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ABSTRACT Longitudinal loss of lung function in 1677 coal-miners from five British collieries has been calculated from the results of serial cross-sectional epidemiological surveys and compared with measured concurrent individual respirable dust exposures and partially estimated previous cumulative exposures. Loss of forced expired volume in one second (FEV₁) over approximately 11 years was found to increase with previous cumulative dust exposure after allowing for the effects of age, height, smoking, and overall colliery differences. This relationship was found to hold with concurrent dust exposure only when colliery differences were ignored. These results confirm by direct measurement inferences drawn indirectly from previous cross-sectional studies of the relationship between FEV₁ and dust exposure.

Cross-sectional epidemiological surveys of British coal-miners have demonstrated an inverse relationship between forced expired volume in one second (FEV₁) and cumulative exposure to respirable mixed coal-mine dust, independently of the presence of pneumoconiosis,¹ suggesting that respirable dust exposure might be related to rate of decline of lung function in excess of that attributable to aging and smoking.

This cross-sectional type of analysis has limitations in demonstrating relationships with loss of FEV₁ over time, because all measurements are made on different individuals, at essentially the same time point; the inferences made from such studies concerning loss of FEV₁ can therefore only be indirect. A longitudinal study, on the other hand, deals with direct observations of individual men's data at several different times and the inferences made about loss of FEV₁ are therefore based on observed losses.

The aim of the present study was to re-examine the findings of Rogan et al¹ by analysing the observed losses of FEV₁ in a group of miners seen in serial cross-sectional epidemiological surveys over a 10 to 12 year period. Individual losses of FEV₁ in men examined in three such surveys during this period have been analysed and compared with individual measured dust exposures during the same period and with partially estimated previous cumulative dust exposure before the study period.

Methods

Medical surveys were carried out at about five-year intervals at each colliery forming part of the National Coal Board's Pneumoconiosis Field Research between 1957 and 1973. Lung function data from five of these collieries have been examined for the present study. The time between the first and third surveys at these five collieries ranged from 10 to 12 years. The collieries have been identified by the code letters C, F, K, W, and X.

MEDICAL SURVEY MEASUREMENTS

During each medical survey a short questionnaire of respiratory symptoms and smoking history was administered by a trained clerk° and forced expired volume in one second (FEV₁) was measured using a modified Gaensler spirometer. The mean of three technically satisfactory forced expirations, after one practice blow, was used for the analysis. Forced vital capacity (FVC) was also measured at some collieries but since data on FVC were incomplete they have not been analysed here. A full size chest radiograph was taken by a standard technique. Standing height without shoes was also measured to the nearest centimetre.

STUDY POPULATION

Attendances at the first surveys were over 95% at all the collieries. Of 6191 men who were seen at the first survey 2025 men attended the second and third surveys. From these were excluded 225 men under 30 years of age at the time of the second survey (in order to exclude younger men who were still growing
at the first survey). Also excluded were 49 men whose chest radiographs at any of the surveys were thought to show progressive massive fibrosis, and a further 74 men, whose replies to the questions on smoking at the three surveys were logically inconsistent (for example, smoked at first survey but denied ever smoking at second or third surveys) or who had started smoking during the study period. There remained in the study sample 1677 men.

**DUST EXPOSURE MEASUREMENTS**

Beginning between 1953 and 1957, and throughout the period of study, detailed records of each man's type and place of work underground during his working life were maintained. Measurements of airborne respirable dust (mainly particles <5 μm diameter) had been made at these collieries for the same period, as previously described, and estimates of conditions existing before measurements began were made by assuming similar conditions to those existing during the first 10 years of measurement. On the basis of these measurements and estimates, and the occupational histories, each man's cumulative mixed respirable mine dust exposure during and before the period of study were calculated as described previously.

**METHODS OF ANALYSIS**

The change in FEV<sub>1</sub> was found by subtracting mean FEV<sub>1</sub> at the third survey from that at the first survey. Since the intervening period ranged from about 10 to 12 years at the different collieries, these changes were standardised proportionally to a period of 11 years; the estimated rate of loss of FEV<sub>1</sub> in 11 years, \( \Delta \text{FEV}_1 \), was defined as:

\[
\text{1st FEV}_1 - 3\text{rd FEV}_1 \times \frac{11}{\text{years between surveys}}
\]

A mean level of FEV<sub>1</sub> standardised to five and a half years after the first survey was defined as 1st FEV<sub>1</sub> – \( \frac{1}{2} \Delta \text{FEV}_1 \). The total of each man's dust exposure for the intervening period was similarly standardised to correspond to an 11-year period—this quantity is referred to as "concurrent exposure".

Men were classified as non-smokers (that is, less than one cigarette per day for one year), ex-smokers, or smokers on the basis of consistent replies at each survey. A man whose smoking classification was the same at all three surveys was defined as "non-smoker", "ex-smoker", or "current smoker". Of the remaining, men whose classifications were any combination of "current smoker" and "ex-smoker" were combined into an "intermittent smoker" group.

Loss of FEV<sub>1</sub> was analysed by fitting to \( \Delta \text{FEV}_1 \) sequential multiple linear regression models in which the explanatory variables were age, height, smoking category, standardised FEV<sub>1</sub> level, and concurrent and previous dust exposures. Differences in loss of FEV<sub>1</sub> attributable only to the colliery in which the man was working were also included in some analyses.

**Results**

Details of the study population of 1677 men are given in table 1. Mean losses of FEV<sub>1</sub> in men grouped according to age and smoking habits are set out in table 2, which also includes details of concurrent and previous dust exposures. With the minor exception of the ex-smoking group, whose
numbers are fairly small, it is clear that loss of FEV₁ increases with age. Previous dust exposure, which is the summation of products of time worked and dust concentration levels, also rises with age. Concurrent dust exposure, on the other hand, falls with age, probably because older men tend to move away from the relatively dusty jobs at the coalface to elsewhere underground. There is also a clear indication of increased loss of FEV₁ with smoking habit. Ex-smokers' losses tended to fall between those of non-smokers and smokers. Intermittent and current smokers appear to have very similar losses; by definition, all the "intermittent" smokers were smoking at some point during the study period.

Colliery average concurrent and previous dust exposures are shown in Table 3, the previous cumulative exposures being considerably greater than the concurrent exposures.

Multiple regression analysis showed that age, height, and smoking each made a strong contribution to explaining loss of FEV₁. There were also systematic differences in loss of FEV₁ between collieries. After adjustment for these factors, rate of loss of FEV₁ was found to increase significantly with increasing previous dust exposure. There was no evidence that the slope of the regression of ΔFEV₁ on previous dust exposure varied between collieries. Regression coefficients from the analysis including both mean colliery differences and previous dust exposure are shown in Table 4.

In studies of this kind an inverse relationship is to be expected between rate of loss of FEV₁ and FEV₁ level. This effect was observed in the present data but the inclusion of standardised FEV₁ as an explanatory variable did not seriously effect the magnitude or statistical significance of the estimated relationship between loss of FEV₁ and previous dust exposure.

Tests of the equality between the smoking categories of the regression coefficients of ΔFEV₁ on age, height, and FEV₁ level were carried out. None of these proved significant, and it was concluded there was no evidence that non-parallel regressions need be fitted.

Further analyses were performed replacing previous with concurrent dust exposure. Loss of FEV₁ was found to be positively related to concurrent dust exposure only if colliery differences were ignored.

Inclusion of all the factors shown in Table 4 accounted for just over 6% of the total variation (R²) in loss of FEV₁, the remainder being unexplained. This was typical of all other similar regression analyses carried out, none of them explaining more than 7% of the total variation.

Predicted losses of FEV₁ over 11 years shown in Table 5 are based on results from the analysis summarised in Table 4. These figures are estimates of responses that might be expected on average in miners who have experienced the levels of factors indicated, and the standard errors refer to such estimates of average responses. The high level of residual variability in the data, referred to above, implies that the average predicted response is a poor guide to the likely response of an individual miner. For instance, the predicted response, from

Table 3 Mean cumulative dust exposures (gh/m³) for men at each colliery. Ranges of observed values shown in brackets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colliery</th>
<th>Number of men</th>
<th>Previous exposures</th>
<th>Concurrent exposures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>69 (1-3-169)</td>
<td>40 (5-0-89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>235 (1-3-628)</td>
<td>59 (8-6-160)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>103 (7-4-325)</td>
<td>43 (7-4-99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>116 (3-5-338)</td>
<td>37 (3-7-99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>110 (4-8-247)</td>
<td>58 (7-1-120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1677</td>
<td>117 (0)</td>
<td>47 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Regression model for loss of FEV₁ (litres). R² = 6.1%. Residual SD = 0.364 l

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression coefficient</th>
<th>Group mean differences</th>
<th>t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>0.00344</td>
<td>2.52*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>0.00535</td>
<td>3.69**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smokers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smokers</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent smokers</td>
<td>0.0111</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colliery C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>-0.124</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous dust exposure (gh/m³)</td>
<td>-0.00036</td>
<td>2.39*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-0.669</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These figures represent the mean differences expected for each smoking or colliery group relative to non-smokers and colliery C respectively; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001.
table 4, for an individual non-smoker from colliery C, aged 45 years, 170 cm tall, and with 120 gh/m³ previous exposure would be a loss of 439 ml FEV₁ over 11 years. The 95% confidence interval for the prediction of this individual’s response ranges from a gain of 280 to a loss of 1100 ml.

Table 5 shows that exposure to the average level of dust recorded for the 1677 men studied (117 gh/m³) is associated with about 40 ml loss in FEV₁ over the subsequent 11 years. The highest previous exposure occurring in our data was 628 gh/m³, and this would be associated with 220 ml FEV₁ loss over 11 years. Current regulations governing levels of airborne dust in British coal-mines stipulate that the highest average concentration in the return airway from the coalface must not exceed 7 mg/m³. On this basis the maximum lifetime exposure for miners entering the industry since 1977 is not likely to exceed 245 gh/m³. Our results indicate that such an exposure would, on average, result in an 87 ml loss of FEV₁ during the subsequent 11 years.

Discussion

Data from serial cross-sectional medical surveys of 1677 working miners have been examined to compare decline in lung function, assessed by the loss of FEV₁, over an approximately 11-year period, with measured concurrent and partially estimated previous dust exposures. Loss of FEV₁ was found to be related to age, height, and smoking habits, and to the cumulative lifetime exposure to mixed coal-mine dust occurring before the study period. The results confirm that longitudinal loss of lung function increases with exposure to coal-mine dust, an effect previously suggested by the cross-sectional study of Rogan et al.,¹ in which level of FEV₁ was found to be inversely related to dust exposure. The magnitude of the predicted effect of the average exposure to dust on rate of loss of FEV₁ appeared to be about one-third of the average loss attributable to smoking, though the predicted mean effect at high dust exposures was similar to that of smoking and could be of clinical importance. By its very nature the study population only included men who remained at work in the collieries during the period of study, and it is not known to what extent possible self-selection of men out of the industry might have influenced the apparent size of the dust and other effects on loss of FEV₁. If men had left the industry before and during the study because of respiratory ill health, then it is likely that the average rate of loss of FEV₁, has been underestimated. A subsequent study has been undertaken to follow-up men who have left the industry and the results will be reported when it has been completed.

Because all the men in the study remained as working miners, we should expect their loss of FEV₁ over the study period to be affected by all the exposure up to the end of the study (although exposures experienced in the later period obviously could not have influenced the rates of loss in an earlier period). There are difficulties in attempting to ascertain the time at which an exposure has an effect on lung function. The previous dust exposures are essentially the product of time worked and the dust concentrations prevailing during those periods. Some degree of correlation is likely between these concentrations and those experienced during the study period, at least partly because the average dust conditions within one mine often remain reasonably stable over periods of time. A comparison of the previous and concurrent dust exposures in table 3 suggests that some correlation is present.

The apparent relationship between loss of FEV₁ and concurrent dust exposure may be the result in part of some such correlation. The fact that this effect was not seen when colliery differences were included in the analysis supports this suggestion. The differences between collieries may result from a number of factors—such as concentration of dust and its mineral composition, regional and climatic variation—but these factors cannot be separated in the analysis. However, the relationship between loss of FEV₁ and previous dust exposure was apparent even after adjustment for these colliery differences.

It should be noted that a large part of the total variation of loss of FEV₁ was unexplained and that even the best fitting statistical model accounted for only about 7% of the variation. Measurement error was likely to have contributed to the variation even though the measurements at all the surveys were made by technicians trained and tested for reproducibility and comparability, using the same two identical spirometers for all measurements. Furthermore, individuals are likely to show short-term variations in FEV₁ as a result of such factors as temporary illness, circadian changes, and vari- ations in effort and technique. It is likely that this apparently large short-term variation represents at least in part a real biological variability which should be distinguished in studies such as these from chronic long-term, presumably irreversible, loss of lung function. Large unexplained variation of loss of FEV₁ in longitudinal studies has been found by other workers.⁵ ⁶

The pathological basis of this loss of FEV₁ has not been studied in this work. Men with progressive massive fibrosis were excluded from the study, and although men with simple pneumoconiosis were not excluded, there is no evidence that this radiologi- cal abnormality is associated with reduced
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FEV\textsubscript{1} after allowing for the direct effects of dust exposure.\textsuperscript{1,7} The loss of FEV\textsubscript{1} was likely to have been the result of chronic airflow obstruction, probably caused by varying combinations of bronchial disease and emphysema. However, the obstructive nature of the loss related to dust exposure has not been established conclusively by this study.

We would like to acknowledge the work of MD Attfield who had begun a previous analysis of some of these data. We would also like to thank Dr CA Soutar and Dr M Jacobsen for helpful and extensive criticisms and comments.
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