. The usual challenge procedures for methacholine are time consuming and tedious with uncooperative young children. Although abbreviated or rapid inhalation challenge protocols exist,³ ⁴ ⁵ ⁶ ⁷ the subject has to participate by inhaling the bronchoconstrictor stimulus during a timed inspiratory breath. We have modified the continuous tidal inhalation protocol, so reducing the time taken for the full challenge by almost half.

Methods

SUBJECTS

Twelve children with stable, well controlled asthma (age range 6–12 years) were selected from the children’s asthma clinic. They were all capable of performing reproducible FEV₁ manoeuvres after a short training period. Bronchodilator and sodium cromoglycate therapy were withheld for at least 12 hours before each challenge. Topical corticosteroid therapy was not interrupted. No subject had had a respiratory infection within the previous six weeks.

CHALLENGE PROCEDURE

The challenge test was carried out using a continuous inhalation tidal breathing method.³ ⁴ ⁵ ⁶ Aerosols of methacholine chloride (Sigma Chemicals Ltd, Poole, Dorset) were delivered from a Wright nebuliser containing 5 ml of solution producing a mean (SD) output of 0·14 (0·01) ml/minute using 8 l/minute of air as the driving gas. The methacholine solutions were made with 0·9% saline as the diluent and were renewed after each challenge. The same nebuliser was used throughout the study. A clip was placed on the nose and each aerosol was inhaled through a mouthpiece during quiet, tidal breathing for one minute. Methacholine was inhaled at five minute intervals, firstly in concentrations that increased fourfold from 0·5 mg/ml, and then in twofold increasing concentrations after the Ptco₂ had fallen by approximately 1 kPa from the mean baseline value. The challenge was continued until a 15% fall in Ptco₂ had occurred or the maximum concentration of 16 mg/ml had been delivered.
MEASUREMENT OF RESPONSE TO CHALLENGE

The response to challenge was monitored with a transcutaneous oxygen tension electrode (Model 820, Kontron Instruments Ltd, Switzerland) operating at 44°C and applied to the anterior chest wall. The electrode had previously been calibrated against air at room temperature, and was rechecked at the end of each study for drift (tolerance ±0-1 kPa).

Baseline values of PtcO₂ were obtained at minute intervals for eight minutes after a 20-30 minute equilibration period. After each challenge inhalation, PtcO₂ values were recorded at minute intervals for three minutes immediately before the FEV₁ measurement. The maximal fall in PtcO₂ after each inhalation was used to construct a concentration-response curve.

The FEV₁ was measured with a dry wedge spirometer (Vitalograph, Buckingham, UK). Before challenge, the highest value from three technically satisfactory attempts was accepted and the predicted FEV₁ was calculated. After each challenge inhalation an FEV₁ was measured at three minutes and repeated only if the first attempt was technically unsatisfactory.

The FEV₁ was recorded at three minutes since this timing was found to coincide with the maximal fall in PtcO₂ after induced bronchoconstriction. The FEV₁ manoeuvre was always performed after recording the PtcO₂ since a maximal inspiration tends to increase transiently the PtcO₂. The lowest FEV₁ measured after each inhalation was used to construct a concentration-response curve.

STUDY DESIGN

Each subject performed two identical methacholine challenge tests within 24 hours. For each test, the baseline FEV₁ was required to be more than 60% of a subject’s predicted normal and within ±10% of values on the previous test day.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Royal Postgraduate Medical School and informed consent was obtained from the parents of all subjects.

EXPRESSION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA

For each subject, the provocative concentrations of inhaled methacholine producing a 20% decrease in baseline FEV₁ (PC20FEV₁) and both a 15% and a 10% fall in baseline PtcO₂ (PC15PtcO₂ and PC10PtcO₂) were calculated from the log concentration-response curves by linear interpolation. Log transformed provocative concentration values were used in all analyses to obtain independence between within subject variability and the size of measurement.

Repeatability was assessed by examining the least squares linear regression of the provocative index obtained on the first and second study days. Student’s paired t test was used to determine whether the mean difference in provocative concentration between days was significantly different from zero. The means (SD) of the differences between the two values of PC20FEV₁, PC15PtcO₂, and PC10PtcO₂ were determined and the within subject SD was calculated by dividing the SD of the differences by the square root of two. The 95% range for a single measurement for each provocative index was calculated from the formula:

\[
95\% \text{ range} = t_{0.05} \times \text{within subject SD.}
\]

The intraclass correlation coefficient, a dimensionless measure of repeatability, was calculated for each method by dividing the between subject variance by the total variance in a one way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Repeatability was also assessed according to the method of Bland and Altman.

Differences in mean prechallenge FEV₁ and PtcO₂ for the two study days were assessed by Student’s paired t test. Changes in prechallenge FEV₁ and PtcO₂ were analysed for independence of PC20FEV₁ and PC PtcO₂ values by means of the correlation coefficient.

Results

Nine subjects completed technically satisfactory studies. The results of three subjects were withdrawn because the starting concentration was too high in two and a 15% fall in PtcO₂ was not achieved on either provocation day in one. In one subject on one day, the PC15PtcO₂ was calculated by extrapolation of less than one doubling concentration.

BASELINE MEASUREMENTS

Anthropometric and prechallenge pulmonary function and PtcO₂ data are shown in table 1. There was no significant difference in baseline FEV₁ percentage of predicted value between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Baseline FEV₁ (% predicted)</th>
<th>Baseline PtcO₂ (kPa)</th>
<th>Coefficient of variation* (PtcO₂(kPa))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline Day 1</td>
<td>Baseline Day 2</td>
<td>Day 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>8-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>11-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>11-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>9-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>11-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>10-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>10-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>10-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>10-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

None of the differences between mean baseline measurements was significant. *Coefficient of variation = (SD/mean) × 100, and is the mean of the two study days. FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in one second; PtcO₂ = transcutaneous oxygen tension.
the two study days. Although there was no significant mean difference in prechallenge Ptco2 between the two days for the group, the baseline Ptco2 differed by over 25% in two of the nine subjects.

No correlation between the baseline FEV1 and PC10FEV1 or between the baseline Ptco2 and PC10Ptco2 or PC10Ptco2 was found. When differences in prechallenge FEV1 and baseline Ptco2 values between the two study days were compared with changes in the corresponding PC10FEV1 and PC10Ptco2 or PC10Ptco2 values, no statistically significant correlation was found.

REPEATABILITY
The results of the repeated PC10FEV1, PC10Ptco2 and PC10Ptco2 measurements are summarised in table 2. There was no significant difference in the geometric mean in any of the three provocative indices on the two study days. The figure shows the relation between provocative indices for the two tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Results of repeated methacholine provocation challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>PC10FEV1 (mg/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Day 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric mean</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

None of the differences between means was significant. PC = provocative concentration; PC10FEV1 = provocative concentration of methacholine required to cause a 20% reduction in forced expiratory volume in one second; Ptco2 = transcutaneous oxygen tension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Measures of repeatability for the three indices of provocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difference between 1st and 2nd test (log, units) (mean (SD))</td>
<td>-0.023 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within subject SD (log, units)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% range for a single measurement (doubling concentrations)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraclass correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within subject SD is equal to the SD of the difference between the 1st and 2nd tests/2. The 95% range for a single measurement = t0.025 x within subject SD, dividing by the log of 2 to convert into doubling concentrations. Intraclass correlation coefficient = between subject variance/total variance. For abbreviations see legend to table 2.
Discussion

In this study we compared the 24 hour repeatability of methacholine provocation in asthmatic children measured using a conventional lung function technique (FEV₁) and a newer method that detects indirectly an airway response as a fall in PtcO₂. We found that for all subjects the PC₁₀ PtcO₂ was within 1.25 doubling concentrations for repeated tests, which was also within the range found when we used PC₁₀ FEV₁. The PC₁₀ PtcO₂ was only slightly less repeatable than PC₁₀ FEV₁, when assessed as the 95% range of a single determination (table 3). The PC₁₀ PtcO₂ was relatively less repeatable than the other indices, although all repeated tests were within 1-5 doubling concentrations. We used the intraclass correlation coefficient as a dimensionless index of repeatability. For a measurement to be useful, it is recommended that it should have an intraclass correlation coefficient of at least 0.6.16 Both PC₁₀ PtcO₂ and PC₁₀ PtcO₂ indices satisfied this criterion. The repeatability of the PC₁₀ FEV₁ found in this study is similar to that in previous reports.17–19 PC₁₀ PtcO₂ also showed a similar degree of repeatability.

The repeatability of the PtcO₂ method in this study supports the findings of Mochizuki et al, who showed that the shape of dose–response curves were significantly correlated for repeated challenges in sedated and unsedated subjects.18 Investigators tend to apply three to four times the mean value of the coefficient of variation for determining a provocative index considered to show a significant change from baseline—that is, a 20% fall in FEV₁ and a 40% increase in airway resistance.19 In this study we found that the provocative concentration that caused a 10% fall in PtcO₂ was of adequate repeatability but was slightly less repeatable than that required to cause a 15% fall.

We found that a fall in FEV₁ was reflected by an approximately half fold fall in PtcO₂ (table 2). Murakami et al reported that a fall of >20% in FEV₁ was equivalent to a >10% fall in PtcO₂ findings similar to ours.19 In contrast, Eber et al found an almost equivalent fall in FEV₁ and PtcO₂ after cold air challenge in asthmatic children.5 A full inspiration causes the PtcO₂ to increase transiently. The reduced sensitivity in PtcO₂ observed in our study is unlikely, however, to be a result of this effect since the maximal fall in PtcO₂ was recorded at least four minutes after the previous FEV₁, had been performed. Another possibility is that the maximal ventilatory manoeuvres involved in the measurement of the response itself may have modified the relation of changes in PtcO₂ to a decrease in lung function. This could be the reason for the large difference between PC₁₅ PtcO₂ and PC₂₀ PtcO₂ in some of the subjects. In this study all subjects had identical challenges on the two days and the numbers of baseline measurements and FEV₁ manoeuvres undertaken after challenge were also strictly controlled. Thus, although respiratory manoeuvres included as part of the challenge protocol may have modified the magnitude of PtcO₂ change and may explain some of the small differences between the different studies, the repeatability of the method is unlikely to have been affected.

Repeatability is important for the standardisation of inhalation provocation tests. Our bronchial challenge procedure was modified in several ways from that of Cockcroft et al11 to make it more suitable for uncooperative preschool children.22 Firstly, the nebulisation period was reduced to one minute instead of the usual two minutes. Secondly, the incremental concentrations of inhaled methacholine were increased from doubling to quadrupling steps until a 1 kPa fall in PtcO₂ had been obtained, after which concentrations were administered in doubling steps. Reduction of the inhalation period to one minute still allowed a similar level of repeatability to that found by other investigators, using a standardised full challenge protocol.17–19 By using quadrupling concentrations instead of doubling concentrations, the length of time required for the challenge protocol can be almost halved, without any loss in the precision of the test. A typical full length challenge requiring four concentrations of methacholine would take approximately 19 minutes to complete after baseline measurements of lung function.

At present there are no lung function techniques that are suitable for the measurement of bronchial responsiveness in children aged 1–5 years. Although the forced oscillation technique has been recommended for the measurement of lung function in preschool children23 as it requires only passive cooperation, it is very often difficult to obtain consistent repeated measurements if the child is not sedated. In contrast, we have found that the measurement of PtcO₂ to assess the response to methacholine easy to use in preschool children.22 It was difficult, however, to persuade such young children to repeat the test within a 24 hour period. The finding that the PtcO₂ technique is repeatable in older children further demonstrates the reliability of the method.
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Adventitia

Every dogma has its day

When I went to Baltimore, Maryland, in 1958, to supplement my meagre salary I worked in the Baltimore city health department tuberculosis clinics. At that time, depending on their colour, those who developed tuberculosis were confined to one or other of two monolithic goals. If they were lucky they would emerge after some mutilative surgical procedure two or three years later. Refusal to undergo treatment resulted in a court order and almost certain confinement. When the owner of the premier Chinese restaurant in Baltimore developed tuberculosis one of the more important issues before the city council was to decide whether he would be admitted to the black or the white sanatorium. As a successful entrepreneur, he received special dispensation and was admitted to the white facility.

About that time the British and Indian Medical Research Councils published the results of the Madras study showing that tuberculosis could be treated as effectively at home as in hospital, and that once chemotherapy had been started, and despite persistently positive sputum, the patient lost his infectiousness within a few days. The study was dismissed with scorn by most of the tuberculosis establishment in the United States. It was about that time that I had the good fortune to come into contact with Dr Richard Riley, who at that time was head of the department of environmental medicine at the Johns Hopkins Medical School. His name was known to me as the originator of the method for measuring the diffusing capacity for oxygen and for his seminal work on ventilation/perfusion relationships while he was at Bellevue Hospital. A self effacing and modest man, Dick Riley, in the opinion of many, had been the major driving force in the laboratory of Cournand and Richards. He had a tremendous and justified reputation as a respiratory physiologist, but few at that time knew that he had been working for several years with the late Professor Wells on the infectiousness of tuberculosis and had been conducting a series of most ingenious experiments at Loch Raven Veterans Administration Hospital. The ventilation system there had been modified so that air could be extracted from a series of single wards in which were housed patients with tuberculosis, some of whom were undergoing treatment while others had not yet started treatment. In a few rooms patients with acquired drug resistance were housed. The extracted air was carried by a series of vents to several chambers containing guinea pigs and then vented to the outside. These studies showed irrevocably the lack of infectiousness of patients once they had started chemotherapy, the sterilising effect of ultraviolet light on droplet nuclei containing tubercle bacilli, and much else besides. Few contemporary physicians realised the contribution Dick Riley made to the termination of the gaol sentences that were part and parcel of the treatment in Maryland and elsewhere in the United States, and still fewer were aware that he himself had been successfully treated for tuberculosis.
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