Relation of airway responsiveness to duration of work in a dusty environment
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ABSTRACT Health selection within a workforce has been found in several industries and appears to be more pronounced in dustier occupations. In this study of airway disease among workers exposed to asbestos and man made mineral fibres, 215 of 246 construction insulators 50 years old or less and currently working in the Montreal area were examined. Spirometry was completed successfully in 214 workers without known asbestosis and 207 underwent methacholine bronchoprovocation testing. Airway responsiveness was expressed as PC_{15}, the concentration of methacholine causing a 15% fall in the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1). Exposure to asbestos and synthetic mineral fibre dust was estimated from the total hours of work in the trade since first employment. After the effect of age, height, and pack years of smoking had been taken into account, no relation was found between hours of work and any indices obtained from the forced expiratory manoeuvre (FEV1/FVC, MMF). After the effect of airway calibre (FEV1/FVC), age, and pack years of cigarette consumption had been taken into account, airway responsiveness decreased as the total hours of work in the trade increased. These findings suggest that workers with greater levels of airway responsiveness are more sensitive to exposure in a dusty workplace and in consequence are less likely to continue. In studies of workforces a survivor effect of this nature will tend to weaken the relation between lung function abnormality and occupational exposure.

Introduction

Health selection has been found in many occupational groups and may attenuate exposure-response relationships observed in workers employed in hazardous industries. This bias is especially strong in cross sectional studies, where the workforce studied represents a survivor population. For these and other reasons, cautious interpretation of negative cross sectional studies has been recommended.

Worker turnover appears to be especially increased in dusty jobs such as foundry work and employment in grain elevators. This negative health selection may also be related to individual susceptibility as reflected by atopy; this is the explanation proposed for the fact that grain elevator workers have a lower prevalence of atopy than civic workers. Such a selection bias not only may preclude the capability of a study to detect work related health effects but may actually produce paradoxical inverse associations between exposure and disease, as has been described for allergy to laboratory animals.

In the present study we report an inverse relation between exposure to mineral fibre dust and airway responsiveness, suggesting that bronchial hyperresponsiveness may be a factor determining health selection out of a dusty work environment.

Methods

STUDY POPULATION The population base for the present study had been selected from respondents to a previous questionnaire survey of active construction insulators in the Province of Quebec. From the responders to the questionnaire (87% of the workforce) we invited the 246 insulators aged 20–50 years who lived in the Montreal area and did not have known asbestosis (five subjects receiving compensation) for further testing. Of this target population, 215 (87%) came to our laboratory. Their mean age was 37 (SD 8) years. All subjects were male.

MEASURES OF RESPIRATORY HEALTH All subjects completed the standardised American
Thoracic Society respiratory symptom questionnaire, which was administered by an interviewer. Spirometry was carried out according to the Snowbird workshop criteria with an Ohio 827 rolling seal spirometer (Ohio Medical Products, Madison, Wisconsin). The forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), the forced vital capacity (FVC), the maximal mid expiratory flow rate (MMF), and the maximal flow measured after 75% of the vital capacity had been expired (Vmax₂₅) were recorded for analysis.

Methacholine bronchoprovocation testing was carried out in 207 subjects by the method described by Cockcroft. Briefly, during two minutes' tidal breathing the subjects first inhaled phosphate buffered saline, and then, at three minute intervals, concentrations of methacholine increasing from 2 (0.5 if asthma was suspected) to 32 mg/ml. Aerosol was generated with a hand held Wright nebuliser, calibrated daily to produce 0.14–0.16 ml/min. FEV₁ was measured 30 and 90 seconds after each dose and the test stopped if there was a 20% or greater fall in FEV₁ or the highest concentration was used. The concentration required to produce a 15% fall in FEV₁ (PC₁₅) was taken from the log dose-response curve by linear interpolation of the last two points. The 126 subjects who did not reach PC₁₅ were arbitrarily assigned a value of 64 mg/ml.

ESTIMATES OF EXPOSURE

Insulators in the Montreal area are employed through a union hiring hall, which assigns work from about 100 employers. Many of these firms are small and as a result hygiene surveys were most often not available. The estimate of exposure to dust and mineral fibre was based therefore on the duration of exposure only. Information was gathered from two sources. From 1974 to 1984 (the year the health measures were carried out) the annual hours worked in the trade had been recorded for a government pension plan. From union records we were able to calculate the number of hours worked during 1964–74 on the basis of dues paid and to obtain the date of first employment in the trade. For men who started working as insulators before 1964 an estimate of prior exposure was obtained by multiplying the mean annual hours of work from 1964 to 1984 by the number of years in the trade before 1984. The cumulative exposure was thus expressed as total hours worked in the trade. Dust measures are not generally available, but the work environment is uncontrolled and peak total dust exposures of 100 mg/m³ or more are thought to be common, especially during demolition work and refurbishing of ships.

ANALYSIS

To adjust for differences between individuals in age and height, spirometric indices were expressed as percentages of predicted values on the basis of the normative equations of Knudson and colleagues. The determinants of lung function and airway responsiveness were analysed by linear regression. PC₁₅ was expressed in log units. All analyses were carried out with BMDP statistical software.

RESULTS

The study population was divided into three roughly equal groups according to number of hours of work in the trade (“short” indicating <13 592 hours, “medium” 13 592–29 212 hours, and “long” >29 212 hours) (table 1). The distribution and mean values of the principal variables examined are displayed according to these exposure categories in table 1. As the hours of work increased, so did average age and amount smoked (table 1). More ex-smokers are also found in the long exposure category. Both FEV₁ % predicted and FEV₁/FVC% were lower in the long exposure category. Airway responsiveness, measured as PC₁₅, appears to lessen (that is, PC₁₅ increases) with increasing length of exposure after adjustment for differences in starting airway calibre (FEV₁/FVC).

The results of linear regression analysis used to examine the effect of smoking (pack years) and hours of employment (exposure estimate) on spirometric indices are shown in table 2. There was a significant deterioration in lung function in relation to amount smoked but no such association between any spirometric index and hours of employment in the trade.

Regression analysis was used to examine the relation between airway responsiveness to methacholine (log PC₁₅) and hours of employment considered as a continuous variable (table 3). Other factors that may potentially influence log PC₁₅ were included in the model to adjust for their influence and to enable the effect of hours of work to be assessed independently. FEV₁/FVC% was a strong determinant of airway responsiveness. Neither age nor smoking as measured in pack years significantly influenced PC₁₅. There was a direct relation between PC₁₅ and hours of work, which shows that PC₁₅ increased (and bronchial responsiveness decreased) with duration of exposure at work (hours of employment in the trade). When age and pack years of cigarette smoking were excluded from the regression model the association between hours of work and PC₁₅ was stronger (p = 0.009).

The regression analysis relating hours of exposure to log PC₁₅ adjusted for FEV₁/FVC was rerun after exclusion of 11 subjects whose chest radiographs were read as showing 1/0 or greater profusion of small irregular opacities by either of two NIOSH certified B readers. The size of the decrease in airways responsiveness in relation to increasing hours of work was similar and remained statistically significant.
Table 1 Characteristics of the subjects by exposure categories as estimated by number of hours of work in the trade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Category</th>
<th>Short exposure (n = 72)</th>
<th>Medium exposure (n = 71)</th>
<th>Long exposure (n = 72)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>29.7 (7.1)</td>
<td>35.9 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers*</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>45 (63)</td>
<td>49 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smokers*</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>12 (17)</td>
<td>18 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smokers*</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>15 (21)</td>
<td>4 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack years†</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>13.9 (11.0)</td>
<td>18.0 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours of work</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>815.4 (371.4)</td>
<td>2134.9 (457.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>171.5 (6.5)</td>
<td>169.7 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>75.6 (13.7)</td>
<td>74.7 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (% pred)‡</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>109.4 (10.4)</td>
<td>107.7 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC %</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>81.8 (5.7)</td>
<td>81.0 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log PC₁₅§ (mg/ml)</td>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
<td>1.284 (0.064)</td>
<td>1.405 (0.065)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC₁₅§ (mg/ml)</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**"Non-smokers" had never smoked as much as 20 packs of cigarettes or 400 g of tobacco in a lifetime or one cigarette a day for a year; "ex-smokers" had completely refrained from smoking for at least one month. All other subjects were classified as current smokers.
†One pack year is the equivalent of smoking 25 cigarettes a day for one year. Non-smokers were excluded in calculated mean values in each exposure category.
‡Predicted values were derived from the equations of Knudson et al.13
§Means and standard errors for log PC₁₅ were calculated by analysis of covariance to adjust for differences between individuals and groups in FEV₁/FVC. PC₁₅ was obtained by converting the mean log PC₁₅ in each group to its arithmetic value.

Table 2 Spirometric values (% predicted) in relation to cumulative smoking history and hours of employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smoking (pack years)*</th>
<th>Hours of employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁</td>
<td>β coefficient† p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMF</td>
<td>β coefficient† p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.289</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmmax₅₅</td>
<td>β coefficient† p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.291</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One pack year equals 25 cigarettes per day for one year or the equivalent (one packet contains 25 cigarettes in Canada).
†Standardised regression coefficients from the linear regression analysis of lung function (the dependent variable) on smoking and hours of employment (the independent variables).
MMF—maximum mid expiratory flow; Vmmax₅₅—maximum flow after 75% of vital capacity expired. Other abbreviations as in Table 1.

Table 3 Determinants of airway responsiveness to methacholine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable log PC₁₅</th>
<th>Independent variables (below)</th>
<th>β coefficient† p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC %</td>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoking (pack years)</td>
<td>-0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hours of work</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²: 0.32*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The R² represents the proportion of the variability in the dependent variable explained by the independent variables included in the model.
†Standardised regression coefficient.

Discussion

In an active workforce exposed to asbestos and synthetic mineral fibres we observed a lower degree of bronchial responsiveness with increasing number of hours worked after adjusting for starting airflow calibre. There are several plausible biological explanations for our results. Firstly, exposure to dust at work may have caused mucus hypersecretion.15 In animals mucus hypersecretion secondary to cigarette smoke16 or to sulphur dioxide inhalation17 results in a decreased response to inhaled methacholine, apparently because of an alteration of the epithelial barrier. This does not appear to be the case in man, however—exposure to cigarette smoke18 or sulphur dioxide19 or the presence of chronic bronchitis20,21 has usually been associated with an increase in bronchial responsiveness. As was the case in the present study, much of the relation between chronic airway disease and bronchial responsiveness can be explained by a reduction in starting airflow calibre,22,23,24 although airway inflammation may also independently increase bronchial responsiveness.25 We observed no difference in airway responsiveness (PC₁₅), however, between the men with and the men without chronic sputum production (data not shown), and mucus hypersecretion therefore appears unlikely to have been responsible for the lower airway responsiveness in relation to number of hours of work in a dusty environment.

According to the Dutch hypothesis, an asthmatic predisposition is a risk factor for the development of chronic airflow limitation.26 Indeed, bronchial hyperresponsiveness has been found in subjects showing an excessive decline in lung function over time.27,28 Selection out of a workforce because of respiratory ill health has been found in several groups working in dusty environments.1,3 It is certainly conceivable that this health selection is due in part to the symptoms of
airway hyperresponsiveness. Bronchial hyperresponsiveness may also underlie the association between poorly reproducible spirometric results and increased morbidity and mortality described in several dust exposed cohorts. 29-30 Thus an inverse relation between bronchial hyperresponsiveness and exposure to dust at work may be analogous to the "healthy smoker effect" that is apparent from the non-random taking up of the smoking habit 31 and the better lung function found in young smokers than in non-smokers in some studies. 32

The concentration of methacholine producing a 15% drop in FEV₁ (PC₁₅) was our a priori choice for expressing airway responsiveness. It is more sensitive than but as reproducible as PC₂₀ 33 the concentration producing a 20% fall in FEV₁ which is commonly used in clinical practice. We believe PC₁₅ to be an appropriate choice for studies of workforce exposed to dusts where asthma is not a concern and where individuals with asthma may actually be fewer than in the general population. 3 The greater the number of subjects who actually have a measurable PC₁₅ (as distinct from PC₂₀) the greater the power of the study.

After we had accounted for the effect of airway calibre there was no relation between airway responsiveness (PC₁₅) and cumulative cigarette smoking (pack years). This is consistent with some 35 but not other 25 previous reports, and may be partly due to the use of methacholine as the bronchoconstricting agent. 34

In conclusion, our results suggest that the development of airway hyperresponsiveness may bring about a self sought and probably self protective decrease in exposure to a dusty work environment and may be a factor favouring the selection of subjects out of such a workforce. Such health selection would reduce the likelihood of detecting an effect of asbestos and synthetic mineral fibre exposure on bronchial airflow measurements.
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