Use of bronchoalveolar lavage in the evaluation of methotrexate lung disease
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ABSTRACT The results of bronchoalveolar lavage in three patients with a presumptive diagnosis of methotrexate induced lung disease are presented. Lavage fluid was characterised by the presence of large numbers of lymphocytes, which in two patients were predominantly lymphocytes of the T8 phenotype. These findings further support the hypothesis that immune mediated mechanisms may play a part in the pathogenesis of this disorder in some patients, and indicate that bronchoalveolar lavage may be helpful in the evaluation of patients suspected of having methotrexate induced lung disease.

Pulmonary damage associated with methotrexate administration is a common clinical problem in patients receiving this drug. The clinical manifestations of this disorder have been described in detail. Nevertheless, the diagnosis remains difficult to establish since no pathognomonic features have been described, and even invasive techniques such as open lung biopsy cannot firmly establish the diagnosis.

We report here three cases of methotrexate lung in which bronchoalveolar lavage was performed. Our findings support the hypothesis that cell mediated immune responses may be important in the pathogenesis of the disease and suggest that, in the appropriate clinical setting, bronchoalveolar lavage may be useful in evaluation of patients suspected of having developed this disorder.

Methods

Bronchoalveolar lavage was performed by a technique based on the method of Reynolds and Newball. Following fibreoptic bronchoscopy four 50 ml aliquots of 0.9% sodium chloride were instilled into the right middle lobe bronchus. Fluid was recovered by gentle suction after each aliquot, filtered through gauze, and placed on ice. Total cell counts were determined with a haemocytometer, and a differential cell count was obtained by counting 1000 cells after May-Grünwald-Giemsa staining of cytocentrifuge preparations. In two patients helper and suppressor T lymphocyte subsets were determined by immunofluorescence microscopy with T4 and T8 monoclonal antibodies respectively (Ortho Diagnostics, Raritan, New Jersey). In view of the patient’s clinical condition provocation tests were not performed. Results were compared with those obtained from bronchoalveolar lavage of 18 normal healthy volunteers.

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were isolated by centrifugation on Ficoll-Hypaque density gradients. Total cell counts, differential cell counts, and evaluation of lymphocyte surface phenotype were determined as described above for lavage cells. Results have been compared with those obtained from 20 healthy adults (normal controls) and from three patients treated with methotrexate but free from interstitial pneumonitis (methotrexate controls).

Case reports

CASE 1 A 42 year old woman, a non-smoker, presented with a malignant trophoblastic tumour following a hydatidiform mole. Hysterectomy was performed and the patient was given combination chemotherapy for three months with cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and methotrexate. During this period a rash occurred after one injection of methotrexate and disappeared spontaneously within 24 hours. Ten days after the last course of combination treatment 50 mg methotrexate was administered alone intramuscularly every five
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Numbers and types of cells recovered by bronchoalveolar lavage from three patients with methotrexate lung disease and 18 normal controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls*</th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluid recovered (%)</td>
<td>54(15)</td>
<td>37-5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cells</td>
<td>112(37)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophages %</td>
<td>91(4)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils %</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes %</td>
<td>8(3)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4+ lymphocytes</td>
<td>10(10)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>248-5</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8+ lymphocytes</td>
<td>50(11)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cells × 10⁶/ml</td>
<td>5(5)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>69-5</td>
<td>19-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid recovered (%)</td>
<td>30(7)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cells</td>
<td>3(3)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>139-16</td>
<td>46-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4:T8 ratio</td>
<td>1:8(0-7)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0:50</td>
<td>0:43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results for controls are expressed as means with standard deviations in parentheses.
ND—not done.

days for three weeks (cumulative dose 200 mg). During this period she noted the onset of fever (39°C), cough, dyspnoea on exertion, and a transient rash. She was given 40 mg methylprednisolone intravenously and 2 g erythromycin for three days. Subsequently she was referred to our department because of the persistence of respiratory symptoms. On admission, crackles were heard over both lung bases and chest radiography showed small opacities in both lung fields but predominantly on the right. The arterial oxygen tension \( (P_{aO_2}) \) was 6-65 kPa. The peripheral blood eosinophil count was \( 280 \times 10^4/\ell \). Bronchoalveolar lavage was performed (table). The patient required mechanical ventilation, and treatment with rifampicin, isoniazid, and ethambutol was begun. Eight days later all clinical and radiological abnormalities had disappeared, allowing extubation and cessation of antituberculous drugs. \( P_{aO_2} \) was 10-37 kPa. Hepatic and bone marrow biopsy specimens showed no histological abnormalities.

CASE 2

An 18 year old woman, a non-smoker, with acute myeloid leukaemia was given 15 mg of methotrexate intrathecally every week for three months as part of a combination chemotherapy course that also contained cytotoxic arabinoside, rubidomycin, cyclophosphamide, and vincristine (cumulative dose of methotrexate 180 mg). A few days after the last methotrexate injection she developed dyspnoea on exertion and fever (38-8°C). Clinical examination was unhelpful. Chest radiography showed extensive small nodular opacities in both lungs. \( P_{aO_2} \) was 6-91 kPa. There was no peripheral blood eosinophilia. Pulmonary function test results were consistent with a restrictive ventilatory defect with a low vital capacity (64% predicted) and reduced pulmonary compliance (1-13 kPa⁻¹). Bronchoalveolar lavage was performed (table). Methotrexate treatment was withdrawn and 500 mg methylprednisolone was administered intravenously. At the same time she was treated for four days with penicillin G, rifampicin, isoniazid, and ethambutol. Histological examination of a liver biopsy specimen showed no abnormality. Three days later all clinical, radiological, and blood gas abnormalities had resolved. After one month the results of pulmonary function tests were normal. The other chemotherapeutic agents were later reintroduced without adverse effects.

CASE 3

A 62 year old woman, a non-smoker, with breast cancer underwent a mastectomy followed by radiotherapy and was then given combination chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and methotrexate (25 mg methotrexate intravenously every week for two months, cumulative dose 200 mg). A few days after the last injection she complained of dyspnoea and fever (38°C). Crackles were heard in both lungs. Chest radiograph showed extensive small nodular opacities. Pulmonary function tests showed decreased vital capacity and pulmonary compliance: \( P_{aO_2} \) was 6-25 kPa. Bronchoalveolar lavage was performed (table). Bronchial biopsy specimens showed no histological abnormalities and the serum carcinoembryonic antigen concentration was normal. Twenty one days after methotrexate was withdrawn and without any antibiotic administration, the clinical and radiological abnormalities had disappeared and the \( P_{aO_2} \) had risen to 9-96 kPa. Three months later the results of pulmonary function tests were normal.

Results

In each patient the lavage fluid was characterised by a large increase in the number of lymphocytes and a smaller increase in the number of neutrophils recovered (table). This lymphocytosis resulted from an increase in number of T4 and T8 positive cells, but there was a disproportionate expansion of T8 lymphocytes in the two patients in whom this was studied, resulting in a low T4:T8 ratio.

Less striking changes appeared in the peripheral blood leucocytes. Two of three patients were lymphopenic, presumably as a result of chemotherapy. The T4:T8 ratio in peripheral blood was at the lower limit of normal in the two patients evaluated (1:1 and 0:8
for patients 2 and 3 respectively). Patients receiving methotrexate without evidence of pulmonary toxicity also tended to have a lower T4:T8 ratio than that seen in normal subjects, although this difference was not significant in our small series (methotrexate (n = 3) 1.2 (SD 0.9); normal subjects (n = 20) 1.8 (0.4); p > 0.2). Eosinophilia was not present in any of our patients.

Discussion

The presence of a large increase in the number of lymphocytes and a modest increase in the number of neutrophils in the lower respiratory tract was a consistent finding in our three patients with methotrexate lung disease. This is in agreement with the data reported by White et al. In contrast to their report, however, we found the T4:T8 ratio of lavage lymphocytes to be low in the two patients whose lymphocyte subsets were evaluated.

Lung biopsy was not undertaken in any of our patients. Bronchoalveolar lavage data were, however, consistent with the cell types seen in biopsy specimens reported by others (for example, lymphocyte and monocyte with or without eosinophil infiltration and granuloma formation).

Several other clinical features reported here would favour a diagnosis of methotrexate lung disease. Firstly, the patients had recently received methotrexate in doses and by routes previously reported to produce this disorder. The clinical symptoms, the findings from physical examination, the chest radiographs, and the results of pulmonary function tests were compatible with the diagnosis as was the rapidly favourable clinical outcome on withdrawal of methotrexate with or without corticosteroid treatment.

Secondly, no other explanation for the pulmonary disorders could be found by other investigations. The patients denied exposure to antigens known to be associated with extrinsic allergic alveolitis and investigations failed to reveal any recurrence of cancer. Examination of sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid detected no viral, fungal, bacterial, or parasitic pathogens in any of the cases. One patient (case 3) was found to have cytomegalovirus in the urine and developed antibodies to the virus. Viral cultures of lung secretions were, however, negative; viral inclusions were not seen in cells recovered by lavage; and the clinical course was not typical of cytomegalovirus pneumonia.

Finally, we believe that drug induced lung disease resulting from other therapeutic agents is unlikely for several reasons. Patient 1 was receiving only methotrexate when acute symptoms developed. Patient 2 was later rechallenged with the other chemotherapeutic agents she had been receiving before the onset of pulmonary symptoms without adverse effects. Patient 3 had been receiving several drugs when symptoms appeared. Cyclophosphamide may produce pneumonitis. Nevertheless bronchoalveolar lavage findings in patient 3 were not characteristic of those previously reported in cyclophosphamide induced abnormality of lavage fluid, in which a neutrophil excess was observed even though lymphocytic interstitial infiltrates are usually present in lung biopsy specimens. Furthermore, rapid clinical improvement after discontinuation of treatment is unusual in this disorder. To our knowledge, vincristine has not been reported to produce pulmonary toxicity, although other Vinca alkaloids may produce lung disease. Finally, cytosine arabinoside has been considered responsible for one case of pulmonary oedema without lymphocytic infiltration and rubidomycin and 5-fluorouracil have never been reported to produce pulmonary toxicity. Nevertheless, while methotrexate lung disease remains a clinical diagnosis the pathogenetic role, if any, of infection or other therapeutic agents in our patients is by no means clear.

The bronchoalveolar lavage findings in our patients with methotrexate induced lung disease are similar to those observed in lavage fluid from patients with extrinsic allergic alveolitis and in drug associated pulmonary hypersensitivity reactions seen after administration of various therapeutic agents. Thus our results are consistent with the possibility that drug induced cell mediated hypersensitivity reaction in some patients plays a part in methotrexate lung disease. Further studies are required to firmly establish the pathogenesis of this disease.

Our results also suggest that lavage may prove useful for the evaluation of patients suspected of having methotrexate lung disease. We should emphasise that the pattern of lavage findings should not be considered diagnostic of methotrexate lung disease, since a similar pattern can be seen in various other lung hypersensitivity reactions. Nevertheless, in an appropriate clinical setting an excess of lavage lymphocytes and a low T4:T8 lung lavage lymphocyte ratio may be useful in suggesting the presence of methotrexate lung disease.
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