Use of transcutaneous oxygen tension, arterial oxygen saturation, and respiratory resistance to assess the response to inhaled methacholine in asthmatic children and normal adults
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Abstract
Respiratory resistance (Rrs), transcutaneous oxygen tension (Ptco2), and arterial oxygen saturation (Sao2) were measured during methacholine challenge in 15 asthmatic children and six normal adults. During bronchoconstriction, induced by a wide range of inhaled methacholine concentrations (0.5–256 g/l), the rise in Rrs was reflected by a fall in Ptco2 in all subjects. Although there was a significant mean fall in Sao2 at maximum bronchoconstriction there was no consistent relation between changes in Sao2 and Rrs. The inhale dose of methacholine causing a 40% increase in Rrs (PD40Rrs) and a 20% fall in Ptco2 (PD20PtcO2) was calculated for each subject. There was no significant difference in mean PD40Rrs and PD20PtcO2, and the relation between the two was similar in the asthmatic children and the normal adults. It was therefore concluded that measurement of Ptco2, but not Sao2, during methacholine challenge can be used for the assessment of bronchial responsiveness, and that it could prove particularly useful for children too young to cooperate with lung function tests.

Little is known about the development of bronchial responsiveness and its relation to respiratory symptoms in early childhood. The main difficulty has been the inability of very young children to cooperate with lung function testing. Many workers have noted that hypoxaemia occurs in association with bronchoconstriction during bronchial challenge and some have used measurement of transcutaneous oxygen tension (Ptco2) instead of respiratory resistance (Rrs) to measure the response to methacholine inhalation challenge in older children and sedated preschool children. The purpose of this study was to find out whether there was a consistent relation between changes in Ptco2 and Rrs during methacholine challenge, and whether a particular change in Ptco2 could be used to calculate a provocative dose of methacholine in children too young to cooperate with lung function tests. Because the measurement of arterial oxygen saturation (Sao2) is simpler and more readily available than that of Ptco2, Sao2 was measured simultaneously. So that we could examine the relation between bronchoconstriction and hypoxaemia over a wide range of inhaled methacholine concentrations, we studied normal adults and asthmatic children.

Method
SUBJECTS
Nineteen asthmatic children (age range 4–11 years) were selected from the children’s asthma clinic. All were in a stable condition but represented a wide range of clinical severity. All bronchodilator and cromoglycate treatment was stopped at least 12 hours before the study. Six normal adult volunteers aged 23–46 years were recruited (table). The study had approval from the hospital ethics committee and informed consent was obtained from all subjects and where applicable their parents.

CHALLENGE PROCEDURE
After the subject had inhaled normal saline doubling concentrations of methacholine chloride were administered for one minute each, by Wright nebuliser, with 8 l/min of air as the driving gas. The initial concentration was 0.5 g/l for asthmatic subjects and 4–16 g/l for normal subjects. The subject breathed quietly through a mouthpiece with a nose clip in place. The inhalations were repeated at five minute intervals until a 40% increase in respiratory resistance had occurred or the maximum concentration of methacholine (32 g/l for asthmatic children and 256 g/l for normal adults) had been delivered. The same nebuliser was used throughout the study.

LUNG FUNCTION
Respiratory resistance was measured by the forced oscillation technique with the apparatus designed and built by Landser.

The subject sat resting on his elbows with a nose clip in place and cheeks supported while breathing normally into the apparatus through a mouthpiece. Rrs was determined over the frequency spectrum 2–26 Hz. The average Rrs over the whole frequency range and Rrs at each oscillation frequency were recorded. Only values with a coherence of at least 0.95 (signal to noise ratio) were accepted. As 6 Hz was the lowest single frequency that consistently produced a value of Rrs with an acceptable coherence in all subjects, this value of Rrs (Rrs6) was used to assess the response to methacholine inhalation. The Rrs6 values were compared with predicted values.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject No</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Baseline $R_{rs}$ (% pred</th>
<th>Baseline Ptco2 (kPa)</th>
<th>Baseline Ptco2 (%</th>
<th>Correlation $AR_{rs}$ : Ptco2</th>
<th>PD20Ptco2 (µmol)</th>
<th>PD50Ptco2 (µmol)</th>
<th>PD15Ptco2 (µmol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASTHMATIC CHILDREN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NORMAL ADULTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject No</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Baseline $R_{rs}$ (% pred</th>
<th>Baseline Ptco2 (kPa)</th>
<th>Baseline Ptco2 (%</th>
<th>Correlation $AR_{rs}$ : Ptco2</th>
<th>PD20Ptco2 (µmol)</th>
<th>PD50Ptco2 (µmol)</th>
<th>PD15Ptco2 (µmol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.98**</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALL SUBJECTS</th>
<th>Geometric mean</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>3.0</th>
<th>1.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- $p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$
- $R_{rs}$ — respiratory resistance at 6 Hz; COV — coefficient of variation; SD/mean × 100; Ptco2 — transcutaneous oxygen tension; PD20Ptco2, PD50Ptco2, PD15Ptco2 — provocative dose of methacholine causing a 40%, 20%, and 15% fall in the measurement specified.

**TRANSCUtANEous OXYGEN**

Ptco2 (Radiometer TCM3, Copenhagen) was measured with a combined skin electrode (oxygen and carbon dioxide) at 44°C placed on the anterior chest wall. The electrode was calibrated with 20-9% oxygen and 5% carbon dioxide before each study. At the end of each study electrode calibration was checked to ensure that drift did not exceed 0.1 kPa. After at least a 20 minute equilibration period baseline values were obtained manually at minute intervals for eight weeks. After each challenge inhalation values were recorded at precisely one minute intervals. Sao2 was recorded simultaneously with a Novametrix 500 (Wallingford, Connecticut) pulse oximeter with a finger probe.

The partial pressure of transcutaneous carbon dioxide (Ptco2) was measured in addition to Ptco2 in 12 subjects during methacholine challenge with the combined electrode.

**ANALYSIS**

Change in $R_{rs}$ was plotted against Ptco2 and Sao2 with increasing doses of methacholine for each subject. Correlation coefficients were calculated and the significance of the relationship was calculated by means of regression analysis.

The cumulative doses of methacholine delivered—that is, the concentration times nebuliser output (0.14 (SD 0.01) ml/min) that produced a 40% increase in $R_{rs}$ (PDp$R_{rs}$) and a 15% and 20% fall in Ptco2 (PDpPtco2 and PDp2Ptco2)—were calculated by interpolation from the log dose-response curves for each subject. Changes were calculated from the mean baseline values.

A paired $t$ test was used to compare the difference between PDp$R_{rs}$ and PDp$Ptco2$ and PDp2$Ptco2$ for the group as a whole. Values are given as means with SD in parentheses. A $p$ value below 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

**Results**

Fifteen children and all six adults completed technically satisfactory studies. The results of four children were rejected, in three because they did not develop a 40% increase in $R_{rs}$ and in one because no dose-response relationship was seen for any variable with increasing methacholine.

**BASELINE MEASUREMENTS**

The intrasubject coefficient of variation for baseline measurements of $R_{rs}$ (based on six measurements) and Ptco2 (eight observations) are shown in the table. The intrasubject variation in baseline measurements of Sao2 (eight observations) varied from zero to 2% (mean 0.8%, SD 0.75%).
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Figure 1  Change in respiratory resistance at 6 Hz (Rrs₆; ———) and transcutaneous oxygen tension (PtcO₂; ———) with increasing doses of inhaled methacholine in (a) an asthmatic child and (b) a normal adult. The baseline values (B) are shown as means and 95% confidence intervals.

RELATION OF CHANGE IN Rrs₆ AND PtcO₂ DURING METHACHOLINE CHALLENGE

The rise in Rrs₆ was associated with a significant fall in PtcO₂ for each subject (that is, > 2 SD below the mean baseline value for that subject). The mean fall in PtcO₂ was significant for both normal (p < 0.001) and asthmatic children (p < 0.001). There was a significant inverse relation between ΔRrs₆ and ΔPtcO₂ in 12 of the 21 subjects (eight children, four adults) (table). Change in Rrs₆ and PtcO₂ with increasing doses of inhaled methacholine in an asthmatic child and a normal adult are shown in figure 1.

RELATION BETWEEN Rrs₆ AND PtcO₂

The PD₉₀ Rrs₆ was closer to the PD₂₀ PtcO₂ than to the PD₉₀ PtcO₂. There was no significant difference between the mean PD₉₀ Rrs₆ and mean PD₉₀ PtcO₂ for adults or children (2.5 ± 3.0 for children; 7.2 ± 7.8 for adults), whereas mean PD₉₀ PtcO₂ was significantly lower for both (1.8 and 4.7 μmol; table). The relation between PD₉₀ Rrs₆ and PD₉₀ PtcO₂ was similar over a wide range of inhaled methacholine doses, though the scatter was slightly wider among the children (figs 2 and 3). The difference between the two was within 1 doubling dilution of methacholine in all adults and in 12 of the 15 children. The difference in the remaining three children was within 2 doubling dilutions.

RELATION OF Rrs₆ AND Sao₂

There was a significant fall in Sao₂ at the time of maximum bronchoconstriction for the group as a whole, from a mean value of 97.2% (SD 1.9%) to 94.4% (3.6%); p < 0.001. Five subjects showed a significant relation between ΔRrs₆ and ΔSao₂. The maximum fall was 2% or less in 12 subjects, however, which was within the 95% confidence limits for repeat baseline measurements. We could not therefore determine a given fall in Sao₂ that could be used universally to define a provocative dose.

There was no change in mean PtcCO₂ (at rest 4.94 (0.55)), at maximum dose of methacholine 4.94 (0.38) kPa.

Discussion

Bronchoconstriction induced by methacholine in both asthmatic children and normal adults, caused a fall in PtcO₂. A 20% fall in PtcO₂ was roughly equivalent to a 40% increase in Rrs₆ for bronchoconstriction induced by a very wide range of methacholine doses.

The cause of the fall in PtcO₂ is not clear. It cannot be attributed to hypoventilation as there was no rise in PtcCO₂. Stewart and colleagues found that histamine but not methacholine challenge induced changes in breathing pattern, including minute ventilation, though both were associated with significant desaturation and similar degrees of bronchoconstriction.⁵

The most likely cause of the induced fall in PtcO₂ is an increase in ventilation-perfusion (V/Q) mismatch, caused either by airway narrowing or by an effect on the pulmonary circulation. If the latter is a factor it is likely to be secondary to bronchoconstriction as the fall in PtcO₂ was closely related to changes in lung function over a very wide range of inhaled methacholine doses. This is in contrast to the dissociation between change in lung function and arterial oxygen tension seen after treatment of induced bronchoconstriction with bronchodilators.⁶ ¹⁰

Hedlin and colleagues found evidence of V/Q mismatching after histamine inhalation in asthmatic children,¹¹ with one normal and one high V/Q mode as a result of hyperinflation associated with bronchoconstriction.

Mean baseline PtcO₂ ranged from 8.4 to 12.7 kPa in the children and from 9.7 to 12.2 kPa in the adults; it was unrelated to baseline Rrs₆ %

Figure 2  Relation between PD₂₀ Rrs₆ and PD₂₀ PtcO₂, with the line of identity, in normal adults (●) and asthmatic children (○). Abbreviations as in figure 1.
predicted or to methacholine PD_{20}Rrs (table). The differences between subjects are probably related to wide variations in skin PO_2 conductance. Without in vivo calibration the measurement of Ptco_2 does not accurately reflect PaO_2 but it is a reliable monitor of change in PaO_2. In all the subjects Sao_2 was within the normal range (96–98%). Dal Negro and colleagues have shown that bronchoconstriction induced by inhalation of ultrasonically distilled water is associated with a similar fall in Ptco_2 and directly measured arterial oxygen tension in asthmatic subjects.

The lack of a significant relation between \( \Delta Rrs \) and \( \Delta Ptco_2 \) in some subjects may be due to the small number of measurements in subjects who responded to a low dose of methacholine. Another variable is the possible effect of lung volume changes on measurement of airways resistance. During methacholine challenge Buhr and colleagues found a mean increase in Rrs of 48% and in Raw of 63% compared with a 98% increase in Raw when this was related to lung volume (sRaw). The lack of sensitivity of Sao_2 to induced bronchoconstriction would be expected from the sigmoid shape of the oxygen dissociation curve, most subjects requiring a greater degree of bronchoconstriction to show a change in Sao_2 than in Ptco_2. There was, however, considerable variation in the Sao_2 response in both adults and children, six subjects showing a change in Sao_2 of more than 2% with an increase of Rrs of 30% or less, whereas others showed no change despite a 40% increase in Rrs. Desaturation during bronchoconstriction was not related to baseline values of Ptco_2.

The intrasubject coefficient of variation of repeated measurement of Rrs varied widely (table), as reported by others. Perhaps Rrs therefore was not the ideal lung function measurement to use as the gold standard with which to compare changes in Ptco_2. It was chosen as it was the only lung function measurement that did not interfere with oxygenation. The full inspiration of deep breath tests such as FEV_1 causes Ptco_2 to rise.

By comparing changes in Rrs and Ptco_2, Mochizuki and coworkers calculated a threshold dose of methacholine (that which caused a response that just exceeded confidence limits for the baseline values of both variables) for each subject and found a good correlation between the two. Their method has the advantage that a lower dose of methacholine can be given; but for Rrs, where the differences among intrasubject repeatability are wide, the provoking concentration or dose would have been measured at different levels of bronchoconstriction in different subjects.

Although we found that a 20% fall in Ptco_2 could confidently be used to define a PD methacholine, we have not formally assessed the repeatability of such a measurement.

When three of the normal adults repeated the protocol six months later, the intrasubject variations in PD_{20}Rrs and PD_{20}Ptco_2 were similar and both were within a doubling dilution of methacholine (ratios of first to second values 0.94, 1.53, and 1.54 for PD_{20}Rrs, and 0.82, 1.52, and 1.13 for PD_{20}Ptco_2). This suggests that PD_{20}Ptco_2 methacholine will prove to be as repeatable as PD measurements derived from more conventional lung function tests.

The development of an indirect method to reflect changes of lung function during inhalation challenge has been necessary as currently no lung function techniques are suitable for the 1–5 year age group. The oscillation technique has been recommended for measurement of lung function in children as young as 2 years, but it is not practicable in unsedated children under 5 years when repeated measurements are needed. The present study could therefore only be performed in older children. As no age related differences were seen in the relation between the fall in Ptco_2 and induced bronchoconstriction, we may reasonably assume that a similar relation between the two exists in children too young to cooperate with standard lung function tests. The measurement of Ptco_2 alone during inhalation challenge in preschool children should prove an easy and well tolerated method with which to determine bronchial responsiveness in this age group.

We are grateful to the National Asthma Campaign for its continued support.
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