to see the additional data they present. We had been unable to derive this information from their paper as they had reported responses to treatment as percentage change rather than absolute values. Although we both used similar selection criteria, the bronchodilator responses of our populations are different. Thus 21% of our patients, but only 12% of the Birmingham patients, had an FEV₁, response to prednisolone. Moreover, from Figure 1 in their paper an applicable number of patients had a fall in FEV₁ of up to 45% after oral prednisolone. We accept that there are patients who respond to oral steroids but not to nebulised beta agonists—and indeed we described one in our paper. One of our aims was to show the value of giving bronchodilators by nebuliser rather than by metered dose inhaler in detecting later responses to steroids—we still hold this view.

There is considerable uncertainty about the best way to define a bronchodilator response in chronic obstructive lung disease. We chose FEV₁ as our principal variable as it has a well established variance and has been shown to relate to long term outcome. Neither the variability of FVC and PEF in chronic obstructive lung disease nor the relation between the two and long term outcome is well documented. Accepting a change in any one of three variables as a response will increase the likelihood of false positives. It is therefore important to perform long term studies to decide which approach best predicts subsequent morbidity and mortality.

Many patients with chronic obstructive lung disease are treated with blind polypharmacy. We are in complete agreement with Dr Weir and Burge that only by collecting objective data on chronic obstructive lung disease patients will it be possible to select and justify an optimal therapy.

MG PEARSON
PMA CALVERLEY
Fazakerley Hospital,
Liverpool L9 TAL

Primary liposarcoma of the lung in a young woman

There are several points in the article by Dr F Ruiz-Palomo and others (April 1990;45:298–9) which make me question the diagnosis of a primary liposarcoma in such a young patient.

1 Microscopically, the authors do not mention what type of liposarcoma they thought this was—that is, well differentiated myxoid, round cell, or pleomorphic. In addition, the photograph showing the proliferating lipoblasts does not appear convincing to me. The nuclei do not show the indentation or "scalloping" that is seen in typical lipoblasts, where the nuclei are distorted by the lipid droplets. Vacuolation can be seen in many tumours, particularly where there are lipid inclusions.

2 There is no comment on the use of special stains. Were fat stains such as oil red used to detect fat, and were any attempts made to detect mucin or glycogen in the tumour by means of the periodic acid-Schiff re action with or without diastase?

3 The immunocytochemical stains used in this case report do not include one of the most useful markers for liposarcoma, S-100 protein. The authors have not included this in their list of antibodies used.

Electronic microscopy is not elaborated on in the article to confirm that the tumour is a liposarcoma.

Liposarcomas are exceedingly rare and unusual in young patients. Therefore to establish a firm diagnosis a combination of strong evidence from light microscopy, fat stains, immunocytochemistry, and electron microscopy is necessary to make a firm diagnosis. Detailed pathological analysis is essential before one can accept such a diagnosis.

MARY N SHEPPARD
Bromsgrove Hospital,
London SW3 6HP

AUTHORS' REPLY

The points mentioned by Dr Sheppard in her letter are obvious and were checked by one of us in the laboratory of pathology. Some data were omitted from our report a consequence of the brevity demanded for case reports. The oil red staining was indeed positive and the periodic acid-Schiff reactions (with and without diastase) were negative in our case, confirming the absence of fat and the absence of mucin and glycogen in the tumour. The authors based their diagnosis on a list of the immunocytochemical stains used to exclude a non-lipomatous origin of the tumour, but we are quite sure about the diagnosis of liposarcoma on the basis of light microscopy and positive fat staining, with confirmation by electron microscopy (the last sentence of the case report states clearly, "The diagnosis of liposarcoma was confirmed by electron microscopy"). Thorax limits the number of illustrations in a case report, but we would be happy to send Dr Sheppard an electron micrograph of the liposarcoma in our case.

FRUZ-PALOMO I FOGUE
Hospital Ramon y Cajal,
Universidad de Alcalá de Henares,
Madrid, Spain

BOOK NOTICES


Surgery for scoliosis and enthusiasm for screening programmes have tended to run ahead of hard evidence that such activity is beneficial. This conference, held in late 1988, was designed to bring together the most recent data on the natural history of scoliosis and the effects of treatment. In this aim it was largely successful. The published proceedings, however, are rather variable in content. There are 32 papers covering screening, newer imaging techniques, prognosis in non-idiopathic scoliosis, cardiopulmonary consequences, and the effects of both surgical and non-surgical treatment. Some contributions are exceptionally well written and were referenced—for example, Burwell's review of screening for scoliosis. Some others are little more than abstracts and a few are not referenced. There is no documentation of the discussions following the papers. Unfortunately the spoken word often does not transcribe well into text and some articles would have gained by the inclusion of the graphs and tables presented at the meeting. It was not the intention of the symposium to cover the respiratory manifestations of scoliosis and no attempt was made to do so. Nevertheless, for any respiratory physician interested in scoliosis these proceedings do provide a useful and up to date review, particularly of the epidemiological and orthopaedic aspects of prognosis in scoliosis.—IDAJ

Airway Obstruction and Inflammation


This book reports the proceedings of a meeting on airway obstruction and inflammation sponsored by a pharmaceutical company and held in Florence in 1988. The book is divided into four sections, which deal with basic mechanisms, clinical aspects, and finally a round table discussion on airway obstruction. Each section is made up of a mixture of "state of the art" reviews and original articles from Italian investigators. The reviews include chapters on neural control of airway vasculature, airway inflammation in asthma, asthma deaths, airway beta receptor function, non-isotonic aerosol challenges, immunotherapy in asthma, chronic bronchitis, emphysema, and treatment of airways obstruction. These chapters have been written mainly by British, Australian, and Italian authors and are in general informative and well written. Almost invariably, however, more extensive reviews on the same topics and written by the same authors have been published elsewhere. A few of the original articles contain interesting data not published elsewhere, though one is conscious that these articles have not undergone peer review. The book is well presented but is very expensive. In view of the lack of substantial new information and its cost I would not recommend the book to individual clinicians or those engaged in research in asthma or chronic airflow obstruction. Nor would I consider that it should have a high priority for purchase by the hospital library.—NCT

NOTICE

Scadding-Morrison Davies joint fellowship in respiratory medicine 1991

This fellowship is available to support visits to medical centres in the United Kingdom or abroad for the purpose of undertaking studies related to respiratory medicine. Medical graduates practising in the United Kingdom, including consultants and registrars, may be awarded this fellowship. The number of years in that grade may apply. Applicants should submit a curriculum vitae with a detailed account of the duration and nature of the work and the centres to be visited. Applicants are advised that the number of years in that grade may apply. Applicants should submit a curriculum vitae with a detailed account of the duration and nature of the work and the centres to be visited. Applicants are advised that the number of years in that grade may apply. Applications should be sent by 31 January 1991 to the secretary, Dr I A Campbell, Llandough Hospital, Penarth, Cardiff CF6 1XX.