Evaluation of positive expiratory pressure as an adjunct to chest physiotherapy in the treatment of cystic fibrosis
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ABSTRACT It has been suggested that positive expiratory pressure may assist the clearance of bronchial secretions in the treatment of cystic fibrosis. It has been compared with currently used postural drainage techniques. Three treatment regimens were compared in 18 patients with cystic fibrosis. Treatment A consisted of breathing exercises emphasising inspiration, interspersed with the forced expiration technique in gravity assisted positions; treatment B comprised breathing exercises with positive expiratory pressure alternating with the forced expiration technique in the same gravity assisted positions; and treatment C comprised breathing exercises with positive expiratory pressure and the forced expiration technique in the sitting position. During treatment A a significantly greater quantity of sputum was produced than during treatments B and C (p < 0.025 and p < 0.001 respectively). Treatment B produced more sputum than treatment C (p < 0.005). There were no significant differences in arterial oxygen saturation, FEV₁ or forced vital capacity. Most adolescent and adult patients are able to carry out their treatment independently using gravity assisted positions, breathing exercises emphasising inspiration, and the forced expiration technique. Sputum clearance was less effective when positive expiratory pressure was included in the treatment regimen.

Positive expiratory pressure is used to assist clearance of bronchial secretions in some patients with cystic fibrosis.¹ It has been suggested that it may increase the sputum yield by its effect on peripheral airways and collateral channels. Treatment using this technique does not include gravity to assist drainage of secretions but is carried out in the sitting position. One of the advantages claimed for this treatment is that it gives independence to this group of patients, as it can be carried out without an assistant.

Many patients with cystic fibrosis, however, have been treating themselves independently since the introduction of the more active form of postural drainage incorporating the forced expiration technique described by Pryor et al.² ³ Treatment has not included positive expiratory pressure, but deep breathing exercises have been used to mobilise secretions.

It is important to find physiotherapy techniques which are both effective and less time consuming while giving the patient independence. We have therefore compared these two methods of treatment and a combination of the two.

Patients and methods

Eighteen patients (12 male, six female) with cystic fibrosis took part in the study after giving informed consent. The diagnosis of cystic fibrosis was established on the basis of malabsorption, chronic bronchopulmonary infection, and a sweat sodium concentration of over 70 mmol (mEq)/l. The mean age of the patients was 22.5 (range 13-37) years. The mean FEV₁ was 1.3 (range 0.45-3.25) litres and the mean forced vital capacity (FVC) was 2.5 (1.1-5.1) l.

All patients had been admitted to hospital with an exacerbation of their bronchopulmonary infection and were studied for three consecutive days as near as possible to the date of discharge. To take part in the study, patients were required to be producing at least 20 g of sputum in 24 hours and needed to be fit enough to carry out their own chest physiotherapy. Patients with pneumothorax or a history of pneumothorax were excluded.

Appropriate gravity assisted positions for postural
drainage were assessed for each individual before the start of the study. Usually two areas of the chest were treated during each session. All patients were familiar with the forced expiration technique, which consists of one or two forced expirations (huffs) from mid lung volume to low lung volume, followed by a short period of relaxation and breathing control to avoid any increase in airflow obstruction. As the secretions reach the upper airways they are cleared by a huff or cough at high lung volume.

Instructions and practice with the positive expiratory pressure (PEP) equipment were given before the study. The PEP system (Astra Meditec) normally consists of a face mask and a one way valve to which expiratory resistances can be attached. A manometer is inserted into the system to monitor the actual value of PEP. Patients trying this apparatus before our study preferred the use of a mouthpiece and nose clips in place of the face mask, and therefore throughout the study this system was used (fig).

We used a resistance that gave a PEP level of 12–17 cm H2O in mid expiration. To determine which resistance produced this pressure for each individual, the patient sat comfortably with arms resting on a table and was instructed to breath abdominally with only a slightly active expiration. Each patient practised groups of six breaths trying different resistances until the correct pressure was obtained. The diameters of the appropriate resistances ranged from 2.5 to 3.5 mm.

Three treatment regimens were used: Treatment A In gravity assisted positions, a cycle of four deep inspirations with relaxed expiration, breathing control (relaxation and gentle abdominal breathing), and the forced expiration technique; spontaneous coughing as required. Treatment B In gravity assisted positions, a cycle of six breaths with PEP, breathing control, and the forced expiration technique. Spontaneous coughing as required. Treatment C The same technique as in treatment B, but in the sitting position.

Each patient used the three treatment regimens in randomised order over three consecutive days, each regimen being used over a 24 hour period. There were four treatment sessions in each 24 hour period; the three daytime treatments were carried out by the patient himself under the supervision of a physiotherapist (JH or BW), and the fourth treatment was performed by the patient alone in the evening. The duration of each treatment was established on the first day of the study. It continued until the patient and physiotherapist felt that forced expiration and coughing no longer resulted in expectoration of secretions. Bronchodilator drugs were continued before physiotherapy if this was part of the patient's normal regimen. Fifteen patients were receiving intravenous antibiotic treatment and three oral antibiotic treatment. Recordings were made of (a) weight of sputum during treatment and up to 30 minutes after each treatment (treatment period sputum), a precision balance (Mettler P1200) being used for determining all sputum weights; (b) weight of sputum produced in the non-treatment periods over each 24 hour period of the study (non-treatment sputum); (c) FEV1 and FVC recorded with a Vitalograph dry spirometer before and 30 minutes after the first treatment each day and 30 minutes after the third treatment each day; (d) arterial oxygen saturation (Sao2), estimated with an ear oximeter (Hewlett-Packard), was recorded for 10 minutes with the patient in a sitting position before the first treatment each day, throughout the treatment, and for 30 minutes resting in a sitting position after treatment—the range of values during the resting periods before and after treatment and during cycles of breathing exercises, PEP, the forced expiration technique, and coughing all being extracted from the recorded trace.

The sputum weights and Sao2 were subjected to statistical analysis by means of the Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranks test and the FEV1 and FVC by means of a Friedman two way analysis of variance.

Results

Table 1 shows the mean weights of sputum produced in each 24 hour period. During treatment A a significantly greater quantity of sputum was produced than during treatments B and C, and treatment B produced more sputum than treatment C; but on the days of treatment C (in the sitting position)
Abbreviations
B ex—breathing exercises; FET—forced expiration technique; PEP—positive expiratory pressure.

Table 2 Mean FEV₁ and forced vital capacity (FVC) before and after three forms of chest physiotherapy in 18 patients with cystic fibrosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before treatment 1</th>
<th>30 min after treatment 1</th>
<th>30 min after treatment 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment A: Gravity, B ex, FET FEV₁</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment B: Gravity, PEP, FET FEV₁</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment C: Sitting, PEP, FET FEV₁</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Mean oxygen saturation before, during, and after three forms of physiotherapy in 18 patients with cystic fibrosis (range in parentheses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment A: Gravity, B ex, FET</td>
<td>87-72 (63-93)</td>
<td>93-33 (77-96)</td>
<td>86-61 (56-95)</td>
<td>95-72 (89-100)</td>
<td>86-94 (60-94)</td>
<td>94-00 (84-99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment B: Gravity, PEP, FET</td>
<td>87-39 (62-98)</td>
<td>93-22 (78-99)</td>
<td>86-57 (58-94)</td>
<td>95-50 (89-99)</td>
<td>87-50 (70-93)</td>
<td>94-00 (88-99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment C: Sitting, PEP, FET</td>
<td>88-11 (70-95)</td>
<td>93-66 (82-100)</td>
<td>87-44 (66-95)</td>
<td>95-72 (91-99)</td>
<td>87-56 (64-95)</td>
<td>94-11 (84-99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The forced expiration technique and coughing were used in each treatment regimen in this study. These forced expiratory manoeuvres, depending on dynamic compression of the airways, probably move secretions centrally from about the seventh generation of bronchi. The gravity assisted positions used in treatments A and B resulted in greater clearance of secretions than treatment C, which was carried out in the sitting position. This finding is supported by Sutton et al, who used a radioaerosol method and
showed that the forced expiration technique produced significantly more sputum in gravity assisted positions than in the sitting position.

Falk et al\(^1\) concluded from their trial that sputum production could be significantly increased by using positive expiratory pressure, but in our study we have shown no advantage of positive expiratory pressure (treatment B) over breathing exercises emphasising inspiration (treatment A). The total sputum produced on the days of treatment A was indeed greater than on the days of treatment B (p < 0.05).

Positive expiratory pressure is thought to increase collateral airflow to areas of the lung with airways obstructed by secretions, thus mobilising the more peripheral secretions. Probably a similar effect is produced by breathing exercises emphasising inspiration. The simplest, most immediate method for decreasing resistance to obstructed regions of the lung is to increase lung volume.\(^6\) This probably allows air to get behind secretions in the small obstructed airways.

A total of four deep breaths in each cycle was used in treatment A, so that hyperventilation would be avoided. After the deep breaths, the forced expirations, and coughing, brief pauses for relaxation and gentle controlled breathing were included to avoid both fatigue and an increase in airflow obstruction. Treatment currently used by patients with cystic fibrosis often includes self percussion. This was omitted during the study to avoid introducing another variable factor. This was considered ethically justifiable as a recent study\(^9\) has shown that self percussion is not an essential part of the treatment provided that the other techniques are carried out effectively.

Falk et al\(^1\) concluded from their study that positive expiratory pressure used in the sitting position for 20 minutes was more effective than their "classical treatment." This latter treatment consisted of drainage in seven positions for four to five minutes each, with percussion, but this was not accompanied by deep inspirations. The forced expiration technique was also included. This treatment was found to be tiring, and less effective than treatment with positive expiratory pressure in terms of sputum production; and it caused a fall in transcutaneous oxygen tension. Perhaps because inspiratory breathing exercises during the percussion and pauses for relaxation and breathing control were not included, it compared unfavourably with the positive expiratory pressure treatment in their study, and this could be the reason that we could show no advantage of positive expiratory pressure over our current method of treatment, either in sputum production or in oxygen saturation.

It is important to attempt to clear the airways as efficiently as possible during a treatment so that there is a minimum of coughing in intervening periods that might interfere with daily life. Thus the inconvenience of using gravity assisted positions, instead of sitting, to carry out treatment may be outweighed by the benefits of more effective airway clearance.

Most adolescent and adult patients with cystic fibrosis are able to carry out their treatment independently using gravity assisted positions, breathing exercises emphasising inspiration, and the forced expiration technique. We have shown that secretions were cleared less effectively when patients used positive expiratory pressure both with and without gravity assisted positions.
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