Short duration combination chemotherapy in the treatment of small cell lung cancer
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ABSTRACT Ninety-five patients (57 with limited disease and 38 with extensive disease) with previously untreated small cell lung cancer were entered into a study of short duration combination chemotherapy with intravenous cyclophosphamide (750 mg/m²) on day 1, Adriamycin (40 mg/m²) on day 1, and etoposide VP-16 (100 mg/m²) on days 1, 2, and 3, with the addition on day 10 of methotrexate 50 mg/m² with folic acid rescue and vincristine 2 mg. The treatment was repeated on day 22 and only three courses were given. No maintenance chemotherapy was given, though patients with a complete response received radiotherapy (30–40 Gy (3000–4000 rads)) to the primary site in most cases. Forty-nine patients (86%) with limited disease achieved a response, with 26 (46%) complete remissions. Twenty-five patients (66%) with extensive disease had a response, but only eight (21%) had a complete response. Actuarial survival analysis for the whole patient population showed a median survival of 13 months for patients with limited disease and seven months for those with extensive disease. The median survival was 14 months for those patients with limited disease who achieved a complete response, but only 10 months for non-responders. Myelosuppression was the major expression of toxicity. There were three deaths related to treatment and seven patients had febrile episodes during neutropenia that required antibiotics. Mucositis, which was usually mild, occurred in 49% of patients. The primary site was the main site of initial relapse in 56% of the patients who relapsed. Among patients with limited disease who achieved a complete response, relapses at the primary site were less common in those who received radiotherapy (five out of 12) than in those who did not (all eight). The results indicate that this short duration chemotherapy in small cell lung cancer gives response rates and the potential for long term survival similar to those obtained in other series while allowing patients the maximum time free from treatment.

Small cell carcinoma of the bronchus is a rapidly growing tumour that is often disseminated by the time of diagnosis. Patients who achieve a complete response to combination chemotherapy have a significant increase in median survival and a chance of becoming long term survivors. Cohen et al have shown that response rates, and thus survival, can be improved by increasing the doses of the drugs given in the initial induction chemotherapy. Dosage, however, is limited by unwanted side effects. One way of reducing toxicity while intensifying the initial treatment is to introduce non-myelosuppressive agents between regular cycles of myelosuppressive drugs. We chose three drugs with a relatively strong myelosuppressive action that are effective in combination in small cell lung cancer. Cyclophosphamide, Adriamycin, and etoposide were given in maximum tolerated doses in a three weekly cycle; while two effective drugs with relatively little myelotoxic action, methotrexate and vincristine, were given in the middle of the cycle. Chemotherapy was limited to three courses, but a group of responders in the early stages of the study were selected for late dose intensification treatment (LDIT), the details of which have been published elsewhere.
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Results

A total of 95 patients entered the study. Of these, 57 had limited and 38 extensive disease. Their characteristics are given in table 1. Six patients discontinued treatment after one course and two did so after two courses because of rapid deterioration or death. These patients have not been excluded from the overall analysis.

Forty nine (86%) of the 57 patients with limited progression at other sites or the appearance of new lesions. No response indicated less than 50% tumour reduction, with stable or progressive disease. In two centres patients who had a complete response then received radiotherapy (30–40 Gy (3000–4000 rads) over 19 days) to encompass the primary and nodal sites of disease, but this was omitted in a third centre. No further treatment was given to patients except on a symptomatic basis. Twenty two responding patients with a good partial or complete response were selected on a non-randomised basis in the first six months of the study to receive late dose intensification therapy with high dose cyclophosphamide and etoposide with autologous bone marrow rescue. As this may have biased results, these patients have been excluded from the analysis of data on survival.

Survival was recorded from the first day of treatment. Assessment of survival was by life table methods, the log rank test being used.

Methods and patients

From June 1982 to January 1984 patients with histologically or cytologically proved small cell lung cancer were entered into the study. Criteria for entry included the presence of measurable or evaluable disease, adequate renal function (creatinine clearance > 60 ml/min), and adequate bone marrow reserve (leucocyte count > 3 x 10⁹/l and platelets > 100 x 10⁹/l). Only previously untreated patients aged 70 years or less, with an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance grade of 0, 1, or 2, were eligible. Evidence of central nervous system metastases excluded patients from the study.

Pretreatment staging included physical examination, full blood count, biochemical tests, chest radiography, fibreoptic bronchoscopy, and biochemical tests, chest radiography, fibreoptic bronchoscopy, and ultrasonic or ultrasonic scanning of the liver. Patients were categorised as having limited disease (disease confined to one hemithorax but including ipsilateral mediastinal scalene or lower cervical nodes) or extensive disease (spread beyond these limits).

Chemotherapy consisted of cyclophosphamide 750 mg/m² on day 1 (except in the first 15 patients, who had 1000 mg/m²), adriamycin 40 mg/m² on day 1, and etoposide 100 mg/m² on days 1–3. On day 10 methotrexate 50 mg/m² and vincristine 2 mg were given. Oral folic acid in a dose of 15 mg four times daily for three days was started 24 hours after the methotrexate. If the leucocyte count was less than 1 x 10⁹/l on day 10, treatment was delayed. The treatment was repeated on day 22. Appropriate dose reductions were made in cyclophosphamide and adriamycin for myelosuppression and in methotrexate for mucositis during the next cycle.

After three courses of treatment patients were re-assessed. A complete response was defined as disappearance of all known disease for at least four weeks as judged by physical examination, radiology, and bronchoscopy. A partial response was taken as a 50% or greater reduction in total tumour size without

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease patients</th>
<th>Limited (n = 57)</th>
<th>Extensive (n = 38)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y): median 60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range (28–70)</td>
<td>(33–70)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: male 33</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female 24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG performance grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECOG—Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.
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Fig 1 Actuarial survival curves for limited and extensive disease. Patients who received late dose intensification treatment have been excluded.

For more information, please refer to the original publication.
Most patients experienced nausea and some vomiting on the first day of chemotherapy. Leucopenia was the major haematological toxic effect, 18% having a leucocyte nadir below 0.5 x 10^9/l. This occurred mainly around day 10 during the first course of treatment. Haematological toxicity was greater with the higher dose of cyclophosphamide and the dose was later reduced to 750 mg/m^2. There were three deaths related to treatment, which occurred about the time of maximum myelosuppression. The platelet count was seldom seriously reduced and no bleeding episodes occurred. Mucositis, usually after the methotrexate given on day 10, was common but seldom posed a serious problem.

Forty patients out of 49 responders with limited disease have relapsed. The primary site was the most frequent initial site of relapse (56%), brain (36%) and bone (36%) being the next most common sites with clinically detectable tumours. In the group with limited disease 16 of the patients with a complete response were given radiotherapy to the primary site after chemotherapy; and only five out of the 12 who relapsed did so because of recurrence at the primary site. Eight of the 10 patients with a complete response who were not given radiotherapy have relapsed, all initially with recurrences at the primary site. Eighteen patients had palliative radiotherapy to various sites on relapse.

**Discussion**

This five drug regimen is highly active against small cell bronchial carcinoma. The chemotherapy differs from other schedules in two respects. The first is that the treatment lasted no longer than nine weeks, and no maintenance treatment was given. The 46% complete response rate in limited disease and the survival data are similar to the results obtained in other series. Analysis of actuarial survival projects a two year survival of 20% in patients with limited disease, which is similar to that reported with more prolonged chemotherapy. Aisner et al used adriamycin, cyclophosphamide, and etoposide in similar dosages to ours as induction treatment, fol-

**Table 2** Patients with limited disease: median survival in those who did and did not receive late dosage intensification treatment (LDIT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>PR</th>
<th>NR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All patients</td>
<td>14 (26)</td>
<td>11 (23)</td>
<td>10 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With LDIT</td>
<td>14 (8)</td>
<td>12 (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without LDIT</td>
<td>14 (18)</td>
<td>11 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CR—complete response; PR—partial response; NR—no response.
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followed by various additional drugs, and their response rates were higher than in this series. The patients are not, however, strictly comparable, as we adopted a simpler staging procedure, so that our patients with "limited" disease were not so highly selected.

The second difference between this schedule and previously reported treatment is the addition of methotrexate and vincristine on day 10 of the cycle, at the time of appreciable myelosuppression. This approach has proved successful in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, and its value in small cell lung cancer remains unproved. Previous studies in which methotrexate has been added in midcycle have yielded similar response rates. If further use of this five drug schedule is contemplated a randomised study to assess the value of the treatment on day 10 would be appropriate.

The usefulness of thoracic radiotherapy in addition to chemotherapy for small cell lung cancer remains controversial. It appears to reduce or delay recurrence at the primary site but does not alter median survival. In view of this we gave radiotherapy only to those patients who had achieved a complete response to initial treatment, and one centre elected not to give radiotherapy routinely.

The results of our study indicate that a nine week course of combination chemotherapy in the treatment of small cell lung cancer gives response rates and long term survival figures similar to those achieved with more prolonged chemotherapy. This is in agreement with recent reports suggesting that long term maintenance chemotherapy may be ineffective. One aim of future studies could therefore be an analysis on a randomised basis of the optimal duration of treatment that would yield a satisfactory complete response rate but allow the patients the maximum time possible free from treatment.

We are grateful to Mr David Hole of the West of Scotland Cancer Surveillance Unit for statistical help and Miss E Sharkie for secretarial assistance.
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