Reproducibility of histamine challenge tests in asthmatic children
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**ABSTRACT** The measurement of bronchial reactivity by histamine challenge testing is of increasing clinical importance in paediatrics. By means of a simple tidal breathing technique for the measurement of histamine sensitivity (expressed as PC20—the concentration of histamine which produces a 20% fall in peak flow rate) in childhood asthma, the reproducibility of pairs of tests was estimated over one hour and 24-hour intervals in 22 children. Under carefully controlled conditions the 95% confidence limits of PC20 were 0.8–1.25 × baseline PC20 after one hour and 0.36–2.8 × baseline PC20 after 24 hours.

Increased bronchial sensitivity to a wide variety of inhaled substances is a characteristic feature of asthma.1–4 Histamine solution, inhaled as an aerosol, may act on irritant receptors inducing bronchospasm via vagal reflexes5 or may act directly on bronchial smooth muscle.6 Whatever the mechanism, the use of histamine inhalation tests to measure bronchial reactivity has gained acceptance and their use in clinical practice, epidemiology, and research in asthma is becoming increasingly important.

In adult asthmatic patients histamine challenge tests carried out at intervals varying from 30 minutes to one week are highly reproducible,7–9 although some patients may develop tolerance to multiple histamine challenges.8,10,11 Recently we devised a technique for studying the effect of various food substances on bronchial reactivity in asthmatic children, using multiple histamine challenges.12 The significance of any change in bronchial reactivity brought about by exposure to a suspect food substance (or any other potential asthma-provoking agent) can be determined only with a knowledge of the reproducibility of the histamine challenge test. In this paper we report the reproducibility of the histamine challenge test in children.

**Methods**

The study group consisted of 22 asthmatic children, 18 of whom were boys. Their mean age was 11 years (range 6–17 years). Asthmatic symptoms were well controlled with medication in all patients, as judged by the history and by the fact that the mean baseline peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) was 93% of the predicted value.13 Patients with a recent history of upper respiratory tract infection or vaccination were excluded from the study. The children attended the laboratory on two consecutive days at the same time of day. Beta-agonists and sodium cromoglycate were discontinued for at least eight hours and sustained-release aminophylline for 24 hours before each study period. None of the patients was taking antihistamines or oral corticosteroids.

After the baseline PEFR had been recorded with a Wright peak flow meter (Aimed), the maximum value from three attempts being accepted, a histamine challenge test was performed according to the method described by Cockcroft et al.3 After a two-minute inhalation of a control solution of 0.9% phosphate buffered saline, increasing concentrations of buffered histamine solution were inhaled by tidal breathing for two minutes each, at five-minute intervals, from a Wright’s nebuliser and mouthpiece. The PEFR was measured 30, 90, and 180 seconds after the end of each inhalation. The procedure continued with doubling concentrations of histamine (from 0.63 mg/ml to a maximum concentration of 16 mg/ml) until at least a 20% fall in PEFR from a control value was recorded. A dose-response curve was constructed and the dose which caused a 20% fall in PEFR (PC20) was calculated by interpolation. Thereafter PEFR was measured serially for the next 60 minutes, by which time baseline values were
Reproducibility of histamine challenge tests in asthmatic children

reached. Histamine challenge tests were repeated, in exactly the same way as the first, after intervals of one and 24 hours. Throughout the study each patient used the same nebuliser.

Statistical analysis was performed after logarithmic transformation of individual PC20 values. Paired t tests were used to determine the significance of differences between pairs of values of PC20.

Results

Mean values of PEFR were similar before each pair of tests. Only two patients had PEFR values of less than 80% of the predicted value. For each test interval (one hour and 24 hours), baseline PEFR had changed by over 20% in only two of the 22 patients. For the group of children no correlation was found between baseline PEFR (expressed as a proportion of the predicted value) and the PC20.

There was no significant difference in mean PC20 values for the tests carried out after intervals of one and 24 hours. The PC20 values were highly reproducible, with a correlation coefficient of 0.99 at one hour (fig 1) and 0.81 at 24 hours (fig 2). The mean difference of the log PC20 from the initial value was 0.114 (±0.047) mg/ml at one hour and 0.053 (±0.22) mg/ml at 24 hours. The 95% confidence limits for PC20 are 0.8–1.25 × baseline PC20 after one hour and 0.36–2.8 × baseline PC20 after 24 hours.

Discussion

This study has shown that histamine inhalation tests repeated after intervals of one and 24 hours are very reproducible in asthmatic children. These results confirm the work of others on adult asthma. Ruffin et al, using a method similar to ours, found that PC20 was highly reproducible at intervals ranging from 30 to 120 minutes and after up to four histamine challenges a day, although Schoeffel et al had suggested that a few individuals might develop tolerance to challenge tests repeated at 40-minute intervals.

We have shown a higher degree of reproducibility after an interval of one hour (r = 0.997) than after 24 hours (r = 0.81). Factors that may alter bronchial histamine sensitivity include inconsistent generation of aerosol by different nebulisers, alterations in the method of inhalation (that is, tidal breathing versus vital capacity breathing), testing at different times of the day, variations in initial airflow obstruction, respiratory infection, vaccination, allergen exposure, smoking, and medication. We controlled all these variables, although medication was easier to control at one hour than at 24 hours and this could be a possible explanation for the larger differences in PC20 noted in three of our patients after a 24-hour interval.

Multiple histamine challenge studies on individuals would allow the estimation of confidence limits, which would in turn permit a more sensitive assess-
The PC_{20} test is sufficiently simple and reproducible in children to be of clinical value in detecting altered bronchial reactivity after exposure to test agents. This work is part of a project supported by the Asthma Research Council.
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