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Sobol, B. J., Van Voorhies, L., and Emirgil, C. (1977). Thorax, 32, 312–316. Detection of acute effects of cigarette smoking on airway dynamics: A critical and comparative study of pulmonary function tests. In an effort to determine which measure of airway dynamics was the most sensitive to airway obstruction, comparisons were made between a variety of tests. Twenty cigarette smokers were studied both before and immediately after smoking a cigarette. The maximum midexpiratory flow (FEV_{25-75}) and the FEV_{1}/FVC per cent were abnormal in the largest number of cases. Closing volume was abnormal in only one case. Significant worsening in function after smoking a cigarette occurred in airway resistance and specific conductance. A lesser degree of impairment in airway dynamics was evident from FEV_{25-75} and first-second expired volume. The closing volume showed no change.

If the early detection of lung disease associated with airways obstruction is to be a feasible undertaking in large groups of asymptomatic subjects, it is important to determine which test or tests are the most sensitive for this purpose. For screening or survey purposes the test must also be non-invasive and fairly rapidly and easily performed. One test which has received enthusiastic endorsement for this purpose has been the closing volume (CV). It fits the criteria mentioned above and is considered superior to standard spirometric techniques by a variety of workers (McCarthy et al., 1972; Buist et al., 1973; Buist and Ross, 1973a, b; Buist, 1973; Devins and Gunn, 1974). However, this view has not been universally held (Gelb and Zamel, 1973; McFadden et al., 1974; Cochrane et al., 1974). In an effort to determine which of the several tests suitable for screening is most sensitive for the detection of airway obstruction, 20 cigarette smokers were subjected to a battery of tests before and after smoking a cigarette.

Material and methods

All 20 subjects were paid volunteers recruited from personnel within the hospital or from their friends outside the hospital. All but two of the subjects were currently smoking cigarettes, one was an ex-smoker, and one woman had switched to a pipe. One was five months' pregnant. The number of cigarettes smoked and the duration of smoking among the smokers varied widely—from 5 to 40 cigarettes per day, and from 0·75 to 102 pack years. No effort was made to select subjects on the basis of a history, or lack thereof, of pulmonary disease or respiratory symptoms.

The subjects were requested not to smoke for a minimum of one hour before arrival in the laboratory. Three series of tests were administered before and after the smoking of a cigarette. The order of the tests was determined from a table of random numbers and was the same before and after smoking the cigarette. After administration of the tests the subject was asked to smoke a cigarette which he did in his usual fashion. No instructions were given regarding the number of puffs, depth of inhalation or the like. However, all subjects inhaled. The three tests were then repeated. During the cigarette smoking or before the first series of tests a modified British Medical Research Council questionnaire was administered.

The three tests consisted of the measurement of spirometry, single breath nitrogen washout (closing volume technique), and body plethysmography. Spirometry was performed in the standing position.
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with the nose occluded. The spirometer used was a Collins Survey Spirometer with a potentiometer connected to the bell. The potentiometer was connected to a Wang 600 computer via an A-D converter. A permanent graphic record was obtained from the spirometer for each expiratory effort. A minimum of three expiratory efforts properly performed were obtained, the largest two having to agree within 200 ml of each other. For each expiratory effort the computer calculated and printed the value of the forced vital capacity (FVC), the forced expiratory volume (FEV) for 1, 2, and 3 seconds (FEV1, FEV2, FEV3) and the percent of each of the FVC (FEV1%, FEV2%, FEV3%), the maximum mid-expiratory flow (FEV25-75), and the maximum expiratory flow rate (FEV200-1200). In order to determine the rate of flow during the terminal portion of the expiratory effort, two additional measurements were made, the flow rate during the last 10% (to the nearest 100 ml) of the FVC (FVC10%) and the flow rate between the FEV2 and FEV3 (FEV2-3). Also measured was the total duration (FET) of the largest FVC. The largest FVC was taken as the subject’s true FVC, FEV2, FEV1%, FEV2%, and FEV25-75 were taken from this FVC. The largest FEV200-1200 and FEV1 were taken to represent the true value.

The single breath nitrogen washout for the determination of the CV and CV/VC×100 (CV/VC) and the slope of the alveolar plateau was performed with the subject seated and the nose occluded. It was performed by the resident gas technique, the subject starting with an expiration to residual volume, inhaling 100% oxygen to total lung capacity, and then exhaling at a rate of 200 to 400 ml per second to residual volume. Expired nitrogen was analysed by means of a mass spectrometer (Medspec). Volume was determined by means of a rolling seal spirometer (Cardiopulmonary Instruments) and both were plotted on an X-Y plotter (Hewlett Packard). The flow output of the spirometer was connected to a meter which indicated the rate of flow. The subject watched the meter during expiration and maintained his expiratory flow at the desired level by this means. Curves obtained with flow rates which were higher than specified or erratic were discarded. Two properly performed efforts where the vital capacities agreed within 5% of each other were obtained. CV and CV/VC were determined from the larger of the VCs. The point at which phase III ended and phase IV began was identified by drawing a line of best fit along the alveolar plateau, noting the point at which the line departed from the plateau in an upward direction for the last time.

Plethysmography was performed by standard techniques (DuBois et al., 1956a; DuBois et al., 1956b) with modification (Sobol, 1969). Airway resistance (Rw) and functional residual capacity (FRC) were measured and specific conductance (SGw) calculated. The volume used for the SGw was the volume at which Rw was measured (Vg) and not the FRC. The series of tests took about 20 minutes. The post-cigarette series was more rapid because the subject was familiar with the procedures. The total time spent in the laboratory usually did not exceed an hour.

The limits of normal for the tests used were previously determined on normal subjects in this laboratory. The values for spirometric functions (FVC, FEV1, FEV1%, FEV25-75) have been published (Sobol et al., 1973). The limits of normal for plethysmographic functions in this laboratory are: Rw < 2.0 kPa l-1 s-1 and for SGw > 0.155 kPa-1. No values for the limits of normal for the other functions tested are available in this laboratory. The limits for CV/VC were taken from Buist et al. (1973). The Student t test was used to determine the significance of differences before and after cigarette smoking.

Results

There were 13 women and 7 men. They averaged 30 years of age with a range of 19 to 60. Fourteen of the subjects were below the age of 30 and half were 25 or less. Although subjects were not chosen with respect to clinical lung disease, there was a high incidence of respiratory symptoms among them. Six subjects gave a history of shortness of breath while hurrying on the level or walking up a slight hill, and one subject stated that she had shortness of breath while walking with others on the level. Two subjects had a chronic cough and one had sputum production. Five gave a history of wheezing and one said she had asthma. Three said they had chronic bronchitis or episodic bouts of bronchitis. One subject said that she had infrequent episodes of allergic bronchospasm and she was having an attack during this study. In all, only one half of the subjects were entirely free of respiratory complaints.

Before smoking a cigarette the FEV25-75 was abnormal in six subjects, the FEV1% in five, SGw in three, and Rw in three. FEV1 was abnormal in only one case and FVC in none.

The Table lists those functions in which the change after smoking one cigarette was significant
at \( p \leq 0.05 \). Plethysmographic functions were most sensitive to the changes produced by cigarette smoke. \( \text{R}_{\text{aw}} \) rose 20\% on average, and two additional patients became abnormal. \( \text{SG}_{\text{aw}} \) also changed significantly; this was due almost exclusively to the change in \( \text{R}_{\text{aw}} \) since thoracic gas volume did not change significantly. However, FRC rose significantly.

The measures of expiratory flow showed a significant worsening in the \( \text{FEV}_1 \), \( \text{FEV}_{25-75} \), and \( \text{FEV}_{10-80\%} \) while \( \text{FEV}_2 \), \( \text{FEV}_3 \), and their percents of the FVC did not change nor did \( \text{FEV}_{25-76} \). \( \text{FEV}_{200-1200} \) did not quite reach a level of statistical significance, \( p = 0.07 \). The alveolar plateau, as determined during the CV manoeuvre, ie, the slope of the best fit line of phase III rather than the delta 750–1250 ml, showed no significant change after smoking. Assuming the delta \( N_2 \% \) of 1.2\%/500 ml as the upper limit of normal (Sobol et al., 1968) to be applicable to the slope of phase III, then three subjects had abnormal \( N_2 \) slopes before smoking a cigarette; after smoking the cigarette one subject each got better, got worse, and showed no change. No additional subjects became abnormal after smoking a cigarette.

The CV/VC was abnormal in only one subject (No. 17) before smoking the cigarette, and this subject was in the midst of an attack of allergic bronchospasm. Comparison before and after smoking the cigarette showed an average change in CV of 2 ml and CV/VC of 0.002. In both instances \( p > 0.09 \).

**Discussion**

This study again points out the sensitivity of the \( \text{FEV}_{25-75} \) and the \( \text{FEV}_{10-80\%} \) (at least using the criteria for normal previously proposed—Sobol et al., 1973) and the insensitivity of the \( \text{FEV}_1 \) for the detection of airway obstruction. As one might expect, the sensitivity of these simple measures of flow rate exceeded that of the body plethysmograph. However, what is most striking is the poor sensitivity of the CV and CV/VC. Only one subject was abnormal and no additional subjects became abnormal after smoking a cigarette. As previously suggested, these differences might lie in the sensitivity of the tests themselves or might

### Table: Pulmonary function before and after smoking in 20 subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>( \text{FEV}_1 )</th>
<th>( \text{FEV}_{25-75} )</th>
<th>( \text{FEV}_{10-80%} )</th>
<th>( \text{R}_{\text{aw}} )</th>
<th>( \text{SG}_{\text{aw}} )</th>
<th>FRC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 1 )</td>
<td>( 2 )</td>
<td>( 1 )</td>
<td>( 2 )</td>
<td>( 1 )</td>
<td>( 2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Mean | \( 3.25 \) | \( 3.20 \) | \( 3.44 \) | \( 3.24 \) | \( 84 \) | \( 76 \) | \( 1.26 \) | \( 1.49 \) | \( 0.284 \) | \( 0.237 \) | \( 2.96 \) | \( 3.13 \) |

| Change | \( -2 \) | \( -4 \) | \( -9 \) | \( +20 \) | \( +16 \) | \( +6 \) |

| \( p < 0.025 \) | \( < 0.025 \) | \( < 0.025 \) | \( < 0.005 \) | \( < 0.005 \) | \( < 0.005 \) |

**FEV**: forced expiratory volume in one second, litres.  
**FEV**\(_{25-75}\): maximum mid-expiratory flow, litres/second.  
**FEV**\(_{10-80\%}\): flow in the last 10\% of the FVC, ml/s.  
**R**\(_{aw}\): airway resistance, kPa l\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\).  
**SG**\(_{aw}\): specific conductance, s\(^{-1}\) kPa\(^{-1}\).  
**FRC**: functional residual capacity, litres.  

*Bold text: beyond the limits of normal.  
\( * \)Normal values available in this laboratory.  
+ Record lost; calculation could not be made.  
Percentages are the average of the individual changes, not the percent differences of the averages. They are rounded off to the nearest whole number.
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be due to the level at which abnormality is set (Sobol, 1976). The latter problem is eliminated when a group of subjects are compared before and after a bronchoconstrictor, in this case cigarette smoke. The sensitivity of the test is then determined by the magnitude of the percent change as well as the statistical significance of the change. When such a comparison is made, R_w and SG_w are the most sensitive to increased bronchial obstruction, measures of flow rate also being significant at the 5% level.

FEV_\text{%}, which is a useful test in the detection of airway obstruction, did not demonstrate significant changes after cigarette smoking. The reason for this is that the percent decline in FVC and FEV_1 was quite similar, r=0.77, so that there was no significant change in their ratio FEV_1/FVC. There was absolutely no change in CV and CV/VC. It is likely that closing capacity, although not measured, also did not change. The failure of these tests to reflect the bronchoconstriction evident by other measurements of airway dynamics, particularly R_w, might suggest that the site of the effects of cigarette smoke is limited to the large airways. However, such a conclusion poses two problems.

It has certainly been widely accepted that early airway obstruction in the small airways is not detectable by the measurement of R_w. This is due to the fact that only a small portion of the total R_w is contributed by the small airways and only significant obstruction in these airways would be manifest as an abnormal R_w. However, there has as yet been no work demonstrating that a change in R_w in the small airways is not demonstrable statistically. Thus a small change in R_w as demonstrated in these subjects does not identify the location of the bronchoconstriction and could well represent bronchoconstriction in the small airways.

The second problem in locating the site of airway obstruction is the failure of the CV to change. This failure could be ascribed to the fact that cigarette smoke affects the large airways only and CV is sensitive only to small airway obstruction. However, FEV_{25-75}, which has traditionally been thought to reflect small airway disease, changed significantly. Furthermore, FEV_{10%}, used here to measure terminal air flow, also changed significantly. Since terminal air flow is supposed to reflect the status of the small airways there was an indication of small airways constriction. The failure of the CV to detect changes in airway dynamics has been noted before (DaSilva and Hamosh, 1973). However, to date there has been no satisfactory explanation why this should be so.

In view of the fact that the CV requires more expensive equipment and more precise ventilatory manoeuvres on the part of the patient than the forced expiratory volume, there seems little advantage in its use for screening purposes. The work presented here suggests that, despite all its known drawbacks, spirometry still provides a better, more rapid, and simpler screening technique than the closing volume measurements.

We acknowledge our indebtedness to Kathleen M. Kaplan for help in the preparation of this manuscript.
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