Editorial
Epithelium derived relaxing factor: myth or reality?

In 1980 Furchgott and Zawadski\(^1\) first reported that removal of the endothelium prevented the relaxation of isolated arteries induced by acetylcholine. This discovery led to a revolution in the thinking of most vascular physiologists and pharmacologists, particularly as it became apparent that absence of the endothelium not only curtailed various vasodilator responses but also considerably augmented the contraction of vascular smooth muscle seen with various vasoconstrictor agents.\(^2\)\(^3\) In 1982 we decided to test whether the principle emerging from studies of vascular control—namely, that the cells lining the lumen of the arteries modulate the responsiveness of the underlying smooth muscle—applied to other tubular structures in the body. We therefore started to investigate the effect of removing the epithelium on the responsiveness of canine bronchi to bronchoconstrictor agents. The first goal was to determine whether it was possible to remove only the epithelial layer from the airways. This turned out to be feasible with gentle mechanical rubbing of the luminal surface of the bronchi. We learned to do this very carefully so that no morphological damage was obvious in the subepithelial layers. With this technique we found that the ability of the bronchial smooth muscle to depolarise and to contract or relax was unaltered and that removal of the epithelium did not alter the length-tension characteristics of the preparations.\(^4\)\(^5\) Under these conditions we thought that we could reasonably assume that the intrinsic properties of the bronchial smooth muscle were unchanged. We found, however, that removal of the epithelial layer caused a pronounced shift to the left of the concentration-contraction curve for histamine, 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin), and acetylcholine, the degree of shift being similar for the three bronchoconstrictor agonists.\(^6\) A similar degree of potentiation has been observed for different bronchoconstrictor agents by several investigators using isolated airways from various species, including man.\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^9\)\(^10\) Thus there is little doubt that the absence of the epithelium favours bronchoconstriction.

Although the phenomenon of potentiation of bronchoconstriction by removal of the epithelium is established, the mechanism underlying it is still a matter for debate. It is tempting to assume that, like the endothelium, the airway epithelial cells release relaxing factor or factors that exert a braking effect on the underlying smooth muscle, but alternative explanations need to be considered. In the case of acetylcholine and 5-hydroxytryptamine the epithelial cells do not appear to play a part in the enzymatic degradation of the bronchoconstrictor agents, so potentiation following removal of the epithelium cannot be attributed to the disappearance of a metabolic sink.\(^6\) Such a mechanism may contribute, however, to the modulatory action of the epithelium on airway responsiveness to tachykinins\(^20\) and adenosine.\(^21\) Another explanation could be that the epithelial layer constitutes an important diffusion barrier, preventing the bronchoconstrictor agents from reaching airway smooth muscle. Because of the experimental conditions used in our laboratory (isometric tension recordings in rings of airways suspended between stirrups), such explanation seems unlikely as the bronchoconstrictor agents reach the preparations from the outside rather than from the luminal surface. Furthermore, removal of the epithelium also potentiates the contractions evoked by electrical activation of cholinergic nerves, a situation in which acetylcholine is released in the immediate vicinity of the smooth muscle in the depth of the tissue and in which epithelial diffusion barriers do not exist.\(^6\)\(^22\) The depolarisation seen in bronchial smooth muscle cell membrane exposed to exogenous acetylcholine is greater in preparations without epithelium; indeed, the extent of the depolarisation in the absence of epithelium is of greater magnitude than that observed in bronchi with epithelium for the same degree of contractions.\(^6\) This argues strongly against the idea that epithelium acts as a passive barrier that prevents acetylcholine from reaching the smooth muscle. Finally, the fact that removal of epithelium reduces the relaxation of the airway in response to the beta adrenergic agonists isoprenaline and tulobuterol,\(^6\)\(^23\)\(^24\) methylxanthines,\(^25\) or an osmotic load\(^26\) cannot be attributed to the removal of either a metabolic sink or a diffusion barrier. Hence the most logical explanation for the epithelium dependency of
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Diagrammatic representation of the potential role of the respiratory epithelium in modulating the responsiveness of the underlying smooth muscle in large (left) and small (right) bronchi. ACh—acetylcholine; B—beta adrenergic receptor; H—histaminergic receptor; 5-HT—5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonergic) receptor; M—muscarinic receptor. (Based on work in canine bronchi by Flavahan et al6 and Stuart-Smith and Vanhoutte24.) Isoproterenol = isoprenaline.

bronchial responsiveness is to assume that the airway epithelium releases a relaxing factor or factors that inhibit the underlying smooth muscle (figure).6 27 34 Several bioassay studies suggest that epithelium can secrete diffusible factors that relax bronchial or vascular smooth muscle.27 29 30 There are, however, technical problems with these assays and the results are less conclusive than those of the studies showing the existence of endothelium derived relaxing factor or factors. The technical difficulties in bioassaying epithelium derived relaxing factors are probably due to the fact that epithelial cells, unlike endothelial cells, are highly polarised towards the underlying layers and to the likelihood that any epithelium derived relaxing factor secreted towards the lumen of the airways will be bound to or inactivated by mucus. Epithelium derived relaxing factor may have an even shorter half life than endothelium derived relaxing factor and this could also contribute to the difficulties of performing satisfactory bioassays.

Although we are reasonably confident that epithelium derived relaxing factor or factors exist we do not know its or their identity. Metabolites of arachidonic acid, in particular prostaglandin E2, are bronchodilators and cause epithelium dependent relaxations.17 31–34 Inhibitors of cyclooxygenase, however, do not prevent the potentiating effect of epithelium removal on bronchoconstrictor responses.6 33 Epithelium derived relaxing factor seems very unlikely to be the same as endothelium derived relaxing factor or nitric oxide, as inhibitors of the latter two do not affect the shift of the acetylcholine concentration-contraction curve after removal of the epithelium, and nitric oxide is a poor relaxant of airway smooth muscle.35 36 We do not know the exact nature of epithelium derived relaxing factor or factors and are also uncertain whether it affects airway smooth muscle directly. Indeed, the factor may well act on other subendothelial structures (for example, sensory nerves, blood vessels), which in turn could modulate the responsiveness of the underlying smooth muscle.

With regard to the potential physiological role of epithelium derived relaxing factor, two major
possibilities exist (figure). Firstly, the similar shift to
the left of the concentration-contraction curves
obtained with various agonists after removal of the
epithelium implies that a basal release of the factor or
factors exists and that this exerts a tonic restraint on
airway smooth muscle. Interestingly, this tonic braking
effect of the epithelium is more pronounced in
larger than in smaller bronchi. We do not know what
factors affect this basal release. Again by analogy with
the blood vessels, changes in shear stress could con-
stitute an important natural stimulus, which could
explain adjustments in diameter of the larger airway as
a function of the flow of air through them. The second
possibility is the occurrence of evoked (triggered)
release of epithelium derived relaxing factor or factors,
one potentially important trigger for their release
being osmotic changes. Beta adrenergic activation
appears to be another stimulus capable of triggering
its release. This again has been shown to vary with the size
of the airway, though in the opposite way to that
found for basal release as the epithelium dependency
of the response to isoprenaline is most pronounced in
smaller airways. The role of the epithelium in the
bronchodilator response to beta adrenergic agonists is
in keeping with the autoradiographic localisation of
beta adrenoceptors, which are particularly abundant
on epithelial cells of the smaller airways. It is
tempting therefore to assume that epithelial beta
adrenoceptors linked to the release of epithelium
derived relaxing factor or factors may contribute to
the efficacy of aerosol treatment with beta adrenergic
agonists.

The role of eosinophils in the inflammation that
characterises the asthmatic airways is emerging. Eosinophil major basic protein, considered to be the
major toxin produced by these cells, causes an
epithelium dependent augmentation of the contractions of airway smooth muscle evoked by
acetylcholine or histamine. This hyperresponsiveness
occurs at a time when the epithelial cells have not
neuropil under the influence of the eosinophil toxin.
To us this observation makes the link between
the pharmacological studies following the effect of
epithelium removal in normal airways and the pathology of asthma because asthmatic bronchi contain areas of
epithelial denudation. We propose that the absence
of epithelial cells—and the resulting decrease in
production of epithelium derived relaxing factor—
may be one of the modulating influences that combine
to generate the airway hyperresponsiveness character-
istic of the disease.
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