Effect of nifedipine on arterial hypoxaemia occurring after methacholine challenge in asthma
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ABSTRACT To investigate whether the effects of nifedipine on methacholine induced bronchoconstriction could impair pulmonary gas exchange in bronchial asthma a randomised, double blind, crossover study in 13 symptom free asthmatic subjects was designed. Each patient underwent a methacholine bronchial challenge test on two separate days one week apart, after having either oral nifedipine (20 mg thrice daily) or placebo for three days. Arterial blood gases were measured before and after methacholine challenge in nine subjects. Prechallenge values of forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) and arterial oxygen tension (Pao₂) were similar after nifedipine and after placebo. After challenge, the cumulative doses of methacholine required to produce a 20% fall in FEV₁ (PD₂₀ FEV₁) were significantly larger after nifedipine (280 (SD 347)) cumulative breath units (CBU) than after placebo (120 (183) CBU; p < 0.01). After challenge the fall in Pao₂ values (17.1 (1.6) mm Hg; 2.28 (0.21) kPa) was significantly greater than after placebo (11.7 (2.4) mm Hg; 1.56 (0.32) kPa) p < 0.03. Our data show that although oral nifedipine significantly reduces airway reactivity in patients with mild bronchial asthma, it also adversely affects pulmonary gas exchange, resulting in a lowered postchallenge Pao₂, probably because of worsening ventilation-perfusion relationships.

There is increasing interest in the effects of calcium channel blocking agents on airway smooth muscle contraction.¹ ² It has been postulated that the stimuli that provoke bronchoconstriction activate mechanisms of calcium ion transport into the cell with resulting contraction of airway smooth muscle. It has been observed both in vivo and in vitro that calcium blocking agents attenuate bronchial reactivity³ but the exact mechanism is still a matter of controversy. There is an increasing number of agents that interfere with the transmembrane flux of calcium ions. One such is nifedipine, which is known to be a potent calcium inhibitor⁴ and has been successfully used in the control of angina⁵ and systemic hypertension.⁶ Because of its effects on both airway smooth muscle and the cardiovascular system, nifedipine has been proposed as the drug of choice in patients with coronary artery disease and airflow obstruction.⁷ ⁸ Nifedipine, however, is a potent systemic and pulmonary vasodilator and its administration to patients with underlying lung disease may impair ventilation-perfusion (Va/Q) matching, resulting in a lower arterial oxygen tension (Pao₂).⁹ ¹⁰ These considerations led us to investigate the effects of nifedipine on gas exchange and spirometric values in asymptomatic asthma. Specifically, we wished to test the hypothesis that nifedipine could alter Pao₂ in asthmatic patients after methacholine challenge despite its known protective effect on bronchoconstriction. A randomised, double blind, crossover study was carried out to investigate this hypothesis.

Methods

SUBJECTS

We studied 13 symptom free non-smoking asthmatic subjects with previously documented mild clinical asthma. All satisfied the criteria for asthma proposed by the American Thoracic Society,¹¹ and gave verbal consent to participation in the study. There were eight women and five men aged 20–50 years (mean 36 (SD 8.8)). For inclusion in the study each patient was required to have an FEV₁ greater than 80% of predicted value.¹² At the time of the study the asthma was well controlled and stable, and all subjects were asked to withhold all medications during the period of the study. They were also requested to refrain from...
coffee, cola, and chocolate drinks for the 12 hours before testing. All subjects had previously shown either a significant response to inhalation of single dose (25 mg/ml) methacholine or a bronchodilator response consistent with bronchial hyper-responsiveness.

LUNG FUNCTION TESTS
Spirometric measurements (Hewlett-Packard model 47804-A) consisted of three forced vital capacity (FVC) manoeuvres initially, followed by two after each dose of methacholine provided that differences in FVC in duplicate measurements did not exceed 5%; if they did, a further forced expiration was performed and the best of three FEV1 values was used. The methacholine bronchial challenge test was performed as recommended by the American Thoracic Society. The necessary concentrations of methacholine were prepared from a stock solution containing 25 mg/ml, (the diluents being 0.5% NaCl, 0.275% NaHCO3, and 80% propylene glycol in water). The stock solution was renewed every two months and stored at 4°C until solutions were prepared. The same solution was used in the two challenges carried out in each subject. The concentrations of methacholine used successively were: 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 25 mg/ml, and the last concentration was repeated until the cumulative dose causing a 20% fall in FEV1 (PD20 FEV1) was reached. Methacholine was delivered by intermittent inhalation of aerosol in five non-dosimeter regulated breaths via a handgrip nebuliser (DeVilbiss No 42, DeVilbiss Co, Somerset, Pennsylvania, USA), and it was administered by the same observer for all patients. Subjects were instructed to take five breaths of each methacholine concentration, beginning close to functional residual capacity and inhaling slowly to total lung capacity in a constant manner. After baseline spirometric measurements had been obtained, increasing concentrations of methacholine chloride from 0.1 to 25 mg/ml were inhaled. Each series of five inhalations was followed after three minutes by spirometric measurements, and all were obtained within six minutes of the last inhalation.

Measurements of arterial blood gas tensions and pH (Radiometer BMS3 MK2, Copenhagen) were made immediately before challenge and were repeated within four to six minutes of achieving a 20% fall in FEV1. In four patients blood gas tensions could not be obtained.

The results of methacholine challenge were analysed by constructing a dose-response curve on semi-logarithmic paper. Doses were expressed as cumulative breath units (CBU). The cumulative dose at which FEV1 had deteriorated by 20% of control values was considered the provocation dose (PD20 FEV1). In two subjects (Nos 8 and 9), in whom PD20 FEV1 was not reached, results were extrapolated from the slope of the last two data points recorded. Values of arterial Po2 before and after challenge were measured and alveolar-arterial differences in oxygen tension (PA-aO2) were calculated on the basis of the abbreviated alveolar air equation (PAO2 = PIo2 - PaCO2/R, an R value of 0.8 being assumed).

STUDY DESIGN
Patients attended the laboratory on two separate days one week apart. Tests were always performed on the same day of the week and at the same hour (1300). Before each challenge either nifedipine (20 mg thrice daily) or placebo capsules were administered orally for three days in randomised double blind fashion, the last dose being taken 30 to 45 minutes before methacholine challenge. Salbutamol was administered after completion of the methacholine challenge. In two cases the test was terminated prematurely, either at the subject’s request (No 9) or when complaints of wheezing and chest tightness were prominent (subject 8).

STATISTICS
Comparisons of the data obtained after administration of nifedipine and placebo were made with Student’s paired t test. Differences between control and baseline spirometric values in each methacholine challenge were analysed by one way analysis of variance (one way ANOVA). Results are expressed as means with standard deviations in parentheses. Correlations between changes in either PaO2 or Pa-aO2 before and after challenge following each drug and the respective PD20 FEV1 values (expressed as CBU) were analysed with Spearman’s test.

Results
SPIROMETRIC MEASUREMENTS (table)
There were no significant differences in FEV1 values between control (mean 3.13 (0.6)I) and the baseline values recorded on either nifedipine (3.15 (0.6)I) or placebo (3.11 (0.58)I) days. Mean values for PD20 FEV1 after nifedipine were significantly higher (280 (347) CBU) than after placebo (120 (183) CBU; p < 0.01). All except one patient (No 11) showed decreased bronchomotor responsiveness after nifedipine, as judged by an increased value of CBU necessary to achieve a 20% fall in FEV1. In the nine subjects from whom blood gas data were obtained, post challenge FEV1 values after nifedipine or placebo were similar (2.66 (0.3)I and 2.61 (0.3)I respectively). Individual values of PD20 FEV1 showed large interindividual differences in airway reactivity.
ARTERIAL BLOOD GAS MEASUREMENTS

Pao2 measurements were available for nine subjects. No significant differences were observed between baseline Pao2 values after nifedipine and after placebo (see table). In contrast, after challenge Pao2 values after nifedipine were significantly lower and P-A-ao2 values significantly higher than the corresponding values after placebo (p < 0.005). Moreover, differences between Pao2 values before and after challenge showed a significantly larger fall after nifedipine (17.1 (1.6) mm Hg; 2.28 (0.21) kPa) than after placebo (11.7 (2.4) mm Hg; 1.56 (0.32) kPa: p < 0.03). Similarly, the increase in P-A-ao2 was significantly greater after nifedipine than after placebo (17.4 (6.3) mm Hg; 2.32 (0.84) kPa v 11.8 (8.6) mm Hg; 1.57 (1.15) kPa: p < 0.02).

No significant correlation was found when the differences in Pao2 or in P-A-ao2 values before and after methacholine challenge following either nifedipine or placebo were plotted against the values of PD20 FEV1.

Discussion

Our data show that nifedipine has a protective effect on airway reactivity, but this potentially beneficial effect is accompanied by a deterioration in pulmonary gas exchange resulting in hypoxaemia. The latter finding suggests that nifedipine worsens the ventilation-perfusion (VA/Q) inequalities already present in symptom free patients with bronchial asthma.

Nifedipine had no apparent effect on resting airway smooth muscle tone, as judged by the lack of significant differences between control and pre-challenge (baseline) FEV1 after either nifedipine and placebo, which is in keeping with the results of earlier studies. The absence of a bronchodilating effect in our patients after short-term treatment with nifedipine might be explained in part by their near normal baseline flow rates (all patients had an FEV1 greater than 80% of predicted values).

Previous studies have shown an inhibitory effect of calcium channel blockers, particularly nifedipine, on airway smooth muscle. The precise mechanism is unknown, but inhibition of mediator release or of calcium ion entry into airway smooth muscle cells (or both) has been invoked. Since Cerrina et al3 reported the protective effect of nifedipine in exercise induced bronchoconstriction, evidence supporting this beneficial effect has been produced in bronchoconstriction induced by exercise,19 antigen,20 and histamine.21,22 Our results with methacholine confirm these studies, since oral nifedipine reduced methacholine induced bronchoconstriction in all but one patient.

In patients with asthma a low Pao2 may result from the existence of poorly ventilated areas of low VA/Q ratio.17 Drugs that after VA/Q matching may impair gas exchange. It has, for instance, been shown that the administration of vasodilator drugs to patients with generalised airflow obstruction may aggravate pre-existing hypoxaemia.10 In addition, nifedipine is known to reduce hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction both in animal models23 and in patients with acute respiratory failure24 or chronic airflow obstruction.10,25 There have so far been no other reports of the effects of nifedipine on pulmonary gas
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Spirometric and blood gas data before (baseline) and after methacholine challenge following each drug

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject No</th>
<th>Nifedipine</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before challenge</td>
<td>After challenge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEV1 (l)</td>
<td>Pao2 (mm Hg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>71.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>78.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>75.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>80.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>84.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>66.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>72.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>78.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.01; ** and §p < 0.005.

Pao2—arterial oxygen tension; P-A-ao2—arterial-alveolar oxygen difference; CBU—cumulative breath units; PD20—cumulative dose of methacholine required to produce a 20% fall in FEV1; ND—not done.

Conversion: traditional to SI units—Blood gas tensions: 1 mm Hg = 0.13 kPa.
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