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ABSTRACT Dutch workers have proposed that people with asthma and those smokers who develop chronic airflow obstruction share a common allergic constitution. To study whether smoking itself is associated with indicators of allergy, we have examined 237 men aged 51–61 years (120 smokers, 73 ex-smokers, and 44 non-smokers) who were recruited to a long term study of lung function in 1974, at which time men with a clinical diagnosis of asthma were excluded. Smokers, ex-smokers, and non-smokers did not differ in personal or family history of allergic disease, but the prevalence of positive responses to skinprick tests was greater in ex-smokers (59%) than in the other two groups (33% and 34%). In men with negative responses to skinprick tests total serum IgE was greater in smokers (log_{10} mean 1.41 IU/ml) and in ex-smokers (log_{10} mean 1.53 IU/ml) than in non-smokers (log_{10} mean 1.12 IU/ml). In men with positive skin test responses serum IgE was similar in the three groups (log_{10} mean ranging from 1.68 to 1.78 IU/ml). Geometric mean total white cell counts in the peripheral blood were higher in smokers (7.34 × 10^9/l) than in non-smokers (5.82 × 10^9/l); the value in ex-smokers (6.16 × 10^9/l) was intermediate. Absolute blood eosinophil counts were increased in smokers disproportionately to the increase in total white cell count. Thus smoking is associated with small increases in some markers of allergy. These changes are probably acquired after the onset of smoking but sequential studies are required to amplify these cross sectional observations. Smokers whose skin test responses are positive appear more likely to give up smoking.

In 1960 Dutch workers' proposed that individuals with asthma and smokers with chronic and mainly irreversible airflow obstruction shared a common allergic constitution and increased non-specific bronchial reactivity. It was not clear whether the increased reactivity followed or preceded the development of airway narrowing. In an earlier study from this department Fletcher and coworkers found little evidence that allergy contributed to the development of chronic airflow obstruction in smokers; more recently we re-examined some of the younger men in this original study, and in contrast found that the rate of decline of lung function was more rapid in smokers who had some evidence of an allergic constitution than in those who did not. Furthermore, a series of reports from Tucson has investigated the interrelations between atopy, eosinophilia, and airflow obstruction and found that blood eosinophilia was associated with impairment of ventilatory function regardless of smoking habit. Smokers have also been shown to have a higher total serum immunoglobulin E (IgE) level than non-smokers and a raised white cell count in the peripheral blood.

These findings have renewed interest in the Dutch hypothesis. In a companion study on a different group of smokers, ex-smokers, and non-smokers whom we have followed at intervals since 1974 we found that increased bronchial reactivity to inhaled histamine was commoner among smokers and was related to an accelerated rate of annual decline in FEV₁, thus apparently supporting the Dutch hypothesis. Enhanced bronchial reactivity could have followed the onset of smoking, however, rather than represented a pre-existing risk factor. In this paper we describe the relations between smoking habit and a personal and family history of allergic disease, results of skinprick tests for common inhaled allergens, total serum IgE levels, and
eosinophil counts in peripheral venous blood in the same groups of men. We also examined the total white cell count, which is said to be inversely related to forced expiratory volume. We found that certain markers of allergy are increased in smokers, but these changes may also arise after the onset of smoking.

**Methods**

We studied 237 white men working in West London who were originally recruited in 1974 for a prospective study of lung function. The sample was biased to include a high proportion of middle aged smokers. Most of the men were office workers; policemen, prison officers, and a few semi-skilled workers made up the remainder. Men who gave a history of asthma or of other appreciable chest illness or who had an abnormal chest radiograph in 1974 were excluded. The follow up data reported in the present paper were obtained from November 1981 to March 1982.

The ages of the men fell into two groups, 25–42 years (68 men) and 47–61 years (169 men) at the time of follow up. There were 44 lifelong non-smokers (non-S: never smoked more than one cigarette a day for as long as one year) and 120 regular smokers (S), almost all of whom smoked cigarettes (mean consumption 23 cigarettes a day). There were 73 ex-smokers (ex-S), 51 of whom had given up smoking since 1974. Ex-smokers were divided into those who had given up less than one year (ex-S < 1 year, n = 17), one to five years (ex-S 1–5 years, n = 17), and more than five years (ex-S > 5 years, n = 39) before the time of restudy in winter 1981–2. The younger group of men contained a lower proportion of smokers (26 S, 22 ex-S, 20 non-S) than the middle aged group (94 S, 51 ex-S, 24 non-S). Fifteen (13%) of the smokers, three (4%) of the ex-smokers, and none of the non-smokers had an FEV1 of less than 80% of their predicted values.

The presence or absence of a personal history of eczema, hayfever, rhinitis, urticaria, or asthma and a family history of these diseases was recorded by questionnaire. Asthma was diagnosed if there was a history of attacks of tightness of the chest with wheezing and difficult breathing. Skin prick tests were performed at recruitment in 1974; thus most men classed as ex-smokers in 1981–2 had actually been smokers when tested. We used nine common inhaled antigens (Aspergillus fumigatus, Alternaria, Cladosporium, grass pollen, cat fur, dog fur, mixed feathers, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, wool), control and histamine control. Weal diameters were measured after 10 minutes and were graded so that a weal of 1–2 mm scored 1, 3–4 mm scored 2, 5–10 mm scored 3, and more than 10 mm scored 4; the scores were summed to give a skin test score for each subject. In winter 1981–2 we repeated the tests in 33 men drawn from all three groups of subjects, and found close agreement with the earlier scores. Serum IgE concentrations were measured by the PRIST technique (Phadebas). Total and differential white cell counts were performed with a Hemalog D automated analyser (Technicon Instruments) on the blood of 88 (73%) of the smokers, 49 (67%) of the ex-smokers, and 29 (66%) of the non-smokers; we obtained the use of the Hemalog D from January 1982 onwards.

Statistical analysis was performed using the χ² test with Yates's correction. For data that were distributed normally we used Student's t test, means and standard errors, and Pearson's (r) correlation coefficient; where the distribution was not normal we used Wilcoxon's rank sum test, median and range, and Spearman's (r) correlation coefficient. The distribution of serum total IgE concentrations and peripheral blood absolute eosinophil counts were skewed, and the results were transformed logarithmically for statistical analysis. All the men gave their written consent and the study was approved by the research ethics committee of the Medical School.

**Results**

Smokers, ex-smokers, and non-smokers were similar in their personal and family histories of allergy (table).

The prevalence of positive skin prick test responses was similar in smokers and non-smokers, but significantly higher in ex-smokers than in the two other groups (table), though positive scores were no higher in the ex-smokers. Many of the positive skin prick test scores were low; 50% of smokers, 44% of ex-smokers, and 58% of non-smokers had scores of 2 or less (p > 0·5).

The log_{10} mean (SEM) serum total IgE level was higher in smokers (1·41 (0·07); p = 0·02) and ex-smokers (1·53 (0·10); p = 0·003) with negative skin test responses than in non-smokers (1·12 (0·09);—fig 1), but in men with positive responses the IgE level was similar in the three groups (p > 0·5). The IgE level showed a non-significant trend to be lower in both skin test positive and skin test negative ex-smokers of longer standing. But among men with negative skin prick test responses the IgE level in the 39 ex-smokers of five or more years' duration (log_{10} mean (SEM) 1·53 (0·14)) remained slightly higher than in smokers (1·41 (0·07); p > 0·4) and significantly higher than in non-smokers (1·12
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Prevalence of positive personal and family histories of allergy and of positive skinprick test responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Personal history of allergy</th>
<th>Family history of allergy</th>
<th>Skinprick tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% pos</td>
<td>% neg</td>
<td>% pos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers (S)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smokers (ex-S)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smokers (non-S)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S v non-S</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S v ex-S</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-s v non-S</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not significant (NS) = p > 0.05.

The geometric mean (95% confidence limits) total white blood cell count was higher in smokers (7.34 (6.86–7.86) × 10⁹/l) than in ex-smokers (6.16 (5.66–6.72) × 10⁹/l) or non-smokers (5.82 (5.09–6.65) × 10⁹/l), but no higher in ex-smokers than in non-smokers (S v ex-S and S v non-S p < 0.005; ex-S v non-S p > 0.5—fig. 1).

The frequency distribution of absolute blood eosinophil counts showed an overall shift to higher values in smokers (geometric mean (95% confidence limits) 0.147 (0.126–0.172) × 10⁹/l) compared with ex-smokers (0.103 (0.082–0.130) × 10⁹/l; p = 0.01) and non-smokers (0.100 (0.081–0.124) × 10⁹/l; p = 0.01—figure 2). The mean white cell count was 26% higher and the absolute eosinophil count 47% higher in smokers than in non-smokers, indicating that the eosinophil count was increased disproportionately in smokers. Nevertheless, the percentage of eosinophils did not

Fig 1 Log₁₀ mean value of serum total IgE for smokers (S), ex-smokers (ex-S) and non-smokers (non-S) with positive and negative skinprick test responses to inhaled allergens. Bars indicate +2 SEM.

Fig 2 Distribution of blood total white cell and absolute eosinophil counts (log scales) in smokers, ex-smokers, and non-smokers.
differ significantly between smokers (mean 1.95%) and non-smokers (1.67%, p > 0.3), and neither did that of neutrophils, lymphocytes, or monocytes, though the basophil percentage was higher in smokers (mean 0.30%) than in non-smokers (0.19%, p = 0.03).

The total white cell count was a little higher and the absolute eosinophil count slightly lower in men who smoked more than 20 cigarettes a day than in men who smoked less, but neither trend was significant. There was no relation between serum total IgE and daily cigarette consumption.

Discussion

Our results showed that smokers, ex-smokers, and non-smokers differed significantly on the basis of certain common tests of allergic constitution. Positive skin prick test responses were commoner among ex-smokers. Smokers and ex-smokers with negative skin prick test responses had higher serum total IgE levels than non-smokers, and the peripheral blood eosinophil count in smokers was raised out of proportion to the increase in the total white cell count.

There were no differences between the three groups in respect of personal or family history of allergic disease. Few similar reports are available for comparison. In a community survey,5 a family history of allergy was commoner in smokers than in non-smokers, and most common in ex-smokers. Half the patients studied by Orie et al1 had a family history of allergic disease, and more than 80% were affected personally, but they were investigated because they already had chronic lung disease.

Skin prick test responses were originally performed in 1974, when most of the men who were ex-smokers in 1981–2 were still smoking. As positive skin test responses in 1974 were commoner among men who had given up smoking by 1981–2 than among smokers or non-smokers, it appears that smokers were more likely to give up smoking if they had positive skin prick test responses. Burrows's group found that positive responses were as common among ex-smokers as among non-smokers,10 and that smoking and skin test scores were inversely related,5 but these findings were obtained from a general population survey. The original Dutch studies115 16 using relatively inaccurate manual methods, yielded conflicting results. Our results, derived from automated total and differential counts of 10 000 leucocytes per sample, show higher eosinophil counts in smokers; confidence limits for the absolute eosinophil count by this method are about nine times narrower than those derived from manual counts on comparable samples.43 44

Although the mean absolute eosinophil count we observed in smokers did not qualify as eosinophilia (>0.44 × 10⁹/l),47 occasional smokers have been described with counts of up to 1.7 × 10⁹/l that fell to normal when smoking was stopped and rose when it was resumed.48 49

Though some of these differences in allergic markers between smokers, ex-smokers, and non-smokers were significant, they were small compared with those found in patients with symptomatic asthma; in 12 patients with asthma we found the geometric mean percentage and absolute blood eosinophil count were 5.34% and 0.466 × 10⁹/l respectively, and skin prick test scores in typical patients with extrinsic asthma might be 15–20 or more. Although the mean IgE level was significantly higher in skin test negative smokers than in skin test negative non-smokers, it remained within the nor-
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normal range (less than 122 IU/ml, log_{10} 2-06; Westminster Hospital Protein Reference Unit), and the difference between smokers and non-smokers was smaller than that between skin test positive and negative subjects of either group.

In subjects with asthma increases in blood eosinophils and serum total IgE are generally interpreted as evidence for an "allergic" constitution likely to have predated the onset of symptoms. In contrast, in smokers the small changes in these markers are probably acquired after the onset of smoking. In a companion study on the same men, we found that bronchial reactivity was increased in smokers, but suggest that this change also may be acquired after the onset of smoking. Furthermore, allergic markers were only weakly related to annual rate of decline in lung function in an individual. Thus both studies show changes in smokers which apparently support the Dutch hypothesis: the changes observed may follow rather than precede the onset of smoking and the development of airflow obstruction.
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