Evaluation of breath holding in hypercapnia as a simple clinical test of respiratory chemosensitivity
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Stanley, N. N., Cunningham, E. L., Altose, M. D., Kelsen, S. G., Levinson, R. S., and Cherniack, N. S. (1975). Thorax, 30, 337–343. Evaluation of breath holding in hypercapnia as a simple clinical test of respiratory chemosensitivity. Breath holding was used as the basis of a simple test of respiratory chemosensitivity. Breath holding was begun at selected degrees of hypercapnia produced by CO₂ rebreathing. In 16 healthy control subjects there was a linear regression of the log of breath-holding time on the Pco₂ at the start of breath holding. Breath-holding time (BHT) and the slope of a log BHT/Pco₂ plot were closely correlated with the ventilatory response to CO₂. In five cases of the idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome, CO₂ retention and reduced ventilatory response to CO₂ were accompanied by prolonged breath-holding time and the regression of log BHT on Pco₂ was abnormally flat. However, in 17 patients with chronic airways obstruction, breath-holding time was never prolonged and the log BHT/Pco₂ relationship was normal, even though 13 had a diminished ventilatory response to CO₂ and four had chronic CO₂ retention. It is concluded that the BHT/Pco₂ relationship provides a useful index of respiratory chemosensitivity which is not influenced by airways obstruction. This may be helpful in the detection of impaired chemosensitivity as a cause of CO₂ retention even when the ventilation CO₂ response is reduced non-specifically by coexisting airways obstruction.

Chronic CO₂ retention is most frequently due to chronic airways obstruction which hinders the translation of respiratory motor activity into ventilation. Another important cause of chronic hypercapnia is impairment of respiratory chemosensitivity as in the idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome. The recognition of impaired chemosensitivity in cases of this syndrome is sometimes made difficult by the coexistence of chronic airways obstruction (McNicol and Pride, 1965; Rhoads and Brody, 1969). Respiratory chemosensitivity is usually measured by the increment in ventilation elicited by a change in the alveolar or arterial CO₂ tension produced by breathing a CO₂-enriched gas mixture. However, the ventilatory response to CO₂ is altered if ventilation is obstructed even when respiratory chemosensitivity is normal (Cherniack and Snidal, 1956). This has stimulated a search for other tests of respiratory chemosensitivity which are not affected by mechanical factors (Brodovsky, Macdonell, and Cherniack, 1960; Milic-Emili and Tyler, 1963; Lourenço et al., 1966).

Breath-holding time (BHT) has an inverse relationship with the alveolar CO₂ tension (Pco₂) at the start of a breath hold (Hill and Flack, 1908; Godfrey and Campbell, 1969), which suggests that the BHT/Pco₂ relationship may provide an index of respiratory chemosensitivity. Moreover, observations by others in healthy subjects breathing through resistances have suggested that the BHT/Pco₂ relationship is insensitive to airway obstruction (Clark and Godfrey, 1969). The present study examines whether breath-holding time might provide a useful test of chemosensitivity in the presence of chronic airways obstruction.

METHODS

Measurements were made of breath-holding time at different Pco₂ levels and of the ventilatory
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response to CO₂ (ventilation CO₂ response) in 16 healthy control subjects. These data served two purposes: (a) they determined whether breath-holding time was correlated with the ventilation CO₂ response in subjects without airway obstruction, and (b) they were used to construct a normal range for the BHT/Pco₂ relationship. In addition, these measurements were obtained in 22 patients: 17 were obtained randomly from hospital inpatients and outpatients referred for evaluation of chronic airways obstruction to the pulmonary function laboratory. The five other patients were a specially selected group with persistent hypercapnia due to the idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome; four of these also had mild airway obstruction, but severe depression of respiratory chemosensitivity had been established by demonstrating grossly diminished CO₂ responsiveness of the diaphragm electromyogram (Lourenço and Miranda, 1968).

APPARATUS In all patients the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC) were obtained by standard techniques (Kory et al., 1961) using a low-resistance spirometer (Warren E. Collins, Model P-1300). Residual volume (RV) and total lung capacity (TLC) were measured by the helium dilution method (Boren, Kory, and Syner, 1966). Arterial Pao₂, Paco₂, and pH were measured by appropriate electrodes (Radiometer, E5046/E5036/PHM71), and the serum bicarbonate was calculated from the Paco₂ and pH values using the Siggaard-Andersen nomogram (1963).

Measurements of the ventilation CO₂ response in the patients and control subjects were made while they rebreathed through a heated pneumotachograph (Fleisch No. 2, Instrumentation Associates) from a rubber bag containing about 6 litres of 6–8% in oxygen. The initial CO₂ concentration in the bag was adjusted to be approximately equivalent to the subject’s mixed venous Paco₂, which was assumed to be 0.198 kPa (6 mmHg) more than the arterial Paco₂. The pressure difference across the pneumotachograph was measured by a differential pressure transducer (Statham PM-5) to monitor airflow. Gas was continuously sampled at the mouth for the measurement of end-tidal CO₂ concentration by an infrared analyzer (Godart Capnograph). The signals of airflow and CO₂ concentration were displayed on a multichannel recorder (Electronics for Medicine, DR-12); the airflow signal was also electronically integrated to provide a continuous record of tidal volume.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE Two practice breath holds were made after room air breathing and then the subject began to rebreathe from the rubber bag. Within a few breaths the CO₂ concentration equilibrated within the bag and the subject’s lungs, and after this the first test breath hold was made. Following this the subject rested for five minutes and a second test breath hold was performed after another period of rebreathing had raised the alveolar Paco₂ to at least 0.665 kPa (5 mmHg) more than the level at the start of the first test breath hold. In the control subjects the procedure was repeated several times so that several breath holds were made at different degrees of hypercapnia. All breath holds were made after full inspiration. Each breath hold was evaluated by measuring the end-tidal Paco₂ immediately before each breath hold and also the breath-holding time. The BHT/Paco₂ relationship was then assessed by plotting breath-holding time against initial Paco₂. Ventilation was also recorded during a rebreathing period which was not interrupted by breath holding. The ventilation CO₂ response was assessed by plotting ventilation measured over five breath intervals against the Paco₂ at the mid-point of each interval.

RESULTS

CONTROL SUBJECTS

RELATIONSHIPS OF VENTILATION AND BREATH-HOLDING TIME WITH Paco₂ These are illustrated in Fig. 1 using the data obtained in a representative subject. Ventilation was plotted against Paco₂ during uninterrupted rebreathing (Fig. 1A) and breath-holding time against the initial Paco₂ of six breath holds made at different levels of hypercapnia (Fig. 1B). Ventilation increased linearly with alveolar Paco₂ during rebreathing, as previously described (Read and Leigh, 1967), and so the relationship between ventilation (Ve) and Paco₂ could be expressed by the well-known equation Ve=S(Paco₂-B) where S is the slope of the ventilation-Paco₂ line and B is its intercept with the Paco₂ axis. Since Ve and Paco₂ were linearly related, the value of S was constant at all levels of Paco₂ and provided a satisfactory measure of the ventilatory response to CO₂. In contrast, breath-holding time had an inverse and curvilinear relationship with Paco₂ (Fig. 1B). Thus, while isolated measurements of breath-holding time could be related to the degree of the Paco₂ stimulus, the decrement of breath-holding time produced by a given increment of Paco₂ was not constant. The relationship was linear when breath-holding
time was plotted on a logarithmic scale (Fig. 1C). The regression of breath-holding time on $P_{CO_2}$ could therefore be expressed in the form $BHT = a 10^{bP_{CO_2}}$ where b represents the slope of the $BHT/P_{CO_2}$ line on semilogarithmic paper and a is the intercept at zero $P_{CO_2}$. The slope of the regression line may be more simply described by the increment in $P_{CO_2}$ ($\Delta P_{CO_2}$) required to halve the breath-holding time. This halving $\Delta P_{CO_2}$ is reciprocally related to the slope of the log $BHT/P_{CO_2}$ line; it is independent of the $P_{CO_2}$ range under consideration and its use is analogous to the description of the rate of an exponential decay curve by means of its half-time.

**CORRELATION BETWEEN CO\(_2\) RESPONSIVENESS OF VENTILATION AND BHT** The slopes of the ventilation $CO_2$ response lines (SVR) ranged from 11.25 to 46.51 min\(^{-1}\) kPa\(^{-1}\) (1.5 to 6.21 min\(^{-1}\) torr\(^{-1}\)) in the 16 control subjects. This fourfold variation in SVR provided further evidence of the considerable constitutional variability of respiratory chemosensitivity in healthy subjects (Schaefer, 1958; Lambertsen, 1960). Breath-holding time at any given level of hypercapnia was longer in subjects with low SVR values than in those with high values. The reciprocal relationship between breath-holding time and SVR is shown in Fig. 2; the breath holds were begun after rebreathing had raised the alveolar $PCO_2$ to 6.38–6.65 kPa (48–50 mmHg) and the inverse correlation of breath-holding time with SVR ($r = -0.89, P < 0.001$) was highly significant ($P < 0.001$). It was also found that log $BHT$ declined less steeply with rising $P_{CO_2}$ in subjects with high SVR values than in those with low SVR values. Thus, as shown in

**Fig. 2.** Relationship between breath-holding time ($BHT$) and the ventilation $CO_2$ response (SVR) in 16 control subjects. The breath holds were begun after rebreathing had raised the alveolar $P_{CO_2}$ to 6.4–6.7 kPa (48–50 mmHg). There was a close inverse correlation between $BHT$ and SVR ($r = -0.89, P < 0.001$). Conversion: Traditional units to SI—SVR: 1 l min\(^{-1}\) kPa\(^{-1}\) approx. 0.133 l min\(^{-1}\) mmHg.

**Fig. 3.** The $\Delta P_{CO_2}$ halving BHT was inversely correlated with the value of SVR ($r = 0.072, P < 0.01$).

**PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC AIRWAYS OBSTRUCTION AND IDIOPATHIC HYPOVENTILATION SYNDROME**

**VENTILATORY DATA** The Table gives the mean values ($±$SE) of the static and dynamic lung volumes, blood gases, and slopes of the ventilation...
FIG. 3. Relationship between the increment in Pco2 (ΔPco2) required to halve breath-holding time (BHT) and the ventilation CO2 response (SVR) in 16 healthy subjects. The ΔPco2 halving BHT was inversely correlated with the SVR (r = −0.72, P < 0.01). Conversion: Traditional units to SI—SVR: 1 l min⁻¹ torr⁻¹ = 0.133 l min⁻¹ kPa⁻¹.

CO2 response in the patients. More detailed information can be obtained from the authors if required. The degree of airway obstruction was variable in the 17 randomly selected patients with chronic airways obstruction; their FEV1 values ranged from 0.59 to 2.48 litres. Four were mildly hypercapnic (arterial Pco2 6.38–7.18 kPa (48–54 mmHg)) due to severe airway obstruction, since each had an FEV1 of less than 0.9 litres which is commonly associated with CO2 retention (Burrows, Strauss, and Niden, 1965). Five of the normocapnic patients had previously been hypercapnic, but this had occurred only transiently during an acute obstructive episode due to bronchopulmonary infection. The arterial Pco2 was increased in all five cases of idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome and their FEV1 values (1.8–3.1 litres) were much higher than in the hypercapnic patients with severe chronic airways obstruction.

Compared with the control subjects SVR was reduced (<1.25 l min⁻¹ kPa⁻¹; <1.5 l min⁻¹ torr⁻¹) in 13 out of the 17 patients with chronic airways obstruction. The mean SVR in the patients with idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome (2.1 l min⁻¹ kPa⁻¹; 0.28 l min⁻¹ torr⁻¹) was less than in the patients with chronic airways obstruction (7.5 l min⁻¹ kPa⁻¹; 1.0 l min⁻¹ torr⁻¹), but the SVR values in the two patient groups overlapped and three of the patients with chronic airways obstruction had SVR values as low as in the cases of idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome. This demonstrated the non-specificity of the ventilation CO2 response as a test of respiratory chemosensitivity in the presence of airway obstruction.

BHT/Pco2 RELATIONSHIP Figure 4 shows the relationship of log BHT plotted against Pco2 in breath holds made at various degrees of hypercapnia by the patients with chronic airways obstruction and idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome. The patients with chronic airways obstruction are divided into three groups; group A were normocapnic, group B were also normocapnic but had a previous record of hypercapnia, and group C were hypercapnic at the time of study. A normal range for the BHT/Pco2 relationship was constructed from 78 breath holds made by the 16 healthy control subjects. Except in two patients with reduced breath-holding time the BHT/Pco2 points in all subgroups of the patients with chronic airways obstruction lay within the normal range. Also there was a normal decline of breath-holding time in response to an increase in the Pco2 at the start of breath holding. In contrast, the BHT/Pco2 points in patients with the idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome were displaced above and to the right of the normal range, except in one breath hold begun in mild hypercapnia by patient 22. Also the slopes of the log BHT/Pco2 lines linking the pairs of BHT/Pco2 points were less steep than in the control subjects or in the cases of chronic airways obstruction. These findings suggested that breath-holding time in hypercapnia was prolonged and that its sensitivity to the Pco2 stimulus was re-

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FEV1 (l)</th>
<th>FVC (l)</th>
<th>RV (% predicted)</th>
<th>TLC (l)</th>
<th>PO2 (kPa)</th>
<th>Pco2 (kPa)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Bicarbonate (m mol/l)</th>
<th>SVR (l min⁻¹ kPa⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.27</td>
<td>10 ± 3.5</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>25.0 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic airway obstruction (n = 17)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome (n = 5)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEV1 = forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC = forced vital capacity; RV = residual volume; TLC = total lung capacity; PO2 = arterial O2 tension; Pco2 = arterial CO2 tension; SVR = slope of the ventilation CO2 response.

Conversion: SI to Traditional Units—Pco2, PO2: 1 kPa = 7.5 torr

—SVR: 1 l min⁻¹ kPa⁻¹ = 0.133 l min⁻¹ torr⁻¹.
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FIG. 4. Relationship between breath-holding time (BHT) and \( \text{PCO}_2 \) plotted on semi-logarithmic paper in 17 patients with chronic airways obstruction and five cases of the idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome (IHS). The symbols indicate pairs of breath holds made at different \( \text{PCO}_2 \) levels by individual patients, and the shaded areas indicate the normal range of the BHT/\( \text{PCO}_2 \) relationship. The patients with chronic airways obstruction are in three groups: A were normocapnic; B were also normocapnic but had a previous record of hypercapnia; and C were hypercapnic at the time of study. Note the normal BHT/\( \text{PCO}_2 \) relationship in the obstructed patients, but the prolonged breath-holding time and the flattening of the log BHT/\( \text{PCO}_2 \) slope in the cases of idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome. Conversion: Traditional units to SI—\( \text{PCO}_2 \): 1 mmHg = 7.5 kPa.

duced in patients with a depression in the \( \text{CO}_2 \) responsiveness of the respiratory centres. Slightly increased levels of serum bicarbonate were an insufficient explanation for the altered BHT/\( \text{PCO}_2 \) relationship in the patients with the idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome since they were equally high in the hypercapnic obstructed patients with normal breath-holding time.

DISCUSSION

Respiratory drive of chemical origin is known to influence breath-holding time, since this is shortened when the breath is held during hypoxia (Engel et al., 1946) or hypercapnia (Godfrey and Campbell, 1969). Reduction of breath-holding time has previously been used to detect the increased chemical drive caused by hypoxia at high altitude (Douglas et al., 1913; Rahn et al., 1953). The present study has examined whether breath-holding time can also be used to assess respiratory chemosensitivity even in the presence of airway obstruction. Breath-holding time in hypercapnia and the slope of a log BHT/\( \text{PCO}_2 \) plot were found to depend on respiratory chemosensitivity in healthy subjects, as judged by the ventilatory response to \( \text{CO}_2 \). Unlike the ventilation \( \text{CO}_2 \) response, these measurements of the BHT/\( \text{PCO}_2 \) relationship were usually normal in patients with chronic airways obstruction, even when they had \( \text{CO}_2 \) retention. In patients with the idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome there was a prolongation of breath-holding time and a flattening of the log BHT/\( \text{PCO}_2 \) plot, as well as an alteration in the ventilatory response to \( \text{CO}_2 \). The findings suggest that the BHT/\( \text{PCO}_2 \) relationship in co-operative patients may allow a more satisfactory discrimination between depressed chemosensitivity and airway obstruction as causes of hypercapnia than the measurement of the ventilation \( \text{CO}_2 \) response alone.

Respiratory sensitivity to \( \text{CO}_2 \) is usually evaluated by the change in some index of respiratory drive, such as ventilation, produced by a known increment in the \( \text{PCO}_2 \) stimulus. Theoretically the slope of the log BHT/\( \text{PCO}_2 \) plot should therefore provide a better measure of chemosensitivity than isolated measurements of BHT at known \( \text{PCO}_2 \) levels. Thus, in physiological experiments using healthy subjects willing to make several breath holds, it is probably desirable to construct a log BHT/\( \text{PCO}_2 \) plot if chemosensitivity is to be as-
sessed. In practice, however, isolated measurements of breath-holding time in hypercapnia may provide useful clinical evidence of impaired chemosensitivity, since prolonged breath-holding time in hypercapnia was such a consistent feature in the cases of idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome. Also, while detection of impaired chemosensitivity using the breath-holding technique might sometimes be obscured by lack of volition, it is unlikely that a patient with normal chemosensitivity could deliberately prolong breath-holding time and thereby falsely suggest the diagnosis of idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome.

There are several advantages in evaluating the BHT/P\(\text{CO}_2\) relationship by breath holding in the course of rebreathing an O\(_2\)-CO\(_2\) gas mixture; some of these have been discussed previously by Godfrey and Campbell (1969). In brief, the preliminary breaths raise the P\(_\text{O}_2\) sufficiently to eliminate the complicating effects of hypoxic drive on breath-holding time and bring the P\(_\text{CO}_2\) into approximate equilibrium within the rebreathing bag, lungs, arterial blood, and brain tissue (Read and Leigh, 1967). Thus inspiration before breath holding does not dilute the alveolar P\(_\text{CO}_2\), and the end-tidal P\(_\text{CO}_2\) also closely represents the effective chemical stimulus at both the peripheral and central chemoreceptors—even in chronic airways obstruction when end-tidal and arterial P\(_\text{CO}_2\) may be widely different while breathing ambient air. Once this equilibrium is established the P\(_\text{CO}_2\) rises linearly with time: its effect on breath-holding time in the resting state can then be described equally well in terms of the initial as the breaking point P\(_\text{CO}_2\) since the difference between these P\(_\text{CO}_2\) values and breath-holding time itself become dependent variables. Finally, breath-holding time may be used most effectively as a test of chemosensitivity when the subject is hypercapnic. Besides the chemical stimulus to P\(_\text{CO}_2\), neural stimuli from the lungs (Guz et al., 1966) and respiratory muscles (Campbell et al., 1969) also contribute to the drive to terminate a breath hold. Thus breath-holding time will tend to be a more selective measure of a subject’s sensitivity to the CO\(_2\) stimulus if the breath is held at a high P\(_\text{CO}_2\).

The special merit of assessing respiratory chemosensitivity by the breath-holding technique in conjunction with the conventional ventilation CO\(_2\) response is that airway obstruction does not alter the relationship of breath-holding time to P\(_\text{CO}_2\). This has previously been demonstrated using healthy subjects breathing through external resistances (Clark and Godfrey, 1969) and was confirmed by the normal BHT/P\(_\text{CO}_2\) relationship in the presence of reduced SVR values in most of the patients with chronic airways obstruction in the present series. Mechanical obstruction to airflow has been regarded as the primary cause of CO\(_2\) retention in chronic airways obstruction (Baldwin, Cournand, and Richards, 1949; Burrows, Strauss, and Niden, 1965). This was supported by the normal BHT/P\(_\text{CO}_2\) relationship in the four patients with hypercapnia in Figure 4. However, individual cases of chronic airways obstruction may be further predisposed to hypercapnia if they also have low levels of respiratory chemosensitivity due either to constitutional causes (Lambertsen, 1960) or to acquired factors such as occur in certain forms of metabolic alkalosis (Goldring et al., 1968). Likewise, some degree of obstruction is frequently present in cases of the idiopathic hypoventilation syndrome (McNicol and Pride, 1965; Rhoads and Brody, 1969), which may accentuate their CO\(_2\) retention, although this is primarily due to loss of chemosensitivity. When disorders of chemosensitivity and lung mechanics coexist in a patient with CO\(_2\) retention it is desirable to identify the principal defect as a guide to therapy. Established techniques for assessing chemosensitivity in the presence of airway obstruction are technically difficult; they involve measuring the changes of inspiratory work rate (Brodovsky et al., 1960; Milic-Emili and Tyler, 1963) or diaphragm electromyographic activity (Lourenço and Miranda, 1968) during CO\(_2\) inhalation. It may therefore be gratifying to the clinician that supporting evidence for impaired chemosensitivity in the presence of obstructed breathing may be obtained by such a simple procedure as holding the breath.
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