**LETTERS TO THE EDITOR**

### Chickenpox pneumonia: an association with pregnancy

We read with interest the article by Dr T F Esmonde and colleagues (October 1989;44: 812–5) on the relation between chickenpox pneumonia and pregnancy. We agree with their overall conclusions, though our own observations and those of others suggest that pregnancy may not have been the only risk factor in their patients. Dr Esmonde and colleagues do not report details of their patients’ smoking histories, a crucial risk factor.

Over the last two years we have cared for 32 adult inpatients with chickenpox (mean age 24.7 (SD 6.3) years), of whom seven had symptoms and radiological evidence of pneumonia. Of the patients with pneumonia, two were pregnant, and both were smokers. Smoking was the only risk factor in four patients, and one patient was diabetic. We also treated six pregnant or puerperal women without pneumonia (three in the last trimester), of whom only one smoked. Although only four of the 14 smokers with no other risk factor had pneumonia, lung function abnormalities are often found in smokers with chickenpox, despite a normal chest radiograph.

Immunological changes of pregnancy may be responsible for some of the increased risks of pneumonia. Attending to infected children may carry a risk of severe disease in some patients, and pregnancy may be a marker for the presence of infectious children at home. We found that three of seven patients with pneumonia had apparently contracted chickenpox from a child they were caring for, compared with only one of 25 without pneumonia (p = 0.05, Fisher’s exact test). Measles morbillity is associated with overcrowding, close contact, and (by implication) a large infectious dose. A case-control study of the association between exposure to the source case of chickenpox and the severity of the resultant illness would be most interesting.

Finally, although acyclovir is not of proved benefit in chickenpox pneumonia, we would advise its use in the patient with evidence of early or established pneumonitis due to varicella zoster, at a dose of 10–15 mg/kg three times a day.

**STEPHEN J ROGERSON**

**F J NYE**

Infectious Disease Unit, Fazakerley Hospital, Liverpool

**NICHOLAS J BEECHING**

Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool
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### Sedation for fibroptic bronchoscopy

Dr MP Shelley and colleagues (October 1989;44:769–75) concentrated on the various sedatives used during fibroptic bronchoscopy but only briefly mentioned the local anaesthetic technique; yet this, perhaps more than the sedative, determines the acceptability of the procedure to the patient. In the 1986 survey of bronchoscopic practice in the UK, lignocaine was the most commonly used local anaesthetic and only 7% of respondents used cocaine. The anaesthetic was administered via the bronchoscope in most and 15% of respondents used the transcricoid route. In a study primarily concerned with the effect of local anaesthetic agents on laryngeal pain and macrophage function, we measured by the local anaesthetic produced by the transcricoid route and also with the superiority of cocaine (4–6 ml 5%, cocaine: 200–300 mg) as the local anaesthetic. This has now become our standard anaesthetic technique in this hospital. The preference for the transcricoid route, for both operator and patient, has recently been confirmed. We are unaware of a formal comparison of cocaine and lignocaine as anaesthetic techniques at bronchoscopy. We recommend that other centres performing fibroptic bronchoscopy consider changing to the transcricoid instillation of cocaine.

**A C DAVIDSON**

**R LEACH**

**N T BATMAN**

Medical Unit, United Medical Dental School, St Thomas’s Campus, London SE1 7EH
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### Postpneumonectomy pulmonary oedema

Our experience with postpneumonectomy pulmonary oedema supports the work of Dr L Verheijen-Breemharaar and colleagues (April 1988;43:523–6). We found no significant differences between three patients with postpneumonectomy pulmonary oedema following right pneumonectomy and 10 patients with uncomplicated postoperative courses in age, preoperative pulmonary function, blood gases, intraoperative blood loss, or operative time. The 24 hour net fluid balance, however, was 86–4 (SD 10) ml/kg for patients with postpneumonectomy pulmonary oedema and 47 (19) ml/kg for those without (p < 0.002). We agree that most existing data implicate excessive fluid administration in the pathogenesis of this condition, though application of an equivalent haemodynamic stress by left atrial Foley bevel may produce no increased susceptibility to postpneumonectomy pulmonary oedema, suggesting that the decreased oncotic pressures resulting from excessive fluid administration may be important.

These data suggest that postpneumonectomy pulmonary oedema might be prevented if safe guidelines for fluid administration were established. Unfortunately, there appears to be no consensus on this matter. One manual advises that “for the first 24 hours it is wise to limit the baseline fluids to 40 ml/kg given as 5% dextrose in water” after pulmonary operations. A leading anaesthesia text suggests 8 ml/kg/h intraoperatively for thoracotomy. Neither provides specific guidelines for treatment after a pneumonectomy.

Our data suggest important differences in postpneumonectomy fluid management between different institutions. We were struck that one Dutch patient developed post-pneumonectomy pulmonary oedema with a net positive balance of only 1000 ml (roughly 15 ml/kg/24 h), yet two of our patients developed no difficulty despite a net balance exceeding 70 ml/kg/24 h. Perhaps individual variations in preoperative hydration, cardiac reserve, residual pulmonary lymphatic capacity, and pulmonary endothelial permeability affect the fluid volume that may be safely given.

We caution that the safe postpneumonectomy fluid threshold is variable and may be less than 15 ml/kg/24 h in some patients. It seems likely that postpneumonectomy pulmonary oedema cannot be completely eliminated, but careful management of fluid intake may lessen its incidence and severity.

**M MARGOLIS**

Pulmonary Disease Section, Philadelphia Veterans Administration Medical Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA
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