Alternative and complementary medicine for asthma

It may well be asked what reasons there could be for exposing the pages of Thorax to a critique of alternative and complementary medicine. Two spring to mind: scientific curiosity and consumer demand. Alternative medicine makes claims that orthodox medicine cannot comprehend. Yet there is sufficient published evidence to suggest that some forms of alternative medicine have measurable therapeutic effects. There is also widespread enthusiasm among the public for alternatives to conventional treatment and sufficient interest from clinicians, especially general practitioners, to make it important for the subject to be examined critically.

This review will focus on asthma, looking at a wide range of alternative and complementary approaches.

Definitions
"Alternative" and "complementary" need defining. At a linguistic level this is not difficult. "Alternative" should imply "instead of" orthodox medicine and "complementary" should mean "in addition to." In practice most of the techniques we shall describe are used in Western societies alongside conventional remedies and so are complementary. This is probably how orthodox physicians would wish them to be used, as there is considerable danger in abandoning conventional treatment for an alternative, particularly in a condition such as asthma. There are, however, differences that go beyond the merely linguistic. In some instances the philosophy behind the approach is truly alternative. It is difficult to find common ground between the theory behind, for example, radionics, with its claims of healing at a distance (see below), and our understanding of the causation of disease. On the other hand the belief that a device such as an ioniser might help patients with asthma depends on a limited premise and is easily subjected to scientific study. The first example is starkly alternative, the second recognisably complementary. For other alternative techniques the distinction is not so straightforward.

Acupuncture is a good example. Its historical origin is as an integral part of traditional Chinese medicine. Central to the philosophy of medicine that has evolved in that country over the last 4000 years is the view that health is a harmonious balance of energies within us, a balance that is upset in disease and can be restored by attention to diet and lifestyle and by the judicious use of both acupuncture and herbal remedies. Acupuncture is rarely used in this holistic way for the treatment of asthma in the West or indeed in the urban parts of China that are better endowed with hospitals, where it is used to complement Western pharmaceuticals. Yet we could envisage acupuncture as part of Western scientific medicine when a scientific explanation emerges for its effects in neurophysiological or biochemical terms. In relation to pain relief the evidence is available. There seems no doubt that acupuncture relieves pain by stimulating the production of endorphins. That discovery brings acupuncture for pain in from the cold of heterodoxy into the warmth of orthodoxy. The object of this editorial is to determine how far such a process has been achieved for alternative approaches to the treatment of asthma.

Before we explore specific approaches in alternative medicine some important general points relating to research methods need to be discussed: precisely what should be studied and in what population, the type of controls required, and the most suitable blinding procedures.

Selection of techniques and patients
Western scientific method works best by dissecting out the component parts of a technique to find what is essential and how much is unnecessary. Much alternative medicine takes a holistic approach, regarding a constellation of activities as necessary for success. Thus a study confined to one set of acupuncture points, or a particular yoga exercise, would be considered an inadequate assessment of Chinese or Indian medicine respectively. A further difficulty is encountered when the alternative approach requires changes in medication on a day to day basis as symptoms change. It is difficult to incorporate these requirements into a conventional double blind, placebo controlled trial. Despite these objections from alternative practitioners, however, the way ahead may depend on assessment of the component parts of a system before the whole can be properly appraised.

A second major difficulty is that of defining the study population. Classification by Western diagnosis is often considered irrelevant. A condition such as pneumonia may be treated quite differently in different individuals, according to the non-respiratory symptoms with which it may be associated, whereas in other instances a remedy may be applied across a wide range of patients in a way that would seem likely to obscure any beneficial effect it might have in specified subgroups. The tendency has been to study individuals according to Western diagnosis. That may be unfair to some alternative remedies—but perhaps not so much with asthma, which, we could argue, is more a syndrome than a specific disease.

Controls in trials of alternative medicine
The protagonists of alternative medicine rely heavily on anecdotal experience, sometimes claiming that if just one individual responds to a treatment this must be worthwhile and effective. With such a view controlled trials are deemed unnecessary. Nothing could be further from the truth. Finding suitable controls is not always easy. Placebo medications are simple to prepare for controlled trials of homeopathic medicines, but there may be problems in preparing control treatments for herbal medicines, particularly when the subjects have to make their own tinctures. Greater problems are encountered with tech-
Methods of assessment
For trials in asthma there is the question of what assessment should be used. To record change objectively lung function testing is essential, and peak expiratory flow or spirometric indices should be measured as in conventional pharmaceutical trials. Daily diary cards should be part of the assessment in long term studies, which should include use of relief medication and questions on quality of life. If a study shows beneficial change in symptom scores but not in peak expiratory flow or spirometric indices, the temptation is to explain the benefit as a placebo response. But this is not the only possible explanation. In a trial assessing genuine versus sham acupuncture for disabling breathlessness in chronic obstructive lung disease the positive result favouring the active treatment was explained in terms of an effect on perception. Decreased perception of exertional breathlessness allowed these patients to walk further even though lung function was unaltered. A similar explanation was offered for the beneficial effect of diazepam and of hydrocodone in similar patients. Perhaps surprisingly, there are no trials of agents that could influence perception directly in a condition such as asthma, though we shall have cause to examine the results of experiments using suggestion in challenge studies in asthmatic patients.

These issues will be taken up later. The evidence relating to specific modalities of complementary medicine in asthma and some related conditions will now be examined.

Acupuncture and traditional Chinese medicine
Acupuncture has probably attracted more serious and popular interest than any other form of alternative medicine. Needles, placed at points determined by long tradition to lie along meridians that traverse the trunk and limbs (fig 1), are believed to restore the balance of Ying and Yang energies disturbed by disease. The meridians follow at least in part the lines of known structures such as blood or lymph vessels or peripheral nerves but are not exactly contiguous with any of them. The needling of acupuncture points, often several at a time, undoubtedly relieves symptoms of, for example, pain and nausea, and good evidence for a beneficial acute effect in asthma is to be found.

Perhaps the most widely quoted paper is that of Yu and Lee from Hong Kong, who assessed a single acupuncture point, the Ding Chuan, which is 2 cm lateral to the mid point between the C7 and the T1 vertebrae on the back bilaterally. Their subjects were said to be undergoing an acute spontaneous attack of asthma but were stable enough to be deprived of bronchodilators and corticosteroids for at least five hours before the study. They had an initial FEV\textsubscript{1} of just under a litre, which improved by around 80% after isoprenaline. Acupuncture was given to the Ding Chuan site in the first 10 patients and FEV\textsubscript{1} had increased by 0.43 litre at 10 minutes; when it was given to a point 2 cm lateral to the genuine site in the second 10 patients FEV\textsubscript{1} increased by only 0.02 litre. There was no crossover design. In another acute study Virsk recorded improvement in a range of lung function tests, the maximum effect occurring half to one hour after needling. Using a Japanese acupuncture technique, Takishima et al observed a small, poorly sustained fall in respiratory resistance (recorded with the oscillation technique), which was better than with placebo needling but much less than with a bronchodilator. More recent studies in specialist acupuncture journals confirm an acute effect with acupuncture, though this is usually less than that achieved with a bronchodilator. The selection of acupuncture points is critical for good results.

The ability of acupuncture to protect asthmatic patients against a bronchoconstrictor challenge has been tested in
several studies. Yu and Lee and Tandon and Soh both examined the effect on histamine challenge with negative results. Although Tashkin reported protection against methacholine challenge (using a wider range of five points), the acupuncture was, rather curiously, given after the challenge and the benefit was short lived and unimpressive. The effect of exercise challenge, on the other hand, was ameliorated by needling at three points, including the Ding Chuan, the FEV1, falling by 23.8% compared with 44.4% in untreated patients. Needling at control points of unrecognised value gave an intermediate fall in FEV1 of 32.6%. Strict attention was paid to controlling environmental conditions. This was a crossover study in children aged 9–13 years.

What would be of greater value in the overall management of asthma would be long term benefit in patients with persistent asthma, especially if this allowed reduction in conventional treatment or in side effects. Evidence for such an effect is limited. Few studies have been published in the West, though more, usually not well controlled, have appeared in Chinese journals that are not easily accessible. Dias and colleagues in Sri Lanka found twice weekly genuine acupuncture over two to six weeks to be inferior to needling of placebo points in 20 patients with chronic asthma, and a controlled trial in California failed to find any significant long term benefit in the acupuncture group.27 On the other hand, 17 Danish patients showed a 22% improvement in morning peak expiratory flow and a halving of bronchodilator use two weeks into the treatment period in a five week controlled study with parallel groups.28 Less bronchodilator was needed by both active and placebo groups for the month after treatment; lung function changes were not sustained. Shao and Ding29 favoured the Feishu point and recorded an improvement in FEV1, of 0.25 litre with no significant change in the control subjects. Initial lung function was not stated. Substantial improvement was recorded in 43% of patients, defined as "symptoms disappeared—no relapse in one year." Two other recent reports are less well documented.30 31

Some benefit from acupuncture in asthma appears therefore to be discernible but it is not striking. In acute asthma the effect is usually less than that achieved with a beta agonist and is not well maintained. Results in chronic asthma are variable. In this number of Thorax Kleinen et al review 13 published trials of acupuncture in asthma. They conclude, from an analysis of methodological quality, that claims for the efficacy of acupuncture are not based on the results of well performed clinical trials. Although it is possible to argue with some of the weightings used, and to dispute whether the results of such a heterogeneous group of studies should be analysed together, this conclusion does follow from the data they have reviewed.

A detailed inspection of individual papers, however, suggests that not all the recorded improvements in FEV1, or protection in challenge studies can be explained away. The failure of effect with placebo acupuncture and the tachycardia and rise in blood pressure that accompanied the beneficial response in the study by Yu and Lee suggest a genuine effect. How could this be brought about? An effect mediated through the autonomic nervous system is the most favoured explanation. In the Takishima study the needles were aimed towards the stellate ganglion, though no non-pulmonary changes in autonomic function were seen. Other possibilities include a local neurocutaneous reflex or a more distant reflex causing release of adrenaline or parasympathetic inhibition.27 There are also reports that cyclic nucleotides, corticosteroids, and adrenocorticotrophic hormone may be released by acupuncture, all of which could influence asthma. Establishing proof for any of these mechanisms would be interesting, but in the present state of pharmacological success with asthma it is difficult to see what place acupuncture could have. In China it is often used as additional treatment in acute asthma when response to orthodox medicines has proved disappointing, though such an approach has never been evaluated. Sceptics recall that in 1822 the Emperor of China rejected acupuncture as being a bar to medical progress,49 and case reports of pneumothorax after acupuncture over the chest wall and of hepatitis B indicate that it is not free of complications.

All these studies fall short of examining a total Chinese traditional medicine approach to asthma. This would use acupuncture as an initial step, supplement it with herbal remedies, and repeat acupuncture as necessary, the number and location of the points being altered to meet changing symptoms, with in addition strict advice on diet and lifestyle. Evaluating such a complex approach has so far daunted the most ardent of investigators, yet this is how traditional Chinese medicine is practised.

Yoga and health systems from the Indian Subcontinent

As in China, there are complete systems of traditional medicine and concepts of health care from India and Pakistan that embrace a combined mental and physical, medicinal and lifestyle approach to health.42 Relatively little known in the West and minimally studied until recently, there is now sufficient published material to allow some comment. The approach that has received most attention is Ayur-Vedic medicine,45 46 a complex system which includes two components that have been studied—transcendental meditation and yoga.

Transcendental meditation has had apparent success in treating anxiety states,50 and claims have been made that it may help in asthma.51 Sadly the evidence is poor and uncontrolled.

Yoga has been better studied, although most studies are again uncontrolled and based on qualitative impressions.48 A study from Bangalore followed several years (up to four and a half in some patients) two groups of matched young people with asthma, one of which had been taught and instructed to practise a full range of yoga exercises. In the treated group the number of attacks and amount of drug treatment fell significantly. This success was attributed to the relief of psychological stress.

A more recent, better controlled, though less ambitious study suggests that the matter may not be so simple.50 This considered just one aspect of yoga, that called pranayama. Two aspects of pranayamic breathing—the imposition of a 1:2 ratio between Inspiration and expiration and a stepwise reduction in breathing frequency—were deemed to be assessible by means of a double blind controlled trial using a training device and a placebo device of identical appearance. The patients studied had mild asthma (mean FEV1, 3–2 litres and more than 60% predicted) and were having bronchodilator treatment only. After two weeks of treatment no differences were found between the two groups save in one measurement—histamine reactivity. Those given genuine pranayama exercises showed a decrease in bronchial reactivity of the order of one doubling dose of histamine. Though yoga may cause some endogenous corticosteroid release,60 this seems unlikely to be responsible for the effect on histamine reactivity. But could yoga have an effect on vagal function? Control over other autonomic functions—heart rate, blood pressure and body temperature—are claimed for yoga.60 Although such control might reasonably be attributed to yoga in its totality, it is difficult to believe that such an effect would occur with a technique that did no more than alter respiratory rate and
Hypnosis and suggestion

Osler regarded asthma as a nervous disease.53 In fiction and biography the emotional aspects of asthma are emphasised and, despite much evidence to the contrary, patients and the public still frequently regard psychological stress as responsible for asthma. The relief of stress has been seen as an aim of treatment, and techniques used have ranged from behaviour therapy54 to relaxation55 and yoga (see above). One commonly used alternative approach pre-eminently designed to produce relaxation is hypnosis.

Of a cluster of papers published around 1960,56-59 only the study of Maher-Loughman60 included a control group. His six month long follow up study compared a group of asthmatic patients taught autohypnosis with a control group given conventional treatment. The groups were well matched but the trial was not blind. A difference in symptom scores between the groups emerged after three months and prompted the author to use hypnosis regularly.61 Subsequent controlled trials that have attempted to look at the overall clinical effect of hypnosis in asthma include a British Thoracic Society study from 1968 and a more recent study by Morrison62 (1988). The former, a multicentre study, produced negative results but the second, from a single enthusiastic author, positive results. Morrison recorded a reduction in the annual hospital admission rate from 3.3 to 1.0, but no change in lung function. Finally, Ewer and Stewart63 reported improved symptom scores and drug use of 41% and 26% respectively in a subgroup of 12 asthmatic patients who were highly susceptible to hypnosis, though lung function improved by less than 6%.

Despite the lack of significant changes in spirometric values, interesting results are seen with challenge tests. In a careful study of exercise induced asthma Zvi et al64 showed that the fall in FEV1, five minutes after the end of exercise was reduced from 30% with placebo to 16% after two five minute sessions of hypnosis. Though the result was significant, it was less than that afforded by sodium cromoglycate in the same patients (7.6%). Response to methacholine challenge improved slightly in the study by Ewer and Stewart63 but only in the patients susceptible to hypnosis.

Although these results are not impressive, they are positive when compared with the results with placebo. Attempts to look at the mechanism use the model of simple suggestion rather than hypnosis. Some asthmatic patients will develop bronchoconstriction if given a saline aerosol to breathe after being told that it contains an agent that will make their asthma worse65 66 and equally will improve if told that the saline aerosol is a bronchodilator.66 67 Plethysmographic measurements suggest central rather than peripheral airway narrowing, pointing to a role for the vagus68 as does the observation that anticholinergic agents may protect against the bronchoconstrictor effects of suggestion.69 70 Lewis et al12 were sceptical of these studies, however, showing that the conditions for saline inhalation needed to be very carefully controlled. Inhalation of saline at room temperature and humidity without any suggestion caused bronchoconstriction and they were unable to find any additional effect of suggestion.71 These results were, however, contradicted by Neild and Cameron, who found an effect of suggestion independent of airway cooling and blocked it with the anticholinergic agent ipratropium.49 Differences in experimental design mean that this controversy cannot be resolved satisfactorily.74

At a clinical level it must be asked what place hypnosis and suggestion might have in the everyday management of asthma. Psychological factors may be important in "difficult" asthma and it is for these patients, particularly when they become steroid dependent, that hypnosis is advocated.75 Not all individuals are susceptible to hypnosis, however,64 76 so the technique is not universally applicable. Reliable clinical tests that could be performed easily by those not versed in the art of hypnosis will be needed if the method is to be used economically. There is an impression (though it is not supported by all studies) that subjects who are easily hypnotised are also easily suggestible.64 Is the response to hypnosis therefore simply a good placebo response in selected individuals?

A final word of warning is necessary about hypnosis and other methods of anxiety reduction in asthma.77 Suppressing perception of worsening asthma could mean that an individual fails to recognise worsening asthma and may not take appropriate treatment. Those who support the use of hypnosis say that suitable safeguards can, and are, built into the hypnosis instructions so that this does not happen.

Naturopathy

The healing power of nature (vis medicatrix naturae) is said to "underpin nearly all the therapeutic techniques in alternative medicine."11 Naturopathy is a system of health care that relies on healthy living to enhance the body's natural ability to resist disease and recover from illness. It has parallels in ancient therapeutic arts from China, India, and elsewhere, and supports its claims by pointing to Claude Bernard's homeostatic principle and Hans Selye's general adaptation syndrome. Although much of medicine relies on the same healing powers, naturopathy appears to differ in its emphasis on enhancing the power of natural healing to the virtual exclusion of recognised surgical or medical procedures.11

No work on specific effects of naturopathy in asthma has been published but attention needs to be drawn to certain diagnostic and therapeutic practices embraced by naturopathy that may be used for patients with asthma. Iridology12 and hair diagnosis17 are widely used for diagnosis. The distribution of colour and texture in the iris according to a topographical map representing the organs of the body is said to reflect disease in those organs. There is no published evidence to support this claim. Hair diagnosis relies on biochemical analysis, particularly for trace elements. Wide variations in zinc, copper, chromium, cadmium, and other elements are seen with sex, race, age, breast feeding, hair colour, and use of shampoo and rinses, so that defining "normal" is very difficult.69 The value of hair analysis in tracing mercury poisoning has been notably vindicated81 but it is valueless82 as a means of diagnosing systemic diseases. When hair from nine subjects with fish allergy and nine control subjects was sent blind to three hair analysis laboratories, widely discordant and inconsistent results were obtained.99

Therapeutic advice in naturopathy covers hydrotherapy, sunlight, diet, fresh air, relaxation, and exercise. 84 Nothing more than tradition and anecdote support the use of these
approaches and no clear guidelines for their use are given. It seems doubtful whether research into naturopathy alone is worth considering.

Homeopathy
First propounded in the late eighteenth century by a German doctor, chemist, and toxicologist, Samuel Hahnemann, homeopathy is a system of medicine that relies on detailed history taking to define syndromes of bodily dysfunction, which are then managed according to two homeopathic principles—the law of similars and the use of infinitesimally small doses.89 The law of similars ("Similia similibus curentur") is said to be based on some observations of Hippocrates that substances that in toxic doses cause certain symptoms will in much smaller doses cure the same symptoms caused by disease.86 Thus white hellebore causes watery diarrhoea in high dose and is used to treat cholera in low dose. The dilution principle that follows from this is taken to the degree that theoretically no molecules of the original medicine can still be present in the solution.87 An effect of the active medicine is said to remain impressed on the molecules of the diluent by the process of succession—striking the tube containing the solution at each dilution stage.88 No treatment in alternative medicine is more suitable for scientific study—yet relatively little has been done.89 Asthmatic patients recommended for homeopathic treatment are often treated on an extension of the "like cures like" principle called isopathy, in which the agent thought to cause the condition is itself used for the treatment, but in the usual homeopathic high dilution. Pollen extracts are given for pollen asthma,90 house dust mite extracts for asthma related to house dust mite.91 Comparisons have been made with more conventional hyposensitisation procedures, though they are in fact quite different.92 Although very little has been published on homeopathy in asthma, allergic rhinitis has been studied,93 94 the latest trial, from Reilly et al in Glasgow, having excited considerable interest. In a randomised parallel group study a control period of seven days was followed by seven days of homeopathy—namely, active or placebo remedies for 14 days and by a further 14 day observation period without treatment. Assessment was by symptom scores, scoring on a visual analogue scale by the attending physician, and use of antihistamines. The results of the trial were judged to favour the homeopathic remedy as all three measures were statistically better at the 2.5% level. An initial deterioration in symptoms was attributed to homeopathic "aggravation" in the treated group but to "natural progression" in the placebo group. After the first week scores improved in the actively treated group but not in the placebo group. This trial showed benefit from homeopathic remedy in hay fever. Subsequent correspondence in the Lancet concentrated on how the treatment could have worked, as there appeared to be little to criticise in the trial design or analysis.95 96 One difficulty not addressed despite the title "Is homeopathy a placebo response?"97 is whether the two groups were equally matched for placebo responders. As not all individuals are equally suggestive chance allocation of better responders to the treated group could have influenced the result.

The repercussions of the publication of an article in Nature on a related topic, though again not in the context of asthma, were more strident and more public. Benveniste and his colleagues published evidence purporting to show immunological activity from solutions diluted to the order of magnitude used in homeopathic remedies.98 The model was the degranulation of sensitised basophils by anti-IgE.99 Degranulation is orthodoxy expected at dilutions of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ or more, when theoretically no active agent should be present. The data suggested peaks of activity at various degrees of dilution but the peaks were not reproducible and on some experimental days no degranulation occurred. The results were disputed by a visiting team from Nature who attempted to witness a repeat of the experiment, which then failed.100 The vitriolic exchanges that followed this encounter illustrate the strength of feeling that surrounds experimentation on alternative medicine.101 Even if true, it must be asked what relevance the results have for homeopathic treatment and, of even more startling import, what they mean for physical chemistry as we know it. The experiment assesses only one of the two guiding principles of homeopathy—namely, dilution and succussion. It appears to contradict the principle of "like cures like" since degranulation occurred equally with conventional dilution and at great dilution. The same research team now claims that protection against basophil degranulation in their anti-IgE model can be produced by two homeopathic drugs (lung histamine and Apis mellifica102).

Sufficient studies of homeopathy are now available in clinical medicine as a whole to tempt us to make an overall analysis. Two recent reviews of published work reached contradictory conclusions. Hill and Doyon103 excluded from consideration any trial in which randomisation was absent or inadequate. On the basis of an even distribution of trials in favour of active and placebo treatment, they concluded that the case for homeopathy was unproved. Kleijnen et al104 included 107 trials in their analysis, giving them a weighted scoring. Though deeply critical, these authors conceded that some trials (in a wide range of disorders) have convincingly positive results.105

Surprising, virtually no substantive work has been done on asthma, a condition in which objective measurements are available. Little more than anecdotal case reports have been published.106 107 Preliminary results of a trial from Reilly's team in Glasgow suggest an improvement in overall symptom scores, though lung function indices did not show significant improvement.108 A trial from Brazil using a commercial homeopathic remedy derived from the lungs of guinea pigs killed by anaphylactic shock appears to show some advantage of this remedy over placebo during a three month controlled trial.109 The attack rate fell from 1-69 a month to 0-38 in treated patients but did not change (1-54 a month) in the controls.

Should clinical studies of homeopathy prove convincing, there remains the question of the underlying mechanism. Accepted theories of physical chemistry would need overturning. To explain residual activity after the excessive dilutions used, homeopathic theory suggests that the solvent acts as a template for bonding an impression of the molecules being diluted, polymers of these altered water molecules being built up by the process of succession.110 The farfetched nature of these theories leads to the ridicule of homeopathy.111 Although practitioners of homeopathy have not always helped their case,112 some animal and plant studies are difficult to explain away.113

The case for homeopathy for asthma must be regarded as unproved despite the fact that it is widely used and the only alternative medicine accepted as part of NHS care.114 115 It demands more rigorous trials.116 117

Osteopathy and other manipulative techniques
Osteopathy originated in the United States some 120 years ago and is still widely practised and respected there.118 It combines the natural healing principles outlined for naturopathy with the belief that much ill health results from anomalies of the musculoskeletal system. Though
popularly and most readily understood as a treatment for spinal, arthritic, and soft tissue disorders, osteopathy originated from alleged observations that spinal manipulation could influence systemic disorders such as dysentery. Manipulation in the region of the second thoracic vertebra is said to release restricted movement of the ribs and improve asthma.117 The basis for this is believed to be viscerosomatic reflexes arising from an affected internal organ (in this case the lung) and reflected in muscle splinting (in this case maximal over T2–7).119 Interestingly, Bouhuys has shown that posture affects histamine reactivity in both asthmatic patients and normal individuals,120 reactivity being greater in the supine than in the sitting position. Somewhat similar spinal manipulations are used in chiropractic, but there are no controlled clinical trials to support the claims of osteopathy or chiropractic.121

Simple physical relaxation techniques have been assessed in asthmatic patients in a controlled way but the results have been disappointing.122 Etkin and Schonell123 could find no changes in lung function or symptom scores (physical or psychological) in asthmatic patients given muscular relaxation alone or with mental relaxation. In asthmatic children Alexander124 found a small (11%) improvement in peak expiratory flow immediately after relaxation therapy, and Davies et al.125 some benefit in mild but not more severe asthma. Connective tissue massage, a physiotherapeutic technique devised by Dicke in 1929 and claimed to help in asthma, had no effect on lung function in 10 patients with a mean FEV1 of around 2 litres.126

These techniques together with spinal manipulation and traditional physiotherapy might be able to relax muscle tension and so relieve the effects of hyperinflation on the somatic musculature of which the asthmatic patient is so aware. An objective assessment of this potential benefit would seem to be worthwhile.

Diet and asthma
Advice on diet is part of many systems of medicine—Chinese, Indian, and Western. Some is no more than “eating sensibly,” some is based on folklore, and too little is critically considered advice appropriate to the individual and his or her complaint.

All physicians dealing with asthmatic patients need a working knowledge of food allergy and intolerance; it is when dietary advice becomes an obsession that caution is needed. Immediate type I allergic reactions to foods such as nuts, shellfish, fruits, etc, can be dramatic and asthma may occur as part of a generalised anaphylactic reaction.127 How often allergic reactions occur to common items of diet such as milk, eggs, and cereals is much debated, but worth considering in those with multiple allergic symptoms, food cravings, and a positive family history.128 Intolerance to certain items of the diet is a well recognised but uncommon cause of asthma: tartrazine in those with aspirin sensitive asthma,129 sodium metabisulphite used as a preservative,130 naturally occurring biogenic amines (for example, histamine in yeast and some cheeses131), and a few others.

Outside conventional medical practice diets and food “allergy” are sometimes accorded unwarranted importance.132 This results in the attribution of a wide range of clinical syndromes and an excessive proportion of asthmatic wheezing to allergy or intolerance to food and drink and it encourages some dubious diagnostic practices. Unfortunately conventional diagnostic tools such as skin-prick testing and radioallergen absorbent tests are less reliable in food than in inhalant allergy and, of course, give negative results in non-allergic food intolerance.133 There is, however, no evidence that reliance can be placed on sublingual testing,134 the “cytotoxic test,”135 or provocation neutralisation;136 exclusion followed by double blind exposure to the potential allergen is the only reliable diagnostic tool.137

Herbal medicine
The first known effective treatment for asthma was a herbal remedy—an extract of the root of Ephedra sinica discovered over 4000 years ago in China. Herbal cigarettes are recognised to contain anticholinergic alkaloids.138 One of the most fascinating of modern asthma treatments—sodium cromoglicate—also has its origins in the folk medicine tradition, being originally extracted as khellin from the root of the Egyptian plant ammvisnaga.139 Hopes for future asthma treatments are invested in the ginkgo tree140 and in a score of other plants from China, India, Africa, and elsewhere. Herbal “remedies” should then come as no surprise. They are a well tried source for drugs for asthma and for that matter many other conditions.

Until a herbal remedy has been through the refining fire of laboratory scrutiny, however, no evidence is required by any regulating body on its efficacy or safety. No trials of herbal remedies for asthma have been reported.

The statement is often made that because herbal remedies are “natural” they can do no harm. Curare and scopoline are also natural. A herbal remedy from India (misleadingly labelled “homeopathic”) contained a corticosteroid.141 Not only was it potentially dangerous, but its power to help in asthma was hardly surprising.

Herbal remedies form an integral part of the therapeutic approach of many cultures. In Chinese traditional medicine mixtures of herbs are designed to complement and interact with each other: one to treat the main symptoms, others for subsidiary symptoms, yet others for potential side effects, and even herbs that help to direct the medication to the organ affected.6 Yet even such complex mixtures should be amendable to proper scientific study and extraction of active ingredients.

Radionics, radiaesthesia, and psionic medicine
These three are grouped together because they have in common the concept that energies and forces undetectable by conventional physics or biology can be harnessed as diagnostic or therapeutic tools, or both. Radiaesthesia142 is based on the ancient art of dowsering, for example. Radionics143 arose out of bizarre experiments in which “radiations” from diseased tissues were claimed to be detectable and diagnosable by percuring the abdomen, stroking a rubber membrane, or later “tuning” the dials of a Rae or de la Warr instrument. Psionic medicine144 taps the forces of psychokinetics, telepathy, and clairvoyance to aid healing.

These alternative devices are so alien to orthodox medical thought that it is impossible to give their claims any credence. No specific studies on asthma are available. Vega testing can also be dismissed: the vega instrument claims to make diagnoses of allergy by detecting abnormalities in bioelectrical potentials in the skin.145 There is no acceptable validation of these claims.

Ionisers and asthma
The proportion of charged ions in the atmosphere rarely exceeds 1:1012. More ions will be found in areas close to radioactive sources, both earthbound and interstellar, and abnormal concentrations are formed at the time of electrical storms and in association with sharp changes in humidity and wind speed. For centuries dry, warm winds, such as the Sharav in Israel and the Foehn and Sirocco in Europe, have...
been regarded as evil, bringing in their train malaise and ill health. Adverse effects have been attributed to positively charged ions which precede and accompany these winds.

There is evidence that very high concentrations of charged particles influence biological events from the growth of plants to the hatching of silk worm eggs. Of more relevance to lung disease are studies of ciliary activity in the mammalian trachea. Positively charged ions have been observed to decrease ciliary activity and mucus flow in extirpated tracheal strips and in various small mammals, and negative ions the reverse. It has been suggested that the effects of ionic change may be mediated through serotonin, as serotonin antagonists have apparently been of benefit to patients with malaise during the Sharav season in Israel.

Why asthma was thought to be amenable to treatment by ionisation is not clear as it does not feature in the descriptions of the dry wind malaises, and serotonin does not appear to be an important bronchoconstrictor in naturally occurring asthma.

Three clinical settings have been examined for the effects of ionisation on asthma, often in uncontrolled studies and rarely with adequate numbers: acute ionic exposure, long term exposure, and challenge testing.

Of the studies purporting to show benefit from acute exposure to atmospheric ions (15 minutes to 27 hours), none stands up to scrutiny. The larger of the two studies of Komblueh et al included sufficient subjects but most had hay fever without asthma, no objective measurements were made, and treatments were not given blind. A similar lack of objectivity and control is seen in the report of Palti et al, who studied subjects under 1 year of age, described as having either asthmatic or asthmatic bronchitis. Controls were used by both Blumstein and Osterballe, though each had only 10 patients. Both positively and negatively charged ions produced a small benefit but this was never greater than 5% and was clinically unimportant.

Some longer term studies have been carried out. In 1983 Nogradi and Furnass reported a double blind crossover study in 20 asthmatic patients, in which they used standard assessments of diary cards and serial peak flow measurements. The negative ion generator, installed in the patient's bedroom, was activated from 10 pm to 8 am. Though measured ion counts increased 100 fold, neither symptom scores nor peak flows changed significantly. This study repeated the eight week protocol with four weeks control and placebo periods devised by Jones et al in 1976 but carried out in only seven patients. Despite some improvement in morning peak flow in three of the seven patients, including one whose serial peak flow chart is clearly displayed in the paper, the overall conclusion from the study was "that it is unlikely that exposure to negative ions will be of significant benefit in the majority of patients with asthma."

Preliminary results of an ioniser study in children have shown that despite a measured reduction in house dust mite in the bedroom air, night time cough scores actually increased.

Ionisers have also been assessed in bronchoconstrictor challenge studies. Neither Osterballe et al nor Ben-Dov et al found any difference in histamine reactivity between normal and negatively ionised air, though the Israeli workers found attenuation of exercise induced asthma in 10 of 11 children tested in a suitable blind manner. The control mean postexercise fall in FEV1 was 29% (SE 5%), compared with 21% (SE 3%) when the negatively ionised air was breathed during and after exercise, a small but statistically significant difference.

This last study is the only one to give a positive result for negatively charged ions in asthma. How could it work? Ionised particles attract dust and thus remove potential allergens from the atmosphere. A trial of an electrostatic precipitator designed to enhance this effect conferred no benefit, however, to asthmatic patients sensitive to the house dust mite (though the criticism was made that it generated positive ions). Animal experiments on tracheobronchial mucus flow and humidification of the epithelium suggest that positive ions dry the mucosa and negative ions moisten it. The breathing of warm, moist air ameliorates exercise induced asthma. Could negative ions create an effect on exercise induced asthma through this mechanism? If so, it would also help to explain the studies with negative results. If effects on cilia and mucus are the only important effects of ionisation, substantial long term changes in lung function are unlikely to be seen with varying ionic environments.

**Discussion**

Most physicians working in hospitals would probably hold the view that patients with asthma do not need alternative medicine. An acute attack demands immediate orthodox treatment and for most patients with chronic asthma regular inhaled drugs are simple to take, effective, and safe. To judge from surveys, some patients and their family practitioners think differently. It is not so much a turning away from orthodox medicine in search of a true alternative as the declination for something to complement standard treatment. A few turn to unorthodox treatments in the hope of finding a cure, but most do so because they have found conventional treatment unsatisfactory or are distressed by real or imagined side effects.

This review has tried to show what evidence there is that alternative or complementary techniques help asthmatic patients. It must be said that despite a bibliography of 170 references the evidence is not strong. A few techniques—specifically acupuncture, hypnosis, and yoga—do appear to work, in some patients and in some circumstances, and, although more research is desirable, there seems no reason to discourage interested patients from seeking help from such techniques. For practices such as homeopathy, reports are conflicting and the effectiveness of such techniques must be regarded as unproved. For other techniques, such as radionics, no studies have been published and patients should be discouraged from their use. Diets and herbal remedies fall into yet another category: dietary manipulation helps a few patients, and herbal remedies must be tested with far greater rigour than has been the case hitherto. When there is trend towards benefit in published data (as with homeopathy in general, though not specifically its use in asthma), we must guard against publication bias, trials with positive results being more likely to be published than those with negative results.

Several general questions arise from this review. Firstly, are the few recorded benefits clinically important, or could equal or greater benefit be obtained from a conventional treatment with equal or greater ease or safety or both? Secondly, if alternative techniques are effective, how do they work? Is there any common thread to explain positive results? And, thirdly, should we as a profession protest about treatments that have not been tested in any scientific way being offered (at a cost) to patients?

**How Beneficial?**

In the acupuncture studies in acute asthma the degree of benefit was about half that seen with a bronchodilator and the same was probably true for exercise induced asthma (fig 2). Similarly, the effect of hypnosis was to halve the exercise induced fall in FEV1, though this was less than the protection seen with sodium cromoglycate. The one
figure 2

Effect of alternative treatments on exercise induced asthma.

Effect of alternative treatments on histamine and methacholine challenge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Acupuncture</th>
<th>Hypnosis</th>
<th>Yoga</th>
<th>Ioniser</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histamine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methacholine</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ indicates a positive effect (reduced reactivity),
- no effect,
0 not tested.

positive study with ionisers gave about a one third degree of protection in exercise induced asthma. Neither acupuncture, hypnosis, nor an ioniser, however, would seem to be of much practical value in the setting of exercise induced asthma.

With histamine challenge (table) neither acupuncture nor an ioniser was protective, whereas yoga was. The degree of protection was expressed as "equivalent to one doubling dose." In fact, this represented the difference in the provocative dose of histamine causing a 20% fall in FEV1 (PD20) between the results at the end of two weeks on a genuine pranayama training device and two weeks using a placebo device. An unsensitive comparison of control values with the results after active treatment showed a difference of 1.53 doubling doses. If such a change was genuine, it would be quite impressive—of the order seen with low dose corticosteroids. Pranayama breathing is not demanding and could potentially lead to a reduced need for other treatment, though this has not been tested. The degree of skill in pranayama breathing that can be gained in two weeks must be limited. True practitioners of the art will slow breathing rates to one or two a minute and use it for one to two hours a day.

Yoga has not been assessed against methacholine challenge, but hypnosis has. The study of Ewer and Stewart showed a PC20 change of rather less than one doubling dose after six weekly half hour sessions of hypnosis. This effect could not be reproduced in subjects who were not susceptible to hypnosis: indeed, in these the PC20 for methacholine fell by about 30%. Simple suggestion does not seem to be powerful enough to alter methacholine reactivity. In patients given two reactivity tests with the suggestion that on the second occasion the methacholine was a bronchodilator PC20 for methacholine did not change.

Histamine and methacholine challenge are relatively stable over long periods (to within one doubling dose, for example, over 10–30 months), so the trends observed are likely to be valid if they can be sustained. With long term studies, however, where evidence of benefit would be so useful, trials of alternative treatments are particularly weak. Long term pharmacological treatment with aerosol corticosteroids is beneficial but the doses needed may ultimately lead to toxicity, and if pranayama breathing or hypnosis could be shown to reduce histamine and methacholine reactivity in a sustainable way over many months that would have important implications for patients prepared to learn and persist with these techniques.

MECHANISMS: A COMMON THREAD?

Though there is some dissent, in general, studies of suggestion have indicated that airways function (and possibly reactivity) can be influenced by mental activity. Many patients are convinced that this is so. The power of suggestion to produce bronchoconstriction when an innocuous solution (appropriately controlled for osmolality and temperature) is inhaled may be due to increased vagal activity as it can be blocked by prior inhalation of an anticholinergic drug. Hypnosis seems likely to work through a similar mechanism, and likewise relaxation therapy with biofeedback (on the basis of an effect on large rather than small airways) is beneficial but the potential exists for some central mechanism affecting perception. Acupuncture could work at this level by release of endorphins (or some other peptide) in parallel with its effects on pain. Could other alternative medicines alter perception? Hypnosis certainly can, but it is not clear how effectively this could be sustained.

If alternative medicine could reduce perception of the distress caused by asthma that could make a contribution to the clinical management of patients, provided that it did not abolish awareness of severe airways obstruction. The ability of asthma patients to detect changes in lung function has been studied. It varies between individuals. Some recognise deteriorating lung function to such an accurate degree that there is an inverse linear relation between perception of dyspnoea and measured PEF. Others seem to have a threshold above which perception of change is poor. Acute challenge with methacholine producing a fall in FEV1 of 50% or more could not be detected by 15% of 82 patients studied by Rubinfield and Pain. Age, sex, and psychological variables do not appear to distinguish those with poor perception. In histamine reactivity studies distress was less in those who started out with pre-existing airflow obstruction and in those highly responsive to histamine, the implication being that persistent disease somehow decreases perception. Perception also appears to be less in late (as opposed to early) allergic asthmatic reactions.

Perception of breathlessness during exercise can be assessed on a visual analogue scale and this technique has been used to evaluate the effects of drugs in normal subjects and patients with various pulmonary diseases. Results in asthma are rather few but sufficient to indicate that relief of airflow obstruction measured objectively does not necessarily run parallel with improved dyspnoea scores: the bronchodilators, beta agonists do allow a given level of ventilation to be tolerated with less dyspnoea, whereas
xanthines often make dyspnoea worse. This approach could be used for investigating possible effects of alternative medicines on breathlessness in asthma.

Even given an effect on vagal tone or on perception, we might still conclude that alternative medicine offers nothing more than a well orchestrated placebo response. Yet what is the placebo response and how is it generated? Identifying a profile for placebo responders has proved difficult. In a group of patients who showed both bronchoconstrictor and bronchodilator responses to the inhalation of a neutral aerosol, the only psychological variables correlating with an effect were a belief in the influence of chance in the control of health and, unexpectedly, a negative (rather than positive) correlation with the importance of “powerful others.” There was no link with anxiety or tension ratings.

CONCLUSIONS

This review of published work on alternative medicine, which has included reference to texts of alternative techniques as well as original articles, has led us to the conclusion that there is no place for any alternative approach in the management of the vast majority of cases of acute, severe asthma, but that in persistent asthma some patients could benefit. We wish to make some exceptions and caveats, however. Firstly, in acute, severe asthma a trial of acupuncture is reasonable. Secondly, in persistent asthma some cases are particularly difficult and in persistent asthma the evidence available suggests that techniques such as hypnosis and yoga, which possibly modify vagal tone or influence perception of dyspnoea, deserve further evaluation. Clearly not all asthmatic patients can respond; ways of identifying those that will are of great importance. Thirdly, too little attention is given by orthodox physicians and researchers of the effects of dietary substances on asthma and this has resulted in some patients becoming duped into ridiculous dietary manipulations. Various herbal remedies are on sale for asthma, a few of which may contain useful pharmacological agents. These remedies should be subject to the same regulatory restrictions as conventional pharmaceuticals and be properly tested for efficacy and safety. Finally, some form of regulation should be exercised over non-medicinal alternative medicines to ensure that they are marketed only when they too have statutory standards of efficacy and safety.
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