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ABSTRACT The value of non-invasive procedures for predicting pulmonary arterial pressure was investigated in 370 patients with chronic obstructive lung diseases and in 73 with fibrosing alveolitis in a combined study at nine centres in six European countries. Measurements included forced expiratory volume in one second, arterial blood gas tensions, standard electrocardiogram, radiographic dimensions of pulmonary artery, right ventricle dimensions by M mode echocardiography, and myocardial scintigraphy with thallium-201; and certain clinical signs were also used. No single variable was correlated closely enough to allow accurate prediction of pulmonary arterial pressure. Four methods were used to incorporate several variables into mathematical functions for predicting pulmonary arterial pressure. In patients with chronic obstructive lung disease multiple stepwise regression explained 49% of the variance in pulmonary arterial pressure but was not useful for prediction. Discriminant analysis allowed patients to be allocated to bands of pulmonary arterial pressure, as did two non-parametric procedures, in which decision trees were established using either the Kolmogoroff-Smirnoff statistic or Fisher's exact test. Patients with a pulmonary arterial pressure of 30 mm Hg or more were identified with a sensitivity of 83% and a specificity of 91%. The non-parametric tests gave better results than discriminant function. A further 54 patients were studied to validate the functions. Of these, 90% with a pulmonary arterial pressure above 20 mm Hg were correctly identified, and 80% of those with a pulmonary arterial pressure above 29 mm Hg. Similar results were obtained in subjects with fibrosing alveolitis. These mathematical functions allow the use of combinations of non-invasive procedures to select from populations at risk of pulmonary hypertension those in whom direct measurement is required. The mathematical functions are capable of further development by incorporation of variables from newer non-invasive procedures.

Introduction

Although the occurrence of pulmonary arterial hypertension is well recognised in various forms of chronic respiratory disease, more information is needed on many aspects of the problem. The prevalence of pulmonary arterial hypertension in different diseases is unknown, and more needs to be learnt about the rate of progression over time, the extent of short term variation in pulmonary arterial mean pressure, and the long term effects of various forms of drug treatment. Cardiac catheterisation remains the method of measurement against which all others have to be judged, but it is invasive, expensive to perform, and increasingly unsuitable as more repeated measurements are required. Consequently there have been many attempts to find reliable, inexpensive, and non-invasive methods of identifying pulmonary artery hypertension.

In general, single measured variables have failed to predict pulmonary arterial pressure accurately, so
some workers have explored the possibility that combining the results of several measurements might give a more accurate and specific prediction. Since the early study of Enson et al there has been intermittent interest in the possibility of predicting pulmonary arterial pressure from other physiological variables. These authors determined the relation between pulmonary arterial pressure and arterial oxygen saturation (\(\text{SaO}_2\)) and arterial pH, but the scatter about the regression was large and the precision with which pulmonary arterial pressure could be predicted was low. This finding was repeated by others.\(^2\) All showed that the highest correlation was with arterial oxygen saturation, but this explained less than half of the variance of pulmonary arterial pressure. The addition of other variables to the analysis produced little improvement. In the World Health Organisation multicentre study we reported\(^3\) the largest series of patients with chronic obstructive lung disease, and again \(\text{SaO}_2\) alone explained only 42% of the variance of pulmonary arterial pressure, packed cell volume and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV\(_1\)) explaining only a further 2%.

Attention began to turn towards the use of other relatively non-invasive measurements, alone or in combination with blood gas values and results of other pulmonary function tests. Some of these studies tried to predict the value of pulmonary arterial pressure while others sought to diagnose the presence or absence of pulmonary arterial hypertension. Tartulier et al\(^4\) followed the latter approach and in a study of 43 patients with chronic obstructive lung disease were able to categorise patients accurately using a combination of arterial oxygen tension (\(\text{PaO}_2\)) and the electrocardiogram (ECG) or vectorcardiogram. Evers and Liehs\(^5\) studied 372 patients with chronic obstructive lung disease and used a linear discriminant analysis with nine variables derived from pulmonary function tests and measurements of pulmonary arterial diameter on a chest radiograph. The discriminant function allowed the correct identification of 79% of the patients with pulmonary hypertension in a new series. Keller et al\(^6\) studied 89 patients with chronic obstructive lung disease and by stepwise linear regression analysis found it possible to explain 60% of the variance of pulmonary arterial pressure, using \(\text{PaO}_2\), \(\text{PaCO}_2\), and the diameter of the right descending branch of the pulmonary artery. Most recently Oswald-Mammosser et al\(^7\) investigated the sensitivity of variables singly and in combination for identifying the presence of pulmonary arterial hypertension in 63 patients with chronic obstructive lung disease and found that combinations always gave better results. With stepwise regression it was possible to account for only 43% of the total variance.

It may be concluded that no single non-invasive procedure allows prediction of the presence of pulmonary arterial hypertension or the level of pulmonary arterial pressure with a sufficient degree of reliability to be of value clinically. Combinations of non-invasive measurements allow prediction of the presence of pulmonary arterial hypertension with satisfactory probability, but the precise level of pulmonary arterial pressure cannot be predicted with sufficient accuracy to be useful. Some of the newer techniques, including Doppler flow measurements and two dimensional echocardiography, show greater promise but it still seems unlikely that any of them used alone will make it necessary to alter these conclusions.

In the present study we have extended previous approaches by using combinations of measurements and some newer statistical and mathematical methods, and have applied them to a larger collection of new data than has been available to earlier workers. The three important characteristics of the study are: (a) it uses only those non-invasive methods which were available in all of the participating centres at the time the study started in 1978, and which at that time were considered likely to prove useful; (b) it uses various statistical methods, some non-parametric, in an attempt to find the most effective way of utilising the results of the non-invasive measurements; (c) the patients studied are from two diagnostic groups and the predictive values of the measurements in the two groups are compared.

## Methods

### SELECTION OF PATIENTS

The centres in the study were Brussels, Budapest, Lyons, Milan, Nancy, Prague, Strasbourg, and Warsaw. Patients aged 20–65 years with one of two diagnoses were recruited.

**Chronic obstructive lung disease** Patients had to have either chronic bronchitis, characterised by cough and sputum for at least three consecutive months for each of two consecutive years, or emphysema, characterised by dyspnoea with radiological evidence of emphysema. Both groups had to have functional evidence of airways obstruction (FEV\(_1\)/FVC < 55%).

**Fibrosing alveolitis** This was defined by restrictive changes with increased elastic recoil, either caused by exogenous factors or of unknown origin. The diagnosis was made radiologically and on the basis of functional changes, including decreased vital capacity, transfer factor for carbon monoxide, and static compliance, without features of airways obstruction.

Patients were excluded if they had kyphoscoliosis, past thoracic surgery, pneumothorax present or past, asthma at time of study, systemic hypertension (under treatment or diastolic pressure > 105 mm Hg),
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rheumatic or congenital heart disease, a history of myocardial infarction or angina, pulmonary infection within the last three months, pulmonary embolism or infarction within the last month, pronounced obesity (Broca index > 120%) or other serious disease.

It was intended that the selection should provide patients with pulmonary arterial pressures ranging from normal to substantially raised. In the event 45% of the patients had a pulmonary arterial mean pressure below 20 mm Hg, 31% in the range 20–29 mm Hg, and 24% of 30 mm Hg or more. Results of the non-invasive measurements were not available at the time of selection.

The patients were chosen from those attending the centres taking part in the study. As the special interests of these centres varied, and did not in all cases include the full range of respiratory disease, the proportion of patients in the two diagnostic groups varied between centres. The patients studied were therefore a selected sample, chosen to facilitate the study of the relation between certain non-invasive measurements and the level of pulmonary arterial pressure. Because of the way the sample was drawn the results do not define the frequency distribution of levels of pulmonary arterial pressure in a population of patients with any of the stated diagnoses.

An additional group of patients with thromboembolic lung disease was studied simultaneously by identical methods and the results will be reported separately.

The total number of patients studied was 370—297 with chronic obstructive lung disease and 73 with fibrosing alveolitis. After the main part of the study on 370 patients was completed a further 54 patients with chronic obstructive lung disease were examined to test the validity of the derived functions prospectively. The technical methods and procedures were the same as for the earlier part of the investigation.

**Protocol and Measurements**

Measurements were made after it had been established that the patient was in a steady clinical state, with a stable body weight and no history of increased symptoms, cardiac failure, or respiratory infection during the previous month.

Data concerning personal details, past history, present symptoms, and findings of the physical examination were collected. A set of non-invasive measurements was carried out on each patient (see below) and measurement of pulmonary arterial pressure at cardiac catheterisation. No fixed order was specified, but the measurements had to be completed within 14 days.

The following procedures were attempted in each patient (obligatory measurements): 12 lead electrocardiography; M mode echocardiography; radio-graphy of chest; recording of forced expiratory spirogram; arterial blood gas tensions and pH; haemoglobin concentration and packed cell volume.

Other non-invasive procedures were optional as they were available in only a few centres—for example, myocardial scintigraphy using thallium-201. Other methods proved to have little diagnostic value or were technically unsatisfactory. These procedures, the results of which were not used in the analysis but have been described elsewhere, included precordial mechanocardiography, measurement of orthostatic variation in transfer factor for carbon monoxide, perfusion scans of the lung, vectorcardiography, and measurements of airways resistance and lung compliance.

**Technical Procedures**

The methods were in most cases in regular use in the participating centres. The equipment was not identical in all centres, but standard procedures for measurement were laid down. These were as follows:

- **Cardiac catheterisation** This was performed with the patient supine. Intravascular pressures were recorded in relation to a zero point 5 cm below the sternal angle. Mean pressures were determined by electrical integration over at least three respiratory cycles. Cardiac output was measured by the direct Fick or thermodilution method.
- **Arterial blood gas tensions** These were measured by standard methods using covered electrodes, with frequent calibration. Haemoglobin concentration and packed cell volume were measured by the usual methods.
- **Forced expiratory volume** in one second was measured from a spirogram, the best of three attempts being used. Forced vital capacity was measured in the same way, but slow vital capacity was substituted if it gave a larger value.
- **The dimensions of the pulmonary artery** were measured from standard posteroanterior radiographs of the chest taken with a source to film distance of 2 m. The trans hilar distance was measured as described by Hicken et al. The diameter of the descending branch of the right lower lobe artery (PAD) was measured as described by Morbello et al. In some instances tomography or xerotomography was used to help in identifying the borders of the pulmonary artery. A sample of the radiographs was sent to one centre and the measurements were repeated to ensure consistency.
- **M mode echocardiograph** This was recorded by the precordial or subxiphoid approach. Measurements were made of the thickness of the wall of the right and left ventricles in both systole and diastole and of the diameter of the right and left ventricular cavities in diastole. Recordings were also attempted of the...
movements of the pulmonary valve to allow measurement of the opening slope and the "a" dip (DEPTHA). The pre-ejection period (PEP) was measured where possible. Difficulty was experienced frequently in the patients with chronic obstructive lung disease owing to the hyperinflated lung. Successful recordings of pulmonary valve movement were obtained in only 25% of the patients studied, but ventricular recordings were obtained in 68%. Some of the tracings were again measured in one centre, to ensure consistency in the method of measuring the traces.

Electrocardiography This used the standard 12 leads plus V4R. A random sample of tracings was rereadmeasured at one centre, to check that there was no intercentre variation.

Myocardial scintigraphy This used thallium-201 and was performed as described by Weitzenblum et al. The extent of any image formed by the wall of the right ventricle was graded from 0 to 3.

CONSTRUCTION OF DATA SETS

All data were screened according to preset limits, and by inspection. All results that were outside limits or appeared questionable or inconsistent with the diagnosis were referred back to the principal investigator for confirmation.

The obligatory investigations were completed in each patient, with the exception of the echocardiogram in some patients with chronic obstructive lung disease, as already noted. In a few instances it was not possible to measure the dimensions of the pulmonary artery on the radiograph, especially in patients with fibrosing alveolitis, because the borders were indistinct and in a few patients there were no measurable S waves on right sided leads of the electrocardiogram. The only optional measurement performed in sufficient patients to be useful in the analysis was right ventricular myocardial scintigraphy using thallium.

In addition to the continuous numerical variables, a few discrete variables, including ones based on clinical signs and historical features, were used in parts of the analysis. These were the presence or absence of the following (abbreviations in parentheses):

- signs of raised pressure in neck veins (NECK VEINS)
- enlarged liver on palpation (LIVER)
- past history of cardiac or combined cardiac and respiratory failure (PAST HISTORY)
- negative T wave in leads V1-3 (NEGTWAVE)
- ST-T depression in V1 and V2 (STTDEP)
- right bundle branch block of whatever degree (RBBB)

Table 1 Mean values and standard deviations of variables for three categories of pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP, in mm Hg) and correlation coefficients between each variable and pulmonary arterial mean pressure in patients with chronic obstructive lung disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>PAP ≤ 19</th>
<th>20 ≤ PAP ≤ 29</th>
<th>PAP ≥ 30</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient (r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>HRATE*</td>
<td>min</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>79-2</td>
<td>16:2</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R wave V1</td>
<td>RWaveV1*</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>1-8</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R wave V5</td>
<td>RWaveV5*</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>10-2</td>
<td>5-4</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R wave AVR</td>
<td>RWave AVR*</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0-9</td>
<td>1-0</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S wave V1</td>
<td>SWave V1*</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>3-1</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S wave V5</td>
<td>SWave V5*</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3-6</td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S wave D1</td>
<td>SWave D1*</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>2-0</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S wave D2</td>
<td>SWave D2*</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q wave V1</td>
<td>QWave V1*</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3-6</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max height P2 or P3</td>
<td>MaxP2P3*</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>0-7</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsiod deflection V1</td>
<td>IDV1</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23-3</td>
<td>16-9</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV wall thickness—diastolic</td>
<td>RVWDIAS</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6-0</td>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV cavity—diastolic</td>
<td>RVDC</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>22-1</td>
<td>7-3</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening slope</td>
<td>OPSLOPE</td>
<td>mm/s</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic slope</td>
<td>DSLOPE</td>
<td>mm/s</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34-3</td>
<td>28-1</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translucent distance</td>
<td>THD*</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>106-7</td>
<td>14-2</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter RLL artery</td>
<td>PAD</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>15-4</td>
<td>3-8</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital capacity</td>
<td>VC*</td>
<td>cl</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced expiratory volume in 1 s</td>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>42-5</td>
<td>12-1</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lung capacity</td>
<td>TLC*</td>
<td>cl</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial O2 tension</td>
<td>P0*</td>
<td>mm Hg</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>66-8</td>
<td>10-4</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial CO2 tension</td>
<td>Pco2*</td>
<td>mm Hg</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>39-2</td>
<td>6-0</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial pH</td>
<td>pH*</td>
<td>cl</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin concentration</td>
<td>Hb*</td>
<td>g/l</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packed cell volume</td>
<td>PCV*</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>45-4</td>
<td>6-1</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Variables which with PAP comprise first data set (see text).
†Does not differ significantly from zero.
‡D leads are standard limb leads.
1 mm Hg ≈ 0-133 kPa.
any degree of thallium uptake by right ventricular myocardium (RVWM).

The patients were divided into three categories according to their pulmonary arterial pressure. The categories were 19 mm Hg or less, 20 to 29 mm Hg, and 30 mm Hg or more. From the variables measured those that showed substantial differences in mean values between the three pulmonary artery pressure categories were selected. The use of several data sets was necessary because of missing items.

For patients with chronic obstructive lung disease the following four data sets were used: The first set comprised the results of the obligatory measurements listed above, excluding those derived from echocardiography. The variables and their abbreviations are shown in table 1. There were 20 variables and 263 patients. The second set consisted of the variables in the first set together with three additional variables (intrinsicoid deflection V1 (IDV 1), pulmonary arterial diameter (PAD) and total lung capacity (TLC)), and six discrete variables (NECK VEINS, LIVER, PAST HISTORY, NEGTVAVE, STTDEP, and RBBB). This was the largest and most comprehensive data set, consisting of 29 variables. There were 129 patients. The third set consisted of the continuous variables in the second set, plus two variables from the echocardiogram (RVWDIAS and RVDC). There were 25 variables and 86 patients. The fourth set consisted of the variables in the third set with the addition of the discrete variable for the presence or absence of a right ventricular image on the scintgram (RVWM). There were 26 variables and 70 patients.

For the patients with fibrosing alveolitis the following two data sets were used: The first set consisted of the continuous variables measured in most patients, excluding any derived from chest radiography or echocardiography. There were 14 variables, indicated in table 4 (with abbreviations), and 69 patients. The second set comprised the variables in the first set together with three from the echocardiogram (RVDC, DEPTHA, and PEP) and one discrete variable (LIVER). There were 18 variables and 35 patients.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

The following methods were used: Regression analysis Multiple stepwise regression analysis was performed using the methods of the BMDP Statistical Software (University of California at Los Angeles, 1981). The results were used to predict the numerical value of pulmonary arterial pressure (the results of the other procedures are used to put the patients into one of the pulmonary arterial pressure categories).

**Discriminant analysis**

Linear discriminant analysis was used to divide the patients into two categories (appendix).

**Non-parametric decision procedures**

A fuller description of these is provided in the appendix. The first is based on the Kolmogoroff-Smirnoff statistic and divides the population into two parts at a cutting point. The second uses Fisher’s exact test to select the variables to be used, the order in which they are to be used, and the cutting point. The population is divided into three categories in a two stage procedure. After completion of the first stage all the remaining members of the population are used in the second stage.

The effectiveness of these procedures has been judged in terms of sensitivity and specificity. The former is a measure of the ability of the test to place all individuals in the population studied who have the defined characteristic correctly—that is, within a particular pulmonary arterial pressure category. It is estimated as the proportion of true positives correctly placed. Specificity is a measure of the effectiveness of the test in selecting only those individuals who truly fall within a category without selecting others who do not. It is estimated as the proportion of true negatives correctly placed.

**Results**

The two diagnostic groups are considered separately.

**CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE LUNG DISEASE**

Table 1 gives the mean values and standard deviations of the variables with correlation coefficients for individual variables and pulmonary arterial pressures. This ranged from 6 to 62 mm Hg, with a mean of 23-4 (SD 9-8) and a median of 21. The distribution of pulmonary arterial pressures was skewed and the objective of obtaining an even spread of values was not fully achieved as only 22% of values were 30 mm Hg or greater.

Stepwise regression was performed for each data set. There was no “centre effect,” indicating that there was no systematic difference between centres in measuring pulmonary artery pressure.

By using the first set (table 2) it was possible to explain 34% of the variance in pulmonary artery pressure values. By using the second set 44% of the variance of pulmonary artery pressure was explained by six variables, of which four were continuous and two discrete. The order in which these variables entered the regression equation (table 2) was: negative T wave in V1, pulmonary arterial diameter, vital capacity, enlarged liver, maximum P wave height, and arterial pH.

Analysis of the third data set allowed 49% of the
Table 2  Results of stepwise regression analysis using two data sets in patients with chronic obstructive lung disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SET I (n = 263)</th>
<th>SET II (n = 129)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Entered variables</strong></td>
<td><strong>R² %</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P0₂</td>
<td>19-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S wave V5</td>
<td>26-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THD</td>
<td>30-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>32-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S wave S1</td>
<td>34-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>24-237</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variance to be explained by six variables, which comprised two echocardiographic measurements (right ventricular wall and cavity) and two radiographic measurements (pulmonary arterial diameter and transhilar distance), together with packed cell volume and vital capacity. This was based on less than a third of the total patients, however, and so may be less reliable. Use of the first and fourth data sets gave less satisfactory results.

**Linear discriminant analysis**

The procedure was used first to predict whether pulmonary arterial pressure was 20 mm Hg or more or less than 20 mm Hg, and then for dividing points at 25 and 30 mm Hg. The second data set was found to give the best result. The variables used at the dividing point of 20 mm Hg were presence or absence of negative T wave, FEV₁, and packed cell volume. At the dividing point of 25 mm Hg P wave height, presence or absence of negative T wave in V₁–2, pulmonary arterial diameter, and FEV₁ were used. At the dividing point of 30 mm Hg the variables were presence or absence of enlarged liver, R wave in aVR, and negative T wave, and pulmonary arterial diameter. At the three dividing points sensitivity ranged from 80% to 51%, and specificity from 60% to 94%. A multistage approach gave a function that was more sensitive but less specific.

**Non-parametric procedure using Kolmogoroff-Smirnoff statistic**

The decision procedure based on this statistic was carried out for the same categories of pulmonary arterial pressure as the discriminant function. The data used were from the second set. The results (figs 1 and 2) are similar to those from the discriminant function analysis. Sensitivity ranged from 66% to 74% for the three pulmonary arterial pressure classifications, with corresponding specificity ranging from 75% to 85%.

**Non-parametric procedure using Fisher's exact test**

The decision procedure in stage 1 used 11 variables in sequence (figs 3 and 4). It selected those patients in whom the pulmonary artery pressure was 30 mm Hg or greater with a sensitivity of 83% and a specificity of 91%. In stage 2 (fig 4) there were again 11 steps, some of which used the same variable for a second time in the sequence. This procedure selected patients whose pulmonary artery pressure was 20 mm Hg or greater from the population remaining after those selected as having a pulmonary artery pressure of 30 mm Hg or greater had been excluded. The sensitivity was 91% and specificity 55%.

**Testing the derived functions**

The data from a further 54 patients with chronic obstructive lung disease studied after the main investigation were entered into the derived functions and the predicted values and classifications were compared with the directly measured values for pulmonary artery pressure.

The results presented in table 3 show the percentage of patients correctly placed in one of the three pulmonary artery pressure categories. Regression analysis correctly placed 59-2%, the non-parametric
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Kolmogoroff-Smirnoff method 60-4%, and the non-parametric method using Fisher's exact test 48-2%. All methods placed a proportion of patients in a falsely high pulmonary artery pressure category, the proportion ranging from 28-3% to 44-4%. The proportion placed in a falsely low category ranged from 7-4% to 11-3%.

To express this in another way, one relevant to the use of the procedures to screen for the presence of pulmonary hypertension, three of the four methods were able to correctly identify around 80% of the patients who had a pulmonary artery pressure above 30 mm Hg and around 90% of those whose pulmonary artery pressure was 20 mm Hg or more. Discriminant analysis proved slightly less effective than the other methods.

**DIFFUSE FIBROSING ALVEOLITIS**

The mean values and standard deviations of the variables, with the correlation coefficients between variables for individual variables and pulmonary arterial pressure are given in table 4. Pulmonary arterial pressure ranged from 6 to 53 mm Hg, with a mean of 23-5 (SD 10-9) and a median value of 21, and again the distribution of values was skewed.

**Stepwise regression** was performed on the first data set for 69 patients (table 5). Four variables (packed cell volume, MAPx2P3, PO2, and Rwavev1) explained 56% of the variance in pulmonary arterial pressure. Using the second data set made it possible to explain 78% of the variance, but there were only 35 patients in the set. The variables used were packed cell volume, haemoglobin concentration, depth of the “a” dip in the pulmonary valve echo, diastolic diameter of the right ventricle, and intrinsicoid deflection in V1.

**Linear discriminant analysis** was performed with the first data set at dividing points of 20 and 30 mm Hg. The first function used only one variable, Swavev, and had a sensitivity of 55% and a specificity of 72%. The second, using heart rate and PCO2, had a sensitivity of 87% and a specificity of 77%.

**Non-parametric procedure using Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic** This was performed for the same pulmonary arterial pressure categories as for discriminant analysis and the results were similar for the two methods. For patients with a pulmonary arterial pressure under 20 mm Hg sensitivity was 50% and specificity 97%. For patients classified for a pulmonary arterial pressure of 30 mm Hg or more sensitivity was 100% and specificity 63%. The number of cases was relatively small, however, and these results are
arterial pressure group being excluded. The classification used six variables (HGB, RWAVEAVR, PO₂, PEP, PO₂ (second time), and FEV₁). The sensitivity was 94% and the specificity 77%.

**Discussion**

In a multicentre study in several countries there will always be difficulties in maintaining consistency in the procedures and methods used. Uniform definitions were used but the technical methods were not identical in all centres. All methods were thoroughly tested and in regular use, and when it was feasible records were referred to one centre for confirmatory measurement. We therefore feel confident that no systematic errors were introduced into the data because of their multicentre origin. Selection of patients for the study differed between centres and this has resulted in differences in the mean values for some variables. This was not unexpected and there is no reason to believe that it has influenced the conclusions of the analysis.

The technical methods selected for study were those available at the time the investigation started, which were thought to be possibly useful for the non-invasive diagnosis of pulmonary arterial hypertension. The variables finally used in the analysis were derived mainly from the ECG, chest radiograph, arterial blood gas analysis, and FEV₁. Measurements from M mode echocardiography were used in fibrosing alveolitis, but an insufficient number were obtained from patients with chronic obstructive lung disease to be useful. Myocardial scintigraphy with thallium-201 might have contributed had it been available for a larger number of patients.

Several methods were discarded early in the investigation. The orthostatic variation in transfer factor for carbon monoxide, which had proved valuable in patients with mitral valve disease, was of no use in patients with pulmonary hypertension not associated with a raised pulmonary venous pressure. For the same reason perfusion scanning of the lungs had no diagnostic utility. It was technically difficult to standardise both the recording and the subsequent measurement of precordial mechanocardiographic

---

**Table 3** Results of validation tests using four statistical procedures in patients with chronic obstructive lung disease*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical procedure</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>PAP category predicted</th>
<th>Correct</th>
<th>Falsely high</th>
<th>Falsely low</th>
<th>Correctly categorised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression (Set II)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>59-2</td>
<td>30-6</td>
<td>10-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>83-3; 90-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discriminant analysis (Set II)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50-0</td>
<td>35-4</td>
<td>14-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>72-7; 83-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-parametric KS</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>60-4</td>
<td>28-3</td>
<td>11-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>76-9; 90-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-parametric FET</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>48-2</td>
<td>44-4</td>
<td>7-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>76-9; 94-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The first section shows the percentage of patients whose pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP) category was correctly predicted, and the percentage in whom the prediction was falsely high or low. The second section shows the percentage of patients with a PAP value of 30 mm Hg and above and above 19 mm Hg who were correctly placed in these pressure categories.

KS—Kolmogoroff-Smirnoff statistic; FET—Fisher’s exact test.
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Table 4  Mean values and standard deviations of variables for three categories of pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP, in mm Hg) and coefficients of correlation between each variable and pulmonary arterial mean pressure in patients with diffuse fibrosing alveolitis

| Variable                        | Abbreviation | Unit   | PAP ≤ 19 |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Heart rate                      | HRATE*       | min⁻¹   | 33       | 78-8     | 14-3     | 19       | 74-8     | 15-6     | 19       | 93-8     | 17-8     | 0-35     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| R wave V1                       | Rwave V1*    | mm      | 33       | 2-3      | 1-9      | 19       | 2-8      | 1-4      | 19       | 4-1      | 3-2      | 0-35     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| R wave AVR                      | Rwave AVR*   | mm      | 33       | 0-7      | 1-1      | 19       | 1-4      | 1-6      | 19       | 2-5      | 2-6      | 0-34     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| S wave V5                       | Swave V5*    | mm      | 33       | 2-6      | 2-3      | 19       | 5-2      | 4-5      | 19       | 6-6      | 6-1      | 0-36     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| S wave D1                       | Swave D1*    | mm      | 22       | 1-3      | 2-2      | 15       | 1-7      | 2-0      | 7        | 4-7      | 3-5      | 0-46     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| S wave D2                       | Swave D2*    | mm      | 22       | 1-2      | 1-6      | 15       | 1-7      | 1-7      | 7        | 2-6      | 1-9      | 0-24†    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| S wave D3                       | Swave D3*    | mm      | 22       | 2-1      | 2-7      | 15       | 3-5      | 6-0      | 7        | 0-7      | 1-0      | -0-03†   |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Max height P2 or P3             | MaxP2P3*     | mm      | 33       | 1-1      | 0-3      | 19       | 1-3      | 0-5      | 19       | 1-9      | 0-8      | 0-51     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Intrinsic deflection V1         | IDV1*        | ms      | 31       | 17-7     | 7-4      | 19       | 20-8     | 11-2     | 19       | 25-3     | 12-9     | 0-36     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| RV wall thickness—systolic      | RVWSYST      | mm      | 15       | 6-8      | 1-7      | 7        | 7-4      | 1-9      | 11       | 9-9      | 1-9      | 0-55     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| RV cavity—diastolic             | RVDC         | mm      | 23       | 16-0     | 5-4      | 12       | 22-5     | 10-9     | 19       | 24-9     | 8-9      | 0-38     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Depth of A dip                  | DEPTHA       | ms      | 20       | 3-7      | 1-8      | 11       | 3-2      | 1-4      | 17       | 1-4      | 1-5      | -0-57    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Pre-ejection period             | RPEP         | msec    | 19       | 88-3     | 19-0     | 11       | 97-1     | 17-3     | 18       | 101-4    | 15-8     | 0-28     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Vital capacity                  | VC*          | cl      | 33       | 328      | 116      | 20       | 258      | 99       | 20       | 252      | 121      | -0-20†   |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Forced expiratory volume in 1 s | F1FEV*       | cl      | 33       | 252      | 82       | 20       | 197      | 78       | 20       | 183      | 95       | -0-25    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| FEV/FVC                         |              |         |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Arterial O₂ tension            | PO₂*         | mm Hg   | 33       | 73-9     | 9-7      | 20       | 75-9     | 8-2      | 20       | 73-4     | 11-5     | -0-21†   |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Arterial CO₂ tension           | PCO₂*        | mm Hg   | 33       | 37-5     | 4-4      | 20       | 36-9     | 4-0      | 20       | 41-5     | 4-8      | 0-32     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Haemoglobin concentration      | Hb*          | g/l     | 33       | 146      | 15       | 20       | 152      | 18       | 20       | 158      | 20       | 0-36     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Packed cell volume              | PCV*         | %       | 33       | 43-9     | 4-8      | 20       | 46-1     | 6-2      | 20       | 50-6     | 7-0      | 0-49     |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |

*Variables which with PAP comprise the first data set (see text).
†Does not differ significantly from zero.

Traces. The vectorcardiogram, available in only a few centres, appeared to have no advantage over the conventional ECG. Measurements of static lung volumes, airways resistance, lung compliance, and transfer factor were available in a small proportion of the patients studied, and were found to have no predictive value.

The correlation coefficients between pulmonary arterial pressure and individual variables were statistically significant, but only a small part of the variance in pulmonary arterial pressure was explained in each case and consequently the variable was of no use as a predictor of pulmonary arterial pressure. The large size of the data set permitted further analysis, including some mathematical procedures less often used for this purpose.

If it had proved possible to use the non-invasive measurements to predict the numerical value of pulmonary arterial pressure with sufficient precision to be practically useful this would have been the preferred approach. When stepwise regression analysis was used in this way the results were similar to those reported previously. The regression equations explained 44% of the variance in pulmonary arterial pressure. The value in fibrosing alveolitis was higher at 56% but this was based on a smaller number of patients and is probably less reliable. As a means of prediction the margin of uncertainty was too large for the method to be of practical use. It may be possible in the future, with newer methods, to improve the accuracy of prediction, but for the present analysis we have concentrated on using the data to place an individual into one or other pulmonary arterial pressure category rather than attempt to predict the actual pressure.

Discriminant analysis gave results with a sensitivity of up to 80% in chronic obstructive lung disease, and with values rather higher in fibrosing alveolitis. The non-parametric procedures allowed greater flexibility. That based on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic generally gave results similar to those of the discrimin-
ant analysis. The second, based on Fisher's exact test, gave rather better results with sensitivity around 90%. It was especially effective in selecting patients with high pulmonary arterial pressure.

The measurements used to validate the derived functions showed that discriminant function analysis was the least satisfactory method for categorising the newly studied patients. The other methods were about equally reliable in placing the patients in the correct category and had a sensitivity of about 90% in detecting the presence of pulmonary hypertension. Unfortunately some data were missing and in consequence the derived functions performed less well than they might have done with complete data.

The procedures could be used to screen a population of patients to select those likely to have pulmonary hypertension, who would then undergo cardiac catheterisation. There is a need for a procedure that will reliably select a very high proportion of those with pulmonary arterial hypertension (high sensitivity) and will include only a small number of those with normal pulmonary arterial pressure (high specificity).

Discriminant function analysis has little to offer for this purpose. The non-parametric procedures performed better, and they allow the incorporation of discrete variables, which is sometimes useful. They also permit variables to be selected, their order determined, and the cutting point decided. With experience it may well prove possible to select these to maximise the sensitivity and specificity, and to "tailor" the functions to meet special requirements.

Since the investigation began some promising new methods have become available. With two dimensional echocardiography records may be obtained in a larger proportion of patients with chronic obstructive lung disease than with M mode echocardiography, and the measurements may be more accurate. In this case measurement of right ventricle cavity dimensions and wall thickness may prove useful. Measurement of blood flow using Doppler echocardiography has yet to be thoroughly explored in chronic respiratory disease.

In this study we have concentrated on predicting the presence and degree of pulmonary arterial hypertension. Some but not all of the measured variables are thought to reflect aspects of right ventricular structure and function. Although pulmonary arterial hypertension, right ventricular hypertrophy, and subsequently right ventricular failure are closely related, we need to understand more about the time course of the progression from one to the other—whether, for example, pulmonary arterial hypertension can be identified at an early stage before right ventricular hypertrophy has developed.

Our results confirm that no single variable that we tested provides a non-invasive procedure capable of measuring pulmonary arterial pressure sufficiently accurately to be useful. We have shown the potential of mathematical functions that combine the results from simple, readily available, and relatively cheap methods for detecting pulmonary hypertension. We have described how these functions can be used in their present form by anyone interested in screening a population of individuals at risk of pulmonary hypertension. Possible populations for screening could include patients with chronic bronchitis, an occupational group, and patients taking a particular drug.

We have described how the mathematical functions have been derived and we emphasise that as other non-invasive methods become available the results can be incorporated into new functions, whose value can be tested. It will also be possible to refine the functions further, and to adjust the specificity and sensitivity according to the requirements of a particular investigation.

Appendix

We wished to classify subjects into one of three groups according to their pulmonary arterial pressure by means of other, so called explanatory, variables provided by the results of a series of non-invasive diagnostic tests. This problem may be approached by different methods, including linear discriminant analysis, which assumes a normal distribution of the values of the explanatory variables, and non-parametric methods, where no such assumption is required. The latter also permit the use of discrete (non-continuous) variables. We provide here a brief description of the procedures we have used and a demonstration of their application.

LINEAR DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS

Linear discriminant analysis requires a linear function of the explanatory variables. The coefficients of this function are computed from the empirical covariance matrix of the PAP and the explanatory variables. As an example the function for discriminating between groups of patients with chronic obstructive lung disease with a pulmonary artery pressure of 29 mm Hg or less and of 30 mm Hg or more is $y = 0.408 (s\text{wave}) - 0.066 (P_{O_2}) - 0.011 (pH) + 7.559$. The known values for the variables are entered; if $y$ is greater than zero the patient is put in the group pulmonary arterial pressure (PAP)$ \geq 30$ and otherwise into the group PAP $<29$. By another function and a similar procedure the patients may be classified as PAP $\geq 20$ and PAP $< 19$.

NON-PARAMETRIC DECISION PROCEDURE BASED ON THE KOLMOGOROFF-SMIRNOFF TEST

The Kolmogoroff-Smirnoff (K-S) statistic$^{13-19}$ is the maximum value of the difference between the empirical distributions of random variables drawn from two samples. We have used the K-S statistic to separate patients into two groups according to their pulmonary arterial pressure. The value of a given explanatory variable at which the maximum difference was reached was used as a cutting point to classify patients according to this grouping.

We have applied the method in a stepwise way, and to
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illustrate the procedure we describe here (fig 1) the subdivision of patients with chronic obstructive lung disease into the groups PAP \( \geq \) 30 and PAP \( \leq \) 29. Firstly, the variable for which the K-S statistic is maximal is selected; in this example it is SWAVED1, and the cutting point is 1. At the second step the same procedure has been used separately for the two groups obtained at the first step. For the group in whom SWAVED1 is \( \leq \) 1 the K-S statistic was maximal for pulmonary arterial diameter (PAD), with a cutting point of 20. For the other group obtained at the first step, in whom SWAVED1 is \( > \) 1, the appropriate variable at the second stage was Pco2, with a cutting value of 47 mm Hg (6.3 kPa).

The stepwise application of the K-S statistic enables the rate of misclassification to be progressively diminished. In practice we found that there was no further improvement after two or three steps. Patients for whom a value for the variable required at a particular stage was missing were eliminated at that stage. Figures 1 and 2 show the decision trees for PAP \( \leq \) 29 and PAP \( \geq \) 30 and for PAP \( \leq \) 19 and \( > \) 20. The values for a patient are entered into both figures, so that the patient is classified according to PAP group, namely, PAP \( \leq \) 19, PAP = 20 – 29, or PAP \( \geq \) 30. Three patients were classified as both PAP \( \leq \) 19 and PAP \( \geq \) 30.
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