Metabolic response to intravenous salbutamol therapy in acute asthma
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Increased plasma non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) concentrations have been associated with myocardial arrhythmias (Oliver, 1972). Such increases have been reported to follow the intravenous injection in normal subjects of the β₂ sympathomimetic drug salbutamol (Goldberg et al., 1975). These findings led to the suggestion that this drug could be dangerous when used for asthmatic patients already subjected to the hypoxia and tachycardia of the disease itself (British Medical Journal, 1975; Goldberg et al., 1975). A clinical trial of salbutamol therapy (to be reported elsewhere) afforded us the opportunity to examine plasma NEFA concentrations, and those of associated metabolites, in relation to the intravenous use of this drug in asthma.

Subjects and methods

Thirty-six patients admitted with acute asthma, unresponsive to their usual treatment, were studied. All had a sample of venous blood collected before being treated with an initial standard regimen consisting of a single slow intravenous bolus of aminophylline (5 mg/kg) given while the patient received oxygen, and two administrations each of 5 mg salbutamol by intermittent positive pressure breathing; there was a period of at least 15 min between each treatment. After the second dose of salbutamol (1 h after the start of treatment) a second blood sample was collected. Twenty patients in whom an adequate therapeutic response was obtained formed a control group and from these a further blood sample was collected 4 h after their admission. Sixteen patients who did not show an adequate therapeutic improvement, as judged by the overall clinical response, were placed on an intravenous infusion of salbutamol at the rate of 10 μg/min. This group of patients had further blood samples collected 1 and 4 h after the start of the infusion. At a later date, after resolution of the attack, a fasting blood sample was obtained from all patients.

The patients studied and the severity of their asthma on admission are summarised in Table 1. Eight of the control group and five of the infusion group were taking oral prednisone before admission. Eighteen subjects in the control group and 15 in the infusion group were on regular salbutamol medication. On admission to hospital corticosteroids were prescribed for all subjects except three of the control group. In the 4 h following the completion of the initial regimen neither group received any bronchodilator therapy additional to the salbutamol given to the infusion group.

After collection, the blood samples were centri-
Table 1 Details of patients on admission (mean ±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Age (year)</th>
<th>Sex (M/F)</th>
<th>Ht (cm)</th>
<th>Values on admission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Arterial blood</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>pH</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>39±3-9</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7-40±0-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salbutamol infusion</td>
<td>37±3-9</td>
<td>8/8</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>7-38±0-004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PEFR = peak expiratory flow rate.
HR = heart rate (beats per minute).
RR = respiratory rate (breaths per minute).

Results

The 20 subjects in the control group and the 16 allocated to salbutamol were all matched for age, sex, and height. Their arterial blood gas values, peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR), heart rate, and respiratory rate on admission were also similar (Table 1). After the initial standard regimen those subjects considered to have improved sufficiently not to require an intravenous infusion of salbutamol (the control group) had increased their PEFR from 111 l/min (SEM±10-2) to 220 l/min (SEM ±14-3), while those judged to have responded poorly (the salbutamol group) showed a smaller increase in PEFR, 95 l/min (±8-2) to 138 l/min (±9-0).

On admission mean plasma NEFA were elevated from the normal laboratory range (<600 μmol/l) in both groups of patients and were significantly increased when compared with fasting values obtained after resolution of the asthma attack (Table 2). No further increase in NEFA occurred during the salbutamol infusion, nor did plasma triglyceride concentration alter (Fig. 1).

Table 2 Comparison of fasting values with those on admission (mean ±SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NEFA (μmol/l)</th>
<th>Triglyceride (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Insulin (μunits/ml)</th>
<th>Glucose (mmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All patients on admission</td>
<td>861±53</td>
<td>1-47±0-1</td>
<td>14±3</td>
<td>5-8±0-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All patients fasting and convalescent</td>
<td>520±47</td>
<td>1-34±0-1</td>
<td>19±5</td>
<td>5-1±0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;0-001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following initial therapy the plasma insulin and glucose concentrations rose, the increase becoming significant for both variables only in the control
group (Fig. 2). However, during the infusion period there was a further sustained rise in the salbutamol group, which became significant when related to the admission values.

Discussion

The subjects included in the study did have severe asthma (Table 1), and the group who received the salbutamol infusion had shown a more limited improvement after the initial therapy of an intravenous aminophylline bolus and nebulised salbutamol.

Plasma NEFA concentration was raised to a similar extent in both groups of patients at the time of admission. Neither the initial treatment nor the salbutamol infusion caused any further rise in NEFA concentration. The failure of salbutamol to reproduce in the asthmatic patient the elevation of plasma NEFA seen in the normal subject suggests that either peripheral lipolysis is unaffected by the drug during the asthmatic attack, or that any increase is combated by increased NEFA utilisation. No increase in peripheral lipolysis would be observed were sympathomimetic activity at the adipose tissue receptor already maximal due to the disease itself. Alternatively, the elevation in plasma glucose and insulin concentrations may counter a rise in plasma NEFA concentration both by enhancing plasma NEFA uptake and by the action of insulin on adipocyte hormone sensitive lipase.

Whatever the mechanism, it appears that fears expressed for the myocardium, based upon the NEFA response to salbutamol infusion in normal subjects (British Medical Journal, 1975; Goldberg et al., 1975), are unfounded when the drug is used in the treatment of acute asthma. Nevertheless, plasma NEFA concentration was significantly higher during the asthmatic attack than in the same patients when used as their own fasting controls. Since no difference was noted between admission and convalescent plasma concentrations of insulin, glucose, or triglyceride, it is unlikely that dietary differences can explain those findings. Furthermore, the convalescent patients were receiving doses of steroids and bronchodilators equivalent to or greater than those before admission, so that changes in therapy do not explain the differences. Therefore, it appears that the increase in NEFA observed in these patients was related to the stress of the condition itself.

Thus changes in plasma NEFA concentration do not follow the intravenous infusion of salbutamol during acute attacks of asthma and such changes do not contribute to the hazards of using this drug for this condition.
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