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Abstract
Sixty patients (48 male, 12 female; median age 32 (range 16–72) years) underwent pleural abrasion for persistent or recurrent pneumothorax. Fifty patients had recurrent pneumothorax and 10 persistence of a first pneumothorax despite conservative treatment; two had bilateral pneumothoraces. Pleural abrasion was carried out with a domestic nylon scouring pad and blebs or bullae were ligated or stapled and excised. Intercostal drainage was discontinued after a median time of two days, median serosanguinous loss was 250 ml, and the median postoperative stay in hospital was four days. During the median follow up period of 32 (range 19–52) months pneumothorax has recurred in one patient.

Spontaneous pneumothorax results from the rupture of a subpleural bleb or bulla. The aims of treatment are to alleviate symptoms, obtain full re-expansion of the lung, and prevent recurrence.

Conservative measures, from observation to intercostal drainage alone, have a substantial failure rate and are associated with recurrence in 30–50% of cases.\(^1\) Chemical pleurodesis is more effective, but visualisation, which is necessary to achieve good results, is limited even with thoracoscopy. The cause of the problem is not dealt with, and the long term effects of some of the agents used are uncertain.\(^2\)

Thoracotomy provides the opportunity to close the air leak and recurrence is rare after parietal pleurectomy but complications in both the short and the long term are not uncommon.\(^3\) Pleural abrasion produces a milder inflammatory response, and may minimise the chance of these complications and allow earlier mobilisation and discharge.

Methods
PATIENTS
Sixty patients were treated in the course of two years and nine months. Forty eight were men and 12 were women, with a median age of 32 years and a range of 16–72 years (figure). Coexisting respiratory disease was present in four patients (fibrosing alveolitis in one, asthma in one, and clinical chronic obstructive airways disease in two). Nine further patients with spontaneous pneumothorax were considered unfit for surgical treatment because of severe advanced chronic obstructive airways disease.

Ten patients were transferred from another hospital after unsuccessful treatment of a first pneumothorax by intercostal drainage. All had undergone conservative treatment for six days or more. Six patients had had a previous pneumothorax and 44 had had two or more. There were 36 right sided, 22 left sided, and two bilateral pneumothoraces; 26 patients had an intercostal tube in place at the time of surgery, indicating failure of conservative treatment on that occasion.

OPERATIVE TECHNIQUE
Patients were anaesthetised and ventilated with a double lumen endotracheal tube. In patients with unilateral disease a small (10–12 cm) lateral thoracotomy, parallel to the medial border of the scapula, was used, dividing latissimus dorsi but sparing serratus anterior. The chest was entered through the fifth intercostal space. In cases of bilateral disease a midline sternotomy was used. Pre-existing adhesions were divided and all blebs or larger bullae were dealt with by ligation or stapling and excision. Where only a scarred area of lung could be identified, indicating fibrosis around a ruptured bleb, this was stapled and excised. The parietal pleura was then abraded with a domestic nylon scouring pad sterilised with ethylene oxide. The mediastinal pleura was spared, but elsewhere abrasion was carried out until capillary oozing appeared. The visceral pleura was also scoured lightly. One intercostal drain was used routinely, but two were used when there was extensive bullous disease or vascular adhesions. Suction was applied to all drains for the first 12–18 hours whether or not there was an air leak to ensure that the lung remained inflated and that the two pleural
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surfaces would remain in contact long enough for adhesions to form.

Results

Fifty one patients had an identifiable lung abnormality, which was dealt with as described. Of these, 45 had abnormalities at the apex of an upper lobe only; in three patients the lower lobes only were affected and in one there were blebs at the apices of both lobes. Two cases had only scarring at the apex of the upper lobes. In nine patients no cause for the pneumothorax was found.

Intercostal drainage was required for a median time of two (range 1–11) days but only one patient had an air leak for more than seven days. The median postoperative serosanguinous loss was 250 (range 50–800) ml. There were no repeat operations for bleeding but one patient developed a postoperative urinary tract infection and required transfusion for a falling haemoglobin concentration. One patient developed a chest infection and another urinary retention. A pneumothorax occurred in one patient after removal of the intercostal tube; another tube was inserted. The lungs of all patients were fully inflated at the time of discharge. There were no deaths. All patients were pain free and fully mobile at routine follow up six weeks after discharge and none has reported post-thoracotomy pain.

The median follow up period was 32 (range 19–52) months. One patient had a further pneumothorax seven months after treatment, causing collapse of the right lower lobe only. At the second operation a small row of blebs on the superior surface of the middle lobe was seen and stapled. There were patchy avascular adhesions throughout the pleural cavity, which were removed without difficulty by sharp intrapleural dissection so that the lung could be inspected. The abrasion was repeated.

Discussion

Primary spontaneous pneumothorax occurs predominantly in young healthy adults, mainly in men. In this series the male to female ratio was 4:1. After a fall in incidence with age the rise in patients over 60 is due to the occurrence of pneumothorax as a complication of emphysema and bullous disease.

The indications for active treatment of pneumothorax are not clearcut, but most would agree that one occupying more than 20% of a hemithorax should be managed by intervention. An advantage of aspiration over intercostal drainage is that it may be performed as an outpatient procedure. Nevertheless, in the long term non-operative methods of treatment are successful in only 50–70% of cases. Chemical pleurodesis carries a higher success rate, but if recurrence does take place further treatment may be made technically difficult by the presence of patchy but dense adhesions. The uncertain long term con-

sequences of talc insufflation in young people must also be borne in mind.

Parietal pleurectomy has the highest success rate of any currently described procedure, but full pleurectomy has been criticised as being too radical. Early complications, such as haemorrhage requiring reoperation and Horner's syndrome, and the development of fibrothorax have been described. Apical pleurectomy through an axillary incision has proved equally effective in young patients with minimal lung disease and localised adhesions, but its application is limited to this group. The advantages of pleural abrasion over a standard pleurectomy relate to the incidence of complications and the dubious logic of treating an abnormality of the lung by removing a large area of normal pleura. Treatment of pneumothorax by closing the air leak alone has been shown to be effective and careful attention to this is crucial to the success of pleural abrasion. The use of a limited lateral thoracotomy, which can be extended easily if necessary, enables all patients to be treated by the same technique. The pan scrubber we used is cheap and easily available and was sufficiently malleable to reach all parts of the pleural space. The pathological trauma produced by abrasion is mild by comparison with the inflammatory response to pleurectomy and this may explain why recovery was quicker and discharge was earlier in our study than in most reports of pleurectomy. A prospective study is needed, however, for the techniques to be properly compared.

The development of thoracoscopic techniques, possibly combined with the use of the laser, may well make open surgery for pneumothorax unnecessary in the future. Until these procedures are validated pleural abrasion remains an effective, safe, and simple method for treating spontaneous pneumothorax.
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