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Abstract
One thousand and one men, aged 35-65 years, were identified from the age-sex register of one group general practice. Over four years 900 men were visited at home and asked questions about symptoms potentially related to sleep apnoea and snoring. Height, weight, neck circumference, resting arterial oxygen saturation (SaO₂), and spirometric values were also determined. All night oximetry was then performed at home and the tracing analysed for the number of dips in SaO₂ of more than 4%. Subjects with more than five dips of 4% SaO₂ or more per hour were invited for sleep laboratory polysomnography. Seventeen per cent of the men admitted to snoring "often." Multiple linear regression techniques identified and ranked neck circumference (r² = 7-2%), cigarette consumption (r² = 3-4%), and nasal stuffiness (r² = 2%) as the only significant independent predictors of snoring. Together these account for at least a sixfold variation in the likelihood of being an "often" snorer. Forty six subjects (5%) had >4% SaO₂ dip rates of over five an hour and 31 of these had full sleep studies. Three subjects had clinically obvious and severe symptomatic obstructive sleep apnoea, giving a prevalence of three per 1001 men (0-3%; 95% confidence interval 0-07-0-9%). Eighteen men had obstructive sleep apnoea only when supine and in 10 the cause of the SaO₂ dipping on the original home tracing was not elucidated. The >4% SaO₂ dip rates correlated with the history of snoring. Multiple linear regression techniques identified and ranked neck circumference (r² = 7-9%), alcohol consumption (r² = 3-7%), age (r² = 1%), and obesity (r² = 1%) as the only significant independent predictors of the rate of overnight hypoxic dipping. This study shows that snoring in this randomly selected population correlates best with neck size, smoking, and nasal stuffiness. Obstructive sleep apnoea, defined by nocturnal hypoxaemia, correlates best with neck size and alcohol, and less so with age and general obesity.

Obstructive sleep apnoea has been recognised increasingly as an important medical condi-

Methods
SUBJECTS
One group general practice (four partners) serving the town of Wheatley (8 km east of Oxford) allowed us to use their age and sex register to identify a total of 1596 men aged 35-65 years. No one was excluded from the study for any reason other than unavailability (see later) or refusal to participate. The order in which subjects were then selected for study was randomised according to year of birth. Each subject received a letter of explanation, signed by his general practitioner, followed by a telephone call or letter from one of us (JC).

TECHNIQUES
A questionnaire was administered to each subject asking about a range of factors thought to be potential causes of sleep apnoea and snoring. We asked about alcohol consumption (categories 1-4: <10 g/day, 10-39 g/day, 40-70 g/day, >70 g/day), cigarette consumption, (categories 1-4: nil, 1-5/day, 6-15/day, >15/day), nasal stuffiness (for example, hayfever), previous nasal damage or surgery, previous adenotonsillectomy, use of sedative or hypnotic drugs, and the regular use of any other drugs. In addition, the subjects were asked about any snoring, and we recorded whether the subject’s wife was present at the interview and the nature of his current occupation.

At the time of interview the following information was also recorded: age, weight (portable scales: SECA, Germany; calibration checked monthly), height (steel rule), neck circumference (at the level of the cricothyroid
membrane), lung spirometric values (forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC): turbine spirometer—calibration was checked weekly), Micro Medical Instruments, Rochester, Kent; and resting arterial oxygen saturation (Sao₂; Ohmeda 3700 pulse oximeter). The same instruments were used throughout. The neck circumference was found to be minimally dependent on height in an interim analysis of 300 subjects (neck circumference (cm) = 5·5 × height (m) + 3·1; r = 0·16), and was therefore corrected for height and expressed as a percentage predicted value (the findings from a later analysis including all 900 subjects did not materially alter the initial relationship).

Overnight oxygenation (eight hours) was measured in the subject’s home with an Ohmeda Biox 3700 pulse oximeter (version J) with the flexible finger probe. The machine’s internal memory stores a two digit value at the end of every 12 seconds, representing the lowest percentage Sao₂ detected during the preceding 12 seconds. Dips in Sao₂ are accurately recorded but recoveries of Sao₂ between short dips will be slightly underestimated. Up to a maximum of eight hours of stored data (2400 points) were transferred and analysed by computer (BBC B). The overnight median and the number of dips in Sao₂ of more than 4% were calculated and expressed per hour of tracing. The exact algorithm for dip detection has been described elsewhere.

Full sleep studies were performed on a subset of subjects with abnormal Sao₂, tracings (see below) in a hospital sleep laboratory. The electroencephalogram, electro-oculogram, electromyogram (submental), oximetric data, ribcage and abdominal movements (respiratory inductance plethysmography, Ambulatory Monitoring Inc or Vitalog), and oronasal flow were recorded on an eight channel portable tape recording system (MPA 9000, Oxford Medical). In addition, simultaneous eight hour video recordings with sound were performed to record posture and confirm the polysomnographic findings. The MPA 9000 recordings were processed automatically for sleep staging according to standard criteria, and important or abnormal areas verified by manual scoring. Five of the 31 subjects undergoing laboratory sleep studies consented only to video recordings with oximetry; these were carefully reviewed to establish the cause of the hypoxic dipping.

**Protocol**

After the preliminary letter and telephone call the subjects were all visited at home by JC over the course of four years. The study was explained and the questionnaire completed. If the subject’s wife was present, they were allowed to discuss the answers before the replies were noted. The various measurements were then made. The subjects were shown how to use the oximeter and given the flexible probe, which was ready to slip on to the finger, using the disposable sticky tapes supplied by Ohmeda. The subjects applied the probe and switched on the machine when retiring to bed, and switched it off on waking next morning, ready for collection and return to the hospital. The subjects were also asked if they had had their usual amount of alcohol and whether they had slept “more than normally,” “normally,” “slightly less than normal,” or “much less than normal.” This protocol was approved by the Oxford ethics committee.

**Statistics**

All data were entered on to the Oxford University Vax computer by an experienced keyboard operator, checked, and analysed by the SAS statistical package. The 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the prevalence figures were estimated according to Gardner and Altman on the assumption of a normal distribution. The interrelations among the data were first examined by Pearson’s correlation coefficient, analysis of variance, or the χ² test as appropriate. The data were then examined together by multiple linear regression techniques with the rate of >4% Sao₂ dipping as the dependent variable. The stepwise procedure (with p < 0·15 as the significance level for entry or retention) was used initially, similar results being obtained by either the backward or the forward method for entering variables. For dealing correctly with discontinuous variables (for example, cigarette and alcohol consumption) the general linear models procedure was also used with the classes option, and the variables entered in the order indicated by the stepwise model. All these analyses gave the same r² values for each variable, and the p values reported here are from the general linear models procedure. These analyses were carried out on the whole population with complete data, a subset where the wife was present at interview (n = 468), and a subset not currently taking any medicines (n = 676).

**Results**

Of the 1596 men aged 35–65 years on the age-sex register, 595 could not be contacted. Most of these had left the practice area or died and the cards had not been removed from the register box. Forty six did not answer two letters, never answered or had no telephone, and did not answer a knock at their door; some of these men were subsequently discovered to be spending most of their time abroad. One thousand and one were thus contacted, of whom 900 (90%) agreed to be seen and 893 agreed to overnight oximetry. Only 18 (2%) of these 893 subjects said that they slept “much less than normal,” the rest sleeping “slightly less,” “the same as,” or “more than” normal. Seventy one (8%), however, said they had “less than” their usual amount of alcohol. The average length of the Sao₂ tracings obtained was 6·92 (SD 0·11) hours.

**Snoring**

The prevalence of snoring in 890 men is shown in figure 1. The prevalence of snoring “often”
whether their wives of snoring were present at interview (n = 468). The presence of the subject’s wife had no significant effect on the answers to any questions other than that about alcohol consumption, where the effect was very small. Table 1 shows the results of the distributions of answers to the various questions.

Examination of the discontinuous variables (table 1) with \( \chi^2 \) analysis showed a significant relation of snoring to a stuffy nose and to smoking. The prevalence of snoring “often” rose from 15% in the 632 non-smokers to 30% in the 104 smoking over 15/day (p = 0.002), and from 14% in the 449 without nasal stuffiness to 28% in the 94 with nasal stuffiness “often” (p = 0.001).

Examination of the continuous variables (table 2) with analysis of variance showed a significant relation between snoring and % predicted neck circumference \( (r^2 = 7\%) \), p < 0.0001, obesity \( (r^2 = 6\%, p < 0.0001) \), and age \( r^2 = 2\%, p < 0.0001 \).

In a multiple linear regression model only % predicted neck circumference \( (r^2 = 7.2\%) \), cigarette consumption \( (r^2 = 3.4\%, p = 0.005) \), and nasal stuffiness \( (r^2 = 2.0\%, p = 0.01) \) contributed significantly to the snoring. A subject in the top quartile of neck circumference, smoking over 15 cigarettes a day, and complaining of a stuffy or blocked up nose was 6-4 times more likely to be an “often” snorer than was a non-smoker in the bottom quartile for neck circumference who never experienced nasal stuffiness (40-7% v 6-4%).

Analysis of the subset where the wife was present at interview did not alter these patterns of significance.

SLEEP HYPOXAEMIA

Of the 693 subjects having overnight oximetry, 45 (5%) had >4% \( \text{Sao}_{2} \) dip rates of more than five an hour and 31 of these consented to full sleep studies. Three subjects had severe obstructive sleep apnoea in any posture with >4% \( \text{Sao}_{2} \) dip rates of 85, 47, and 22 per hour of study. These three subjects were clearly symptomatic and had been for several years. The prevalence of severe obstructive sleep apnoea in our study (three out of 1000) was therefore 0.3% (95% CI 0.07-0.9%). Eighteen of the remaining subjects studied in the sleep laboratory had obstructive sleep apnoea only when asleep in the supine posture. Unfortunately the other 10 subjects slept too badly (under two hours’ total sleep or no rapid eye movement sleep), or never supine, to allow the cause of the \( \text{Sao}_{2} \) dipping in the original home study to be categorised confidently. None of the 14 subjects who refused polysomnography, or of the 10 who slept badly in the laboratory, had such pronounced falls in \( \text{Sao}_{2} \) in the home oximetry tracings as the three subjects with obstructive sleep apnoea. Their mean >4% \( \text{Sao}_{2} \) dip rate from the home oximeter tracings was no different from that of the group who had adequate polysomnography studies. In the 18

Table 1 Overall results from the questionnaire for the discontinuous variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol consumption</th>
<th>g/day:</th>
<th>&lt; 10</th>
<th>10-39</th>
<th>40-70</th>
<th>&gt; 70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cigarette consumption</th>
<th>No/day:</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1-5</th>
<th>6-15</th>
<th>&gt; 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nasal stuffiness</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Often</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous nasal damage or surgery</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Damaged</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Both</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous adenotonsillectomy</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No (Not known)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sedatives at night</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other regular drugs</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>676</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you snore or have you been told you do?</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Sometimes</th>
<th>Often</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
subjects with "supine only" obstructive sleep apnoea the >4% \( \text{Sao}_2 \) dip rate over the whole night would depend critically on the proportion of sleeping time spent supine. Figure 2 shows the overall distribution of >4% \( \text{Sao}_2 \) dip rates for the 893 subjects and indicates a smooth distribution with no suggestion of bimodality. As would have been expected, the >4% \( \text{Sao}_2 \) dip rates correlated with the history of snoring, mean values being 1.2, 1.3, 1.8 and 3.1/hour in the "never," "rarely," "sometimes," and "often" snoring groups (p < 0.0001).

Examination of the continuous variables (table 2) with simple linear regression showed that the frequency of >4% \( \text{Sao}_2 \) dips correlated with % predicted normal neck circumference (r = 0.28, p < 0.0001), obesity (r = 0.26, p < 0.0001), age (r = 0.15, p < 0.0001), awake \( \text{Sao}_2 \) (r = 0.12, p = 0.0002), and % predicted FEV\(_1\) (r = -0.10, p = 0.004). Examination of the discontinuous variables (table 1) with analysis of variance showed significant associations only between >4% \( \text{Sao}_2 \) dip rates and alcohol consumption (r\(^2\) = 4%, p < 0.0001) and a history of nasal stuffiness (r\(^2\) = 1%, p = 0.02).

In a multiple linear regression model only four of these five continuous and two discontinuous variables continued to show a significant association with the frequency of >4% \( \text{Sao}_2 \) dips and these variables together explained 13-6% of the variance. Of greatest significance was % predicted normal neck circumference (r\(^2\) = 7.9%, p < 0.0001) followed by alcohol consumption (r\(^2\) = 3.7%, p < 0.0001), age (r\(^2\) = 1%, p = 0.009), and obesity (r\(^2\) = 1%, p = 0.01). Presumably the other variables were only indirectly related through these primary four, and only neck circumference and alcohol consumption appear to have an important effect on the frequency of nocturnal hypoxaemic dips. Analysis of the subset of subjects not taking any drugs did not significantly alter these interrelationships between variables.

**Discussion**

The subjects approached for our screening study were the total contactable male population (aged 35-65 years) on the practice's register, and 90% agreed to be studied. Thus, unless the 10% who refused were different from the group as a whole, our population should be representative of the men of this age group in that community. We persuaded over half the subjects who refused to participate in an overnight sleep study to provide information on weight, height, and collar size and on whether they were taking any drugs. These 57 subjects did not differ from the study population for any of these variables, and in particular the mean collar size was similar to the study population's mean neck circumference (98.0 ± 98.8% predicted normal). Thus in terms of the variable found to be most predictive of sleep hypoxaemia subjects who refused to participate did not differ from those who did. Although the study group was not representative of the British population as a whole (for example, the social class distribution revealed 54% were from social classes I and II and 14% from classes IV and V, compared with 32% and 21% in England as a whole), their degree of obesity was the same. The average obesity index of our population was 25.3 compared with 25.2 for an age matched British male population. Thus there were no differences in one of the major predictive variables, obesity, that could have biased our data.

The choice of home oximetry to identify cases of sleep apnoea was a compromise. Full
polysomnography in a sleep laboratory would have been too expensive and reduced the acceptance rate of our subjects dramatically. Several groups have shown very close approximation between a fall in Sao2 of over 4% and apnoea of more than 10 seconds (the conventional definition).1418 We accept that a few subjects with early sleep apnoea may not allow their Sao2 to fall by 4% before waking up. In our clinical experience this is very uncommon but would mean that we may have slightly underestimated the degree of sleep related breathing irregularities in this group. We will also not have recognised loud snorers with no hypoxaemia, but whether this group has a higher morbidity than non-snorers is not yet known.1920 In all cases where verification of recurrent dips in Sao2 of over 4% was possible by video recordings and polysomnography the cause was obstructive sleep apnoea with variable amounts of associated snoring. In the population as a whole, as would be expected, there was a very high association between snoring and > 4% Sao2 dip rate. Home oximetry is unlikely to have an appreciably disturbed sleep as only 2% said they had slept “much less” than normal. In 35 of the subjects a wrist activity monitor was also used to verify sleep21 and these recordings showed long periods of sleep with usual numbers of arousals, similar to those of subjects not having oximetry. Thus we are confident that oximetry recordings alone are an adequate epidemiological tool for identifying sleep apnoea and will tend to err on the side of underrecognition rather than overtrecognition.

The prevalence of snoring and possible causative factors identified in this study are similar to those found by others.18202223 These, however, were the first data to show that the link between obesity and snoring is probably due to increased neck circumference rather than general obesity. The relation between obesity and % predicted normal neck circumference is, of course, very strong (r = 0.75); but it is possible to be of normal weight and have a large neck, and vice versa. The greater importance of neck obesity than of general obesity in producing upper airway incompetence during sleep has been documented in patients with sleep apnoea,2425 and the mechanism is presumably external compression of the pharynx by superficially located fat masses.2627 The prevalence of severe symptomatology (Snoring > 10 episodes/h) in our population of men aged 35–65 years was 0.3%. Two of the three subjects are now having nasal continuous positive airway pressure with total relief of symptoms, and the third, though very sleepy, has retired from work early and is not sufficiently concerned about his symptoms to contemplate treatment, other than weight loss. The prevalence of moderate sleep apnoea in our group, defined on the basis of oxygen desaturation, depends entirely on the criteria chosen. Some individuals will also vary with respect to any particular chosen level, depending on the proportion of the study night spent supine.28 Figure 2 makes it clear that a cut off at either five or ten > 4% Sao2 dips/hour would be an arbitrary choice. If a > 4% Sao2 dip rate of more than five an hour is chosen then 45/1001 (4.5%) were affected; but if a rate of more than ten an hour is chosen then 10/1001 (1%) were affected.

In a report to be published elsewhere we found that men with the higher Sao2 dip rates had only minimally increased self reported daytime sleepiness (such that they were more likely to admit to having to pull off the road while driving as a result of drowsiness) and they performed as well as control subjects in a simple test of vigilance (the multiple unprepared reaction time29). Thus only the three subjects with severe obstructive sleep apnoea were similar to the patients with the classic disorder seen in a sleep clinic and therefore appropriate candidates for nasal continuous positive airway pressure.

Our figures for prevalence are similar to those obtained in other surveys where individuals suspected of having sleep apnoea are identified from initial questionnaires and a sample is then invited for polysomnography. For example, Lavie et al30 reviewed 1262 questionnaires from a working male population and, on the basis of the answers, selected a sample of 300 from three groups: excessively sleepy, insomniac, and normal. A higher percentage of the excessively sleepy group than of the normal subjects agreed to sleep studies (80% v 19%); but 11 of the 78 who had laboratory studies had more than 10 episodes of apnoea (of at least 10 seconds each) an hour. Whether these caused arousal or hypoxaemia is not known and probably only six had classical obstructive sleep apnoea. Thus in that study perhaps six out of 300 at most had moderate to severe sleep apnoea. Gillason et al31 reviewed 3100 questionnaires (76% response rate) and selected for further study the 1966 who reported that snoring and sleepiness was combined. No attempt to assess prevalence in an apparently symptomless control group was made. Of the 61 who attended for full sleep studies, 10 had more than 10 respiratory events (apnoea or > 4% Sao2 falls) an hour, and 15 had more than five an hour. If the 10 are regarded as having appreciable sleep apnoea, their prevalence of 10/3100 is similar to our 3/1000. Lugaresi et al3233 found only two severely affected and asymptomatic patients with obstructive sleep apnoea when studying (with polysomnography) 40 men who snored every night. The 40 men were randomly selected at four obstructive sleep apnoea in our population of men aged 35–65 years was 0.3%. Two of the three subjects are now having nasal continuous positive airway pressure with total relief of symptoms, and the third, though very sleepy, has retired from work early and is not sufficiently concerned about his symptoms to contemplate treatment, other than weight loss. The prevalence of moderate sleep apnoea in our group, defined on the basis of oxygen desaturation, depends entirely on the criteria chosen. Some individuals will also vary with respect to any particular chosen level, depending on the proportion of the study night spent supine.28 Figure 2 makes it clear that a cut off at either five or ten > 4% Sao2 dips/hour would be an arbitrary choice. If a > 4% Sao2 dip rate of more than five an hour is chosen then 45/1001 (4.5%) were affected; but if a rate of more than ten an hour is chosen then 10/1001 (1%) were affected.

In a report to be published elsewhere we found that men with the higher Sao2 dip rates had only minimally increased self reported daytime sleepiness (such that they were more likely to admit to having to pull off the road while driving as a result of drowsiness) and they performed as well as control subjects in a simple test of vigilance (the multiple unprepared reaction time29). Thus only the three subjects with severe obstructive sleep apnoea were similar to the patients with the classic disorder seen in a sleep clinic and therefore appropriate candidates for nasal continuous positive airway pressure.

Our figures for prevalence are similar to those obtained in other surveys where individuals suspected of having sleep apnoea are identified from initial questionnaires and a sample is then invited for polysomnography. For example, Lavie et al30 reviewed 1262 questionnaires from a working male population and, on the basis of the answers, selected a sample of 300 from three groups: excessively sleepy, insomniac, and normal. A higher percentage of the excessively sleepy group than of the normal subjects agreed to sleep studies (80% v 19%); but 11 of the 78 who had laboratory studies had more than 10 episodes of apnoea (of at least 10 seconds each) an hour. Whether these caused arousal or hypoxaemia is not known and probably only six had classical obstructive sleep apnoea. Thus in that study perhaps six out of 300 at most had moderate to severe sleep apnoea. Gillason et al31 reviewed 3100 questionnaires (76% response rate) and selected for further study the 1966 who reported that snoring and sleepiness was combined. No attempt to assess prevalence in an apparently symptomless control group was made. Of the 61 who attended for full sleep studies, 10 had more than 10 respiratory events (apnoea or > 4% Sao2 falls) an hour, and 15 had more than five an hour. If the 10 are regarded as having appreciable sleep apnoea, their prevalence of 10/3100 is similar to our 3/1000. Lugaresi et al3233 found only two severely affected and asymptomatic patients with obstructive sleep apnoea when studying (with polysomnography) 40 men who snored every night. The 40 men were randomly selected at four obstructive sleep apnoea in our population of men aged 35–65 years was 0.3%. Two of the three subjects are now having nasal continuous positive airway pressure with total relief of symptoms, and the third, though very sleepy, has retired from work early and is not sufficiently concerned about his symptoms to contemplate treatment, other than weight loss. The prevalence of moderate sleep apnoea in our group, defined on the basis of oxygen desaturation, depends entirely on the criteria chosen. Some individuals will also vary with respect to any particular chosen level, depending on the proportion of the study night spent supine.28 Figure 2 makes it clear that a cut off at either five or ten > 4% Sao2 dips/hour would be an arbitrary choice. If a > 4% Sao2 dip rate of more than five an hour is chosen then 45/1001 (4.5%) were affected; but if a rate of more than ten an hour is chosen then 10/1001 (1%) were affected.
factor correlates well with the degree of sleep apnoea. For example, the prevalence of obstructive sleep apnoes in the United States should be higher because 5% of men weigh over 101-6 kg, whereas in the United Kingdom the 95th centile is 94.4 kg, and only about 2% of men are over 102 kg. The above studies all suffer from potential bias. For example, individuals agreeing to sleep studies may be more likely to be affected or, as happens in clinical practice, some obviously sleepy individuals with obstructive sleep apnoea may deny that they are so. By using a limited sleep study to screen everyone we have avoided these problems of bias.

In our study 13-6% of the variance in hypoxic dipping during sleep was accounted for by neck circumference and age. The effect of alcohol is interesting and the most likely explanation is that upper airway muscle tone is reduced by even quite small amounts of alcohol.21-23 The residual effect of obesity, after neck circumference has been taken into account, may be due to the reduced functional residual capacity in the obese and thus smaller lung oxygen stores, causing a faster decline in SaO2 during apnoea.24 In a previous study21 we found that night to night variation in >4% SaO2 dip rate in subjects with mild to moderate obstructive sleep apnoea was considerable, owing to different amounts of time spent supine; this meant that only about half of the variance in >4% SaO2 dip rate in our population could be accounted for by other factors, such as neck circumference and age.

A prevalence of 0.3% of men aged 35–65 years for severe symptomatic sleep apnoea means that each chest physician covering 150 000 people (the recommended ratio in Britain) should be looking after about 100 such male patients, the total number of such patients in Britain being about 36 000 (95% CI 8400–108 000). The prevalence in women is probably less, to judge by clinical experience (1:5 in our unit); in children, although obstructive sleep apnoea clearly exists, the overall prevalence is not known. These data show that severe symptomatic sleep apnoea is likely to be much more common than, for example, sarcoidosis and fibrosing alveolitis combined.25 This has important resource implications for those running hospital respiratory medical services.
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