Effects of prostaglandins $E_1$, $E_2$, and $F_{2\alpha}$ on mucin secretion from human bronchi in vitro
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ABSTRACT The effects of three prostaglandins on the output of $^{35}$S labelled mucus glycoproteins (mucins), from explants of human bronchial tissue suspended in Ussing chambers, have been investigated. Prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$, added to the Krebs-Henseleit solution bathing both luminal and submucosal sides of the tissue, significantly increased mucin output at concentrations of 0·1 and 1·0 $\mu$g/ml (0·28 and 2·8 $\mu$M), 11 preparations being used for each dose. Since prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ has been shown to be released from human airways challenged by antigen, it may be important in the regulation of mucus secretion in these circumstances. Prostaglandins $E_1$ and $E_2$ had no significant effects on mucin output even at the highest concentration (1·0 $\mu$g/ml), though in half the tissues tested PGE$_2$ stimulated secretion consistently. These results contrast with the findings in a previous study, which showed an inhibition of mucin output by PGE$_2$.

Bronchial hypersensitivity to inhaled irritants and allergens (for example, in asthma) has two major components, bronchomotor and secretory. Human lung tissue when challenged by antigen in vitro releases various prostaglandins into the medium, particularly prostaglandins $E_2$ (PGE$_2$), PGF$_{2\alpha}$, and PGD$_2$.

When applied to explants of human bronchi, PGE$_2$ usually causes them to relax, while PGF$_{2\alpha}$ causes contraction. Prostaglandins have therefore been assumed to mediate part of the bronchomotor effect of antigen challenge; might they also take part in the bronchosecretory response? Lopez-Vidriero et al. showed that inhalation of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ aerosol by normal human subjects increased the amount of sputum expectorated. Close arterial injection of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ into the bronchi of the dog also elicited secretion. Furthermore, prostaglandins $A_2$, $E_1$, $E_2$, and $F_{2\alpha}$ have been shown to increase the output of radiolabelled macromolecules (mucins) from an isolated segment of cat trachea in vivo.

In a more recent study, Marom et al. showed that the output of radiolabelled macromolecules from human airways in vitro was increased by addition of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ and PGD$_2$, but inhibited by PGE$_2$. Their evidence suggested that those prostaglandins which cause bronchoconstriction also increase the rate of secretion; and that PGE$_2$, which generally relaxes the bronchi, inhibits secretion. In view of the earlier finding that PGE$_2$ stimulated mucus secretion in the cat, we decided to compare the effects of three prostaglandins—PGE$_1$, PGE$_2$, and PGF$_{2\alpha}$—on the output of radiolabelled macromolecules from human bronchi in vitro. A preliminary account of some of these results has been published.

Methods

The method we used has been described in detail. A total of 50 pieces of mainstem, lobar, or segmental bronchus removed from 28 patients with bronchial carcinoma were used for this study. So far as could be ascertained macroscopically none of the tissues was invaded by carcinoma. After removal of superfluous connective tissue the pieces of airway were cut longitudinally, opened out flat, and mounted between the two halves of a modified Ussing chamber (internal diameter 6 or 12 mm). Each half chamber was filled with 15 ml Krebs-Henseleit solution containing 1 mg/ml glucose (K-H), circulated by bubbling with 5% carbon dioxide in oxygen, and maintained at 37°C. At the beginning of each experiment 74 MBq of sodium sulphate labelled with sulphur-35 (Amersham PLC) was added to the fluid bathing the submucosal side of each tissue. Samples were then collected from the luminal side of the tissue at timed intervals and...
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replaced with fresh K–H solution. The samples were dialysed exhaustively against distilled water to remove unbound radiolabel and against 6 mol/l urea to dissolve the mucus. The macromolecularly bound $^{35}$S in each sample was then assayed by a liquid scintillation counter. A correction was made for quenching by an external standard channels ratio method, and the output rate of $^{35}$S attached to macromolecules was expressed as Becquerels per minute of the collection period.

The prostaglandins used in this study were PGE$_1$, PGE$_2$, and PGF$_{2\alpha}$ (Prostins E1, E2, and F2$\alpha$, Upjohn Ltd). Each was given three times during an experiment, for 15 minute periods at hourly intervals starting 2.5 hours after addition of the radiotracers. The prostaglandins were added to both the submucosal and the luminal sides of the tissue to give final concentrations of 0-01, 0-1, and 1-0 $\mu$g/ml (0-028, 0-28, and 2-8 $\mu$M). At the end of each stimulation period the fluid was drained from the submucosal half chamber and replaced with K–H, and for the first two concentrations a fresh supply of sodium $^{35}$S-sulphate was also added. PGE$_1$ and PGF$_{2\alpha}$ were supplied as aqueous solutions but PGE$_2$ was dissolved in ethanol. On 22 occasions tissue was used for control experiments in which either K–H or appropriate concentrations of ethanol (ranging from 10 nl to 1 $\mu$l ethanol per ml K–H) were added in place of the drug.

Changes in radiolabelled mucin output were calculated by comparing the output during the period of drug administration with that during the preceding control period. Results are expressed as percentage changes, the median and the range being given. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to test for differences between grouped results and values of $p$ less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

As the radioactive precursor of mucins was present on the submucosal side of the tissue throughout each experiment, there was a rising baseline in output of radiolabelled macromolecules collected from the luminal side. Thus after 2.5, 3.5, and 4.5 hours there were small increases (though not significant ones) in median output from the tissues given K–H as control. When dilute ethanol was used as a control the median changes at the same times in the experiment were not significantly different (table).

Prostaglandin $E_1$ had no effect on the release of radiolabelled mucins even at the highest concentration (table). In six out of 12 tissues PGE$_2$ appeared to cause an increase in secretory rate at both of the two higher doses; but the variability of the results was such that, although the median increases with PGE$_2$ at the two higher doses were greater than those in the appropriate ethanol controls, the differences were not significant (table). Prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$, at the two higher concentrations, significantly increased mucin output and the stimulatory action of the drug often lasted into the following control period (fig).

### Table: Percentage changes in output of mucins labelled with sulphur-35 elicited by three prostaglandins given in increasing doses at hourly intervals, compared with equivalent volumes of control solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prostaglandin dose</th>
<th>0.01 $\mu$g/ml (2.5 h)</th>
<th>0.1 $\mu$g/ml (3.5 h)</th>
<th>1.0 $\mu$g/ml (4.5 h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n Median Range</td>
<td>n Median Range</td>
<td>n Median Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control ethanol</td>
<td>8 +25 +7 to +111 NS*</td>
<td>8 +13 +26 to +44 NS*</td>
<td>8 −13 −67 to +50 NS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control K–H</td>
<td>12 +18 −28 to +39</td>
<td>12 +3 −19 to +41 NS*</td>
<td>11 +4 −27 to +21 NS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGE$_1$</td>
<td>12 +9 −32 to +39 NS*</td>
<td>12 +27 −18 to +86 NS†</td>
<td>10 +34 −25 to +87 NS†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGE$_2$</td>
<td>12 +19 −25 to +100 NS†</td>
<td>12 +27 −42 to +75 &lt;0.025* 11 +39 −16 to +466 &lt;0.01*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGF$_{2\alpha}$</td>
<td>10 +16 −8 to +37 NS*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Compared with K–H control.
†Compared with ethanol control.
Discussion

The finding that PGF$_{xx}$ stimulated the release of mucins is in agreement with previous studies in the cat$^6$ and man.$^7$ Our finding that PGE$_1$ had no significant effect on mucin output confirms the study of Marom et al$^10$ but contrasts with its apparent effectiveness in the cat trachea.$^9$ Marom et al found that PGE$_2$ at doses of 0.01 $\mu$M (0.0035 $\mu$g/ml) and 1 $\mu$M (0.35 $\mu$g/ml) significantly inhibited radiolabelled mucin output from human bronchi but we were unable to confirm this finding: 0.1 $\mu$g/ml (0.28 $\mu$M) PGE$_2$ had no significant effect on mucin output. At none of the concentrations tested was there any evidence that PGE$_2$ inhibited secretion. Our results indicate that it may even stimulate mucin secretion from some tissues but the variability of results is too great to permit any firm conclusion. Marom et al, curiously, discovered no significant inhibition at the highest doses they used (10 and 100 $\mu$M), a fact for which they offered no explanation.

The apparent discrepancy with regard to PGE$_2$ between our results and those of Marom et al may be explained by differences in experimental methods or analysis of results. Firstly, the numbers of experiments in their study were generally smaller than in ours, and their results may have been swayed by idiosyncratic responses. They presented no evidence to show that their data were normally distributed so the parametric statistical tests they used may have been inappropriate. Secondly, their tissues were incubated for at least 32 hours before prostaglandins were tested for the first time and tests continued until tissues had been in culture for up to seven days. During that time the condition of the tissues may have deteriorated. Prostaglandins have been shown to inhibit antigen induced degranulation of mast cells.$^{13}$ During the prolonged incubation mast cells may have released mediators which raised the baseline output of radiolabelled mucins; so addition of PGE$_2$, by preventing mediator release, could have reversed this effect. Unfortunately, the baseline data given by Marom et al are difficult to interpret. Thirdly, in their study the prostaglandin was added for four hours compared with 15 minutes in the present study. If the onset of inhibition of secretion was slow the shorter stimulus time might have prevented its detection. One further possibility is that PGE$_2$ has a biphasic action consisting of a brief stimulation followed by a prolonged inhibition. This hypothesis would explain the apparent contradiction between the results discussed here, the brief stimulation causing excitation and the longer stimulation resulting in inhibition.

Collier and Gardiner$^{14}$ have proposed that there are two types of prostaglandin receptors on mali-}
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