Relationship between sensitivity to carbon dioxide and clinical features in patients with chronic airways obstruction

D. J. LANE and J. B. L. HOWELL

The University Department of Medicine, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester

This study was designed to investigate the relationship between the different clinical features exhibited by patients with chronic airways obstruction and their responsiveness to carbon dioxide. In 19 patients pulmonary function was assessed by spirometry, lung volumes and arterial blood gases. Measurements of responsiveness to carbon dioxide were made and compared with responses obtained in five normal subjects. Assessed in terms of inspiratory mechanical work performed rather than ventilation achieved, it was shown that there was a highly significant positive correlation between work rate response and the level of dyspnoea, so that patients with poor response experienced little dyspnoea despite severe airways obstruction. Significant negative correlations were found between work rate response and the presence of oedema, polycythaemia and a raised resting arterial CO₂ tension, and a positive correlation was found with radiographic evidence of emphysema. A reduced work rate response to CO₂ was taken to signify diminished central sensitivity to carbon dioxide. The cause of the reduced sensitivity was not elucidated, but it was concluded that several of the clinical features associated with chronic airways obstruction were related to loss of CO₂ sensitivity.

Patients with chronic airways obstruction present a variety of clinical features. Baldwin, Cournand, and Richards (1949) recognized four groups, representing progressive degrees of abnormality of the blood gases. Congestive cardiac failure was seen only in the most severely affected group, and it later became evident that these patients experienced rather less dyspnoea than others with more normal blood gases (Richards, 1960).

Subsequent studies of pulmonary function and pathology have led to a more detailed characterization of differences between patients. The picture which has emerged is of two contrasting extremes generally called emphysematous and bronchitic (Fletcher, Hugh-Jones, McNicol, and Pride, 1963; Mitchell and Filley, 1964) but also called fighters and non-fighters (Robin and O'Neill, 1963) or 'pink and puffing' and 'blue and bloated' (Scadding, 1963). The emphysematous group are characterized clinically by the presence of severe dyspnoea. Often they produce only a little mucoid sputum and tend to be underweight. Right ventricular hypertrophy and polycythaemia are not seen. Respiratory failure with reduced oxygen saturation and raised carbon dioxide tension (Pco₂) and congestive cardiac failure occur only terminally. Those patients with clear radiological and post-mortem evidence of emphysema, especially of the panacinar type, fall into this group (Kahana, Aronovitch, and Place, 1963; Burrows, Fletcher, Heard, Jones, and Wootliff, 1966), these findings being associated with an increased total lung capacity (Fletcher et al., 1963) and reduced diffusing capacity (Ogilvie, 1959). Nitrogen washout techniques (Briscoe and Nash, 1965) reveal an excessively large 'slow space' which has a poor ventilation/perfusion ratio. Although resting arterial oxygen tension (Pao₂) is not greatly reduced, it falls significantly on exercise (Jones, 1966). Cardiac output is subnormal at rest and on exercise in this group, and systemic oxygen transport (product of total blood flow and arterial blood oxygen content) is also low (Filley, Beckwitt, Reeves, and Mitchell, 1968).

By contrast the bronchitic group are less troubled by dyspnoea. They often produce considerable quantities of mucopurulent sputum, tend to be overweight and may show radiological evidence of inflammatory changes in the lungs or
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PATIENTS Nineteen patients with chronic airways obstruction who were regularly attending the outpatient department and five normal subjects were studied. The patients were considered to be in a stable clinical and functional state. The signs, symptoms, and investigations considered to be important in the differentiation of emphysematous from bronchitic patients were recorded. Some of these could be quantified exactly, viz., arterial CO₂ tension (PaCO₂), percentage oxygen saturation (SaO₂) and total lung capacity (TLC expressed as % predicted); others were identified as present or absent, viz., oedema, polycythaemia (Hb >20·0 g./100 ml., PCV >56%), right ventricular hypertrophy (ECG evidence) and emphysema (chest radiograph: Laws and Heard, 1962). The remainder were designated by some arbitrary index, viz., dyspnoea (M.R.C. classification, 1966) and sputum (usually mucopurulent 1, usually mucoid 0). No measurements were made of diffusing capacity, inert gas mixing efficiency, response to exercise or cardiac output.

Comparisons between these clinical features and CO₂ response curves were made by the Student's t test in those instances where two divisions (e.g., present or absent) were made (oedema, right ventricular hypertrophy, polycythaemia, sputum mucopurulence and emphysema): where three grades were recognized (dyspnoea), comparison between the grades was also made by the Student's t test. In other instances where a continuous variable was being assessed the coefficient of simple correlation was calculated (TLC, PaCO₂, SaO₂).

METHODS

TECHNIQUES Routine spirometry was performed with a Godart Pulmonet, functional residual capacity being measured by the helium dilution method. Arterial blood was withdrawn at rest from the brachial artery under anaerobic conditions and analysed for pH with an EIL electrode (or later a Radiometer electrode), for Pco₂ with a Severinghaus electrode and for oxygen saturation with a Kipp haemoreflectometer.

Ventilation was measured in seated patients with a Godart pneumotachograph with integrator. Transpulmonary pressure recordings were taken between an intra-oesophageal balloon sited in the lower third of the oesophagus and the airway at the mouth and measured with an NEP capacitance manometer. Volume and pressure signals were fed into an XY recorder (Bryans Model 20170) where pressure/volume loops were traced out for individual breaths. The area traced out by such a loop represented the work done against viscous resistances during that
breath. Joining points of no flow on the pressure/volume loop gave the dynamic compliance line for the lungs which was used to divide the loop into inspiratory and expiratory phases. Work done against elastic resistances was calculated using the graphic representation of Campbell (1958) from the area between the dynamic compliance line of the lungs and the compliance line of the chest wall. The latter was obtained by subtraction of lung compliance from measurements of total compliance using the method of Cherniack and Brown (1965) or the relaxation pressure method (Rahn, Otis, Chadwick, and Fenn, 1946).

The rate of work done against viscous and elastic resistances in the lungs and elastic resistances within the chest wall during inspiration (inspiratory mechanical work rate) was measured as the index of CO₂ responsiveness, since Milic-Emili and Tyler (1963) had shown that work done in expiration was not correlated with CO₂ tension. A steady increase in CO₂ stimulation was achieved by rebreathing from a 4-litre bag of 100% oxygen. Continuous recording of the CO₂ level at the mouth was made during rebreathing by means of an infra-red analyser (Infra Red Development Co., Model DVB). After 60 to 90 seconds of rebreathing there was very little difference between the CO₂ tension of inspired and expired gas, but for analysis purposes the maximal end-expiratory CO₂ tension was taken for measurement. In four patients arterial samples were withdrawn from an indwelling cannula during rebreathing. Like Clark (1968) we found that, after the initial equilibration phase, arterial CO₂ tension increased at the same rate as end-tidal CO₂ tension but was at any instance some 2 mm. Hg lower in absolute value. CO₂ responsiveness was expressed graphically by plotting end-expiratory CO₂ tension against simultaneously measured minute ventilation and inspiratory mechanical work rate. Plots obtained in this manner were curvilinear initially and then became linear. The slope of the most linear part of the curve was measured (SWI/PFO₂—inspiratory mechanical work rate response, SVₑ/PCO₂—ventilatory response). In addition plots of inspiratory mechanical work rate against ventilation were prepared. Strictly these should be drawn with ventilation, the dependent variable, as ordinate, but generally ventilation has been placed on the abscissa (Otis, 1964). The latter convention was therefore adopted here. These curves show a steadily increasing slope, and for comparative purposes the slope of the response over the ventilation range 8–18 l/min was measured (SWI/Vₑ).

### Table I

**Physical Characteristics and Spirometry of Patients Studied**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Ht (cm.)</th>
<th>Wt (kg.)</th>
<th>VC (L)</th>
<th>FEV₁ (L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J.F.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>3-74</td>
<td>1-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.H.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2-66</td>
<td>0-74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.C.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2-13</td>
<td>1-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.P.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1-78</td>
<td>0-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.Br.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2-86</td>
<td>0-77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.H.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2-17</td>
<td>0-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.N.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3-96</td>
<td>0-61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.D.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2-80</td>
<td>0-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1-71</td>
<td>0-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.F.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1-93</td>
<td>0-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.R.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2-07</td>
<td>0-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.S.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2-67</td>
<td>0-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.M.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2-63</td>
<td>0-49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.K.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3-08</td>
<td>1-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.B.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3-39</td>
<td>0-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.C.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1-73</td>
<td>0-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.E.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3-93</td>
<td>0-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.S.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2-89</td>
<td>0-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.W.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2-34</td>
<td>0-65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II

**Data on Blood Gases, Clinical Features, and Slopes of Response Curves**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Dyspnoea</th>
<th>Oedema</th>
<th>Mucopurulence of Sputum</th>
<th>Polycythemia</th>
<th>Right Ventricular Hypertrophy</th>
<th>CXR Evidence of Emphysma</th>
<th>TLC % predicted</th>
<th>Paco₂ (mm. Hg)</th>
<th>Pao₂ (%)</th>
<th>Slope Yₑ/Pco₂ (L/min/mm. Hg)</th>
<th>Slope Wₑ/Pco₂ (kgm./min/mm.Hg)</th>
<th>Slope Wₑ/Vₑ (kgm./lit.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J.F.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0-40</td>
<td>0-07</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.H.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0-46</td>
<td>0-03</td>
<td>0-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.C.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0-59</td>
<td>0-11</td>
<td>0-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.P.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0-22</td>
<td>0-07</td>
<td>0-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.Br.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1-06</td>
<td>0-24</td>
<td>1-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.H.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0-77</td>
<td>0-16</td>
<td>0-18</td>
<td>0-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.N.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0-82</td>
<td>0-14</td>
<td>0-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.D.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0-58</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>0-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1-11</td>
<td>0-23</td>
<td>0-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.F.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0-34</td>
<td>0-17</td>
<td>0-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.R.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0-87</td>
<td>0-12</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.S.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0-84</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.M.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0-41</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>0-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.R.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0-95</td>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>0-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.B.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0-27</td>
<td>0-07</td>
<td>0-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.C.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0-34</td>
<td>0-11</td>
<td>0-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.E.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2-04</td>
<td>0-45</td>
<td>0-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.S.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0-47</td>
<td>0-06</td>
<td>0-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.W.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1-27</td>
<td>0-24</td>
<td>0-19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In col. 2 the figures refer to the M.R.C. grading for dyspnoea. In cols. 3 to 7, 1 signifies present, 0 signifies absent.
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### TABLE III

**DATA ON NORMAL SUBJECTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Ht (cm.)</th>
<th>Wt (kg.)</th>
<th>VC (L)</th>
<th>FEV₁₀₀ (L)</th>
<th>Slope VE/Pco₂ (l./min./mm. Hg)</th>
<th>Slope W/kgm./min./mm. Hg Pco₂</th>
<th>Slope W/kgm./min./mm. Hg Pco₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.C.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4-02</td>
<td>3-50</td>
<td>3-24</td>
<td>0-42</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.H.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4-85</td>
<td>3-95</td>
<td>3-52</td>
<td>0-59</td>
<td>0-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.H.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>6-30</td>
<td>4-36</td>
<td>2-37</td>
<td>0-51</td>
<td>0-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.L.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5-69</td>
<td>4-97</td>
<td>2-82</td>
<td>0-53</td>
<td>0-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.R.</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3-94</td>
<td>2-97</td>
<td>2-91</td>
<td>0-33</td>
<td>0-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RESULTS

The physical characteristics of the 18 male and 1 female patients studied are given in Table I, together with the results of routine pulmonary function tests and arterial blood analyses. The details of the clinical features are given in Table II, together with the values of the slopes of ventilatory and inspiratory mechanical work rate responses to CO₂, and of the slopes of the curves relating ventilation to inspiratory mechanical work rate during CO₂ stimulation. Data on the five normal subjects are given in Table III.

The mean slope of ventilatory responses to CO₂ in the normal subjects was 2-77±0-69 l./min./mm. Hg Pco₂. It was diminished in all patients, ranging from 0-22 to 2-04 l./min./mm. Hg Pco₂. The mean slope of the inspiratory mechanical work rate response to CO₂ in the normal subjects was 0-40±0-14 kgm./min./mm. Hg Pco₂. In some patients it was near normal; in others it was markedly diminished, the overall range being 0-03 to 0-45 kgm./min./mm. Hg Pco₂.

In 11 patients the relation between inspiratory mechanical work rate and ventilation fell within the normal range; in the remainder more work was performed to achieve a given ventilation than in the normal subjects.

Since the responses obtained are curvilinear, the differences between patients and normals cannot be completely described in terms of a slope from the most linear part of the curve, and therefore selected curves have been plotted in Figs 1, 2, and 3, and compared with the range in normal subjects (shaded areas). In the three patients depicted in Fig. 1, inspiratory mechanical work rate response was near normal (Fig. 1, left). However, the ventilation achieved for a given increment in inspiratory mechanical work rate was less than normal (Fig. 1, right). Mechanical factors appeared to be dominant in reducing ventilatory response to CO₂ in these patients. The three patients depicted in Fig. 2 showed a markedly diminished inspiratory mechanical work rate response to CO₂ (Fig. 2, left), but the ventilation achieved for a given increment in inspiratory mechanical work rate was the same as in the normal subjects (Fig. 2, right). Diminished sensitivity appeared to be dominant in reducing ventilatory response to CO₂ in these subjects. In Fig. 3, three further patients are shown in whom there was both a reduction in inspiratory mechanical work rate response to CO₂ (Fig. 3, left) and a reduction in the ventilation achieved for a given increment in inspiratory mechanical work (Fig. 3, right). Both mechanical factors and diminished sensitivity appeared to be important in reducing ventilatory response to CO₂ in these patients.

Details of the correlations between the clinical features and the CO₂ response findings are given in Table IV. The significant positive correlations...
FIG. 1. Inspiratory mechanical work rate ($W_I$) responses of three patients ($W.E.\triangle; J.Br. \circ; F.D. \bullet$) in whom CO$_2$ sensitivity is relatively normal (left) but the ventilation ($V_E$) achieved for a given increment in mechanical work is less than normal (right).

FIG. 2. Inspiratory mechanical work rate ($W_I$) responses of three patients ($S.S.\triangle; S.R. \circ; J.F. \bullet$) in whom there is severe loss of sensitivity (left) although the ventilation ($V_E$) achieved for a given increment in mechanical work is normal (right).

FIG. 3. Inspiratory mechanical work rate ($W_I$) responses of three patients ($J.B. \triangle; T.P. \circ; M.P. \bullet$) in whom there is both loss of sensitivity (left) and a reduction in the ventilation ($V_E$) achieved for a given increment in mechanical work (right).
features assessed. The correlations for dyspnoea were such that patients with the most severe grade of dyspnoea responded best to CO₂ both in terms of inspiratory mechanical work and ventilation and also that they worked hardest for a given level of ventilation.

**DISCUSSION**

**RESPONSES TO CO₂** Diminished ventilatory response to CO₂ in patients with chronic obstructive airways was first recorded by Reinhardt (1912), although credit for this is usually given to Scott (1920). These early observations were confirmed by subsequent workers. Scott (1920) considered that the increased buffering capacity of the blood consequent upon renal compensation for respiratory acidosis was an adequate explanation, but the two explanations which have been considered most likely are mechanical hindrance and impaired respiratory centre sensitivity. The main evidence in favour of the former is the observation that the ventilatory response to CO₂ can be reduced in normals by the introduction of a viscous resistance at the mouth (Cherniack and Snidal, 1956). This very observation, however, makes the assessment of respiratory centre sensitivity in terms of a ventilatory response to CO₂ meaningless. For this reason attempts have been made to assess the output of the respiratory centre in terms of some intermediate response, such as mechanical work, oxygen consumption or respiratory muscle electromyogram. It follows that the relationship between this output and the ventilation achieved will be an expression of the impedance to ventilation due to mechanical factors within the lungs and bronchi.

In normals, Eldridge and Davis (1959) and Milic-Emili and Tyler (1963) measured the mechanical work done against the viscous resistances of the lungs and the elastic resistances of the lung and chest wall with and without added viscous resistances. The latter workers found that CO₂ responses in terms of mechanical work done during inspiration did not alter from control values in the presence of added viscous resistances sufficient to reduce the ventilatory response to 50% of control values.

In patients, Fritts, Fishman, and Courmand (1957), also measuring mechanical work, found the response to CO₂ in four emphysematous subjects to be normal. Richards *et al.* (1958), measuring the oxygen consumption for the increased ventilation in nine emphysematous subjects with CO₂ retention, likewise concluded that the response was little different from that in normals. The ventilation achieved for a given increment in oxygen consumption was, however, much less than normal in the emphysematous group. The conclusion drawn from these observations was that diminished ventilatory response to CO₂ was due to mechanical factors.

Brodovský *et al.* (1960) repeated the observations on oxygen consumption but at the same time measured the mechanical efficiency of the respiratory muscles and so were able to estimate the total mechanical work done by them. They found that the total mechanical work response to CO₂ was reduced in nine patients with emphysema, compared with eight normal subjects, and that this was associated with reduced efficiency. This implies that respiratory centre output is normal and that the reduced efficiency may be responsible for the diminished total mechanical work and ventilatory responses to CO₂. Fritts, Filler, Fishman, and Courmand (1959) had, on the other hand, found mechanical efficiency during voluntary hyperventilation to be very little different in six patients with emphysema compared with seven normals. This discrepancy is probably attributable to different methods of measurement.

Studies of inspiratory mechanical work rate response to CO₂ have recently been reported by Flelley and Millar (1968). They found a diminished response in six out of eight bronchitic patients with chronic ventilatory failure and a normal response in the other two. No correlations between clinical features and CO₂ response were made in this or in previously reported studies. However, an association with clinical features was noted by Lourenço and Miranda (1968). They have recently reported a study in which the output from the respiratory centre was assessed in terms of the integrated electromyogram of the diaphragm during CO₂ stimulation by rebreathing. They were able to divide their patients into two groups, one showing a diminished drive and the other showing a normal or increased drive. This division was related to the presence of features suggesting respectively the bronchitic and emphysematous types of patient.

In the present study the motor output of the respiratory centre was assessed in terms of work done on the lungs and chest wall by the inspiratory muscles. We did not attempt to measure the efficiency of the respiratory muscles and therefore cannot with complete confidence relate mechanical work response to respiratory centre output. However, we believe it unlikely that the finding of a diminished inspiratory mechanical work rate...
response to CO₂ in certain patients is due to reduced efficiency for the following reasons. First, the only subjects in whom Fritts et al. (1959) conclusively demonstrated diminished efficiency were obese patients with a body weight of more than 120 kg. None of our patients showed this degree of obesity. Secondly, the disadvantage of working at a high total lung capacity which might be expected to reduce mechanical efficiency is found in patients with high, rather than low, inspiratory mechanical work rate responses to CO₂ (see Tables I and II). Finally, Brodovsky et al. (1960) showed that when ventilatory response to CO₂ was diminished in normal subjects by the interposition of an added viscous resistance, the total mechanical work response to CO₂ remained constant although the oxygen consumption response increased. It seemed unlikely that CO₂ sensitivity could be abruptly altered, and they therefore suggested 'that incremental changes in total mechanical work are a more accurate gauge of the response of the respiratory apparatus to carbon dioxide than are increments of ventilation or oxygen consumption'. Taking into consideration as well the observations of Milic-Emili and Tyler (1963) on the correlation of inspiratory as opposed to expiratory or total mechanical work rate with CO₂ tension, we shall therefore hereafter interpret diminished inspiratory mechanical work rate response to CO₂ as evidence for diminished sensitivity.

POSSIBLE CAUSES OF LOSS OF CO₂ SENSITIVITY. On the basis of animal experiments which have shown that hypoxia abolishes the peripheral chemo-receptor responses to hypercapnia (Neil and Joels, 1963; Bouverot, Flandrois, Puccinelli, and Dejours, 1965), the sensitivity being assessed should be that of the central chemoreceptors. The inspired oxygen concentration was 100% at the onset of the rebreathing procedure and never less than 40% \( P_{O_2} \) of 280 mm. Hg at the end.

The factors responsible for diminution in central CO₂ sensitivity have not been elucidated. Increased buffering associated with metabolic compensation for raised \( P_{CO_2} \) has been suggested as one factor (Scott, 1920; Tenney, 1954). However, this cannot be the major factor because reduced ventilatory responses to CO₂ are seen in the absence of CO₂ retention and because, even when present, the increased buffering is unable to prevent a considerable rise in arterial \([H^+]\) on acute exposure to CO₂ (Flenley and Millar, 1967). Investigation of this problem at a cellular level has been undertaken by Adler, Roy, and Reiman (1965), who related \([H^+]\) changes within muscle cells \textit{in vitro} to changes in external \( P_{CO_2} \) and bicarbonate. At high extracellular bicarbonate levels, intracellular \([H^+]\) change in response to a given increment in extracellular \( P_{CO_2} \) was certainly less than at normal levels of extracellular bicarbonate, but the effect was small. Neuronal cellular behaviour in the intact subject may, however, be entirely different. Recently measurements of cerebrospinal fluid (C.S.F.) acid-base state have been held to reflect changes in the environment of respiratory centre neurones (Fenc, Miller, and Pappenheimer, 1966). Our measurements of C.S.F. acid-base state in these patients did not suggest any basic difference between the responses of the two clinical extremes to chronic hypercapnia, although no assessment of C.S.F. response to acute hypercapnia was possible (Lane, Howell, and Stretton, in preparation).

Increased buffering has been studied in relation to CO₂ responsiveness in normal human subjects. The administration of oral bicarbonate will raise the threshold for CO₂ stimulation, but the slope of the ventilatory response to CO₂ is unaltered (Katsaros, Losesche, Lerne, Schonthal, and Hahn, 1960). If, on the other hand, normal subjects are exposed to an environment rich in CO₂ for periods of up to three weeks, the slope of the ventilatory response to CO₂ is reduced (Schaefer, 1958). This effect could exaggerate any state of reduced CO₂ sensitivity in the patients studied but would not explain how the mechanism is initiated.

A study of the interaction of CO₂ with other ventilatory control mechanisms may offer clues. Flenley and Millar (1967) examined ventilatory responses to oxygen and carbon dioxide in patients with chronic respiratory failure due to airways obstruction and suggested insensitivity to hypoxia as well as to hypercapnia in some patients. Since hypoxia is normally thought to increase CO₂ sensitivity (Lloyd, Jukes, and Cunningham, 1958), a diminished hypoxic sensitivity may abolish this positive interaction. Jones (1966) also implicated reduced hypoxic sensitivity in bronchitic as opposed to emphysematous patients to explain differences in the behaviour of the two groups when exercised.

The positive interaction of neurogenic factors with CO₂ responsiveness was demonstrated in anaesthetized rabbits by Scott (1908) and in unanaesthetized rabbits by Richardson and Widdicombe (1969). Observations in unanaesthetized human subjects are confined to those on one individual (Guz, Noble, Widdicombe, Trenchard, and Mushin, 1966). Ventilatory response to CO₂ was
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shown to be diminished after local anaesthetic block of the vagus and glossopharyngeal nerves in the neck. These observations suggest that vagal impulses from the lungs are important in the maintenance of CO₂ sensitivity. It is therefore possible that lung damage, such as may occur in severe chronic bronchitis, may interfere with afferent vagal discharges and so diminish central sensitivity to CO₂.

RELATION OF CLINICAL FEATURES TO CO₂ SENSITIVITY The most significant correlation to emerge from the analysis of the clinical features was that between dyspnoea and CO₂ sensitivity. Patients with a grossly diminished CO₂ sensitivity were relatively untroubled by dyspnoea. There seems little doubt that mechanisms exist within the lungs and chest wall which are capable of detecting an added load such as that created by airways obstruction and that such mechanisms contribute towards the sensation of dyspnoea. With any given degree of obstruction, however, the patient will be more or less aware of the added load according to the varying level of ventilatory drive. It appears that in certain patients reduced sensitivity to CO₂ removes such a powerful ventilatory drive that dyspnoea becomes a relatively unimportant symptom. Such patients may well remain in gainful occupation despite a level of airways obstruction represented by an FEV₁/₁₉ of 0·7 to 0·8 litre, whilst others in whom CO₂ sensitivity remains normal are house-bound at a similar level of FEV₁/₁₉. In the latter patients mechanical factors are of great importance. This emerges from the correlation found between the slope of 

\[ \text{W }/\text{V } \]

curves and the level of dyspnoea, which signifies that those patients who worked hardest for a given level of ventilation experienced most dyspnoea. Clearly both the degree of retention of CO₂ sensitivity and the severity of airways obstruction were important factors in the genesis of dyspnoea in this group of patients. Other factors, such as anoxic or neurogenic drives or psychological disturbance, may have played some part in certain patients but would appear not to have been of dominant importance in the group as a whole.

The interplay between mechanical factors and CO₂ sensitivity is also important in determining the resting level of arterial PCO₂ although again other ventilatory drives may also be involved. This has been examined by Lane, Howell, and Giblin (1968), who showed that, in patients with a normal CO₂ sensitivity, resting Paco₂ remained within normal limits down to a level of airways obstruction represented by an FEV₁/₁₉ of about 0·5 litre. By contrast, patients with a greatly diminished CO₂ sensitivity showed a rise in arterial PCO₂ when the FEV₁/₁₉ was of the order of 1·1 to 1·2 litres. In the present study, sufficient of the patients had a similar FEV₁/₁₉ of around 0·6–0·8 litre to allow a positive correlation between CO₂ sensitivity and resting Paco₂ to emerge.

A contrast between patients in terms of dyspnoea and resting Paco₂ begins to suggest the contrast between the 'pink and puffing' emphysematous subject and the 'blue and bloated' bronchitic patient. This comparison is further supported by the significant correlations found between chest radiographic evidence of emphysema and retention of CO₂ sensitivity, and the presence of oedema and diminished CO₂ sensitivity. It would appear, therefore, that at least four of the features listed in the clinically contrasting groups were significantly associated with CO₂ sensitivity. This association was also noted by Lourenço and Miranda (1968) using the diaphragmatic electromyogram as the index of respiratory centre output.

No positive correlation emerged between arterial saturation and CO₂ sensitivity, although polycythaemia, which is generally thought to be secondary to chronic hypoxia, was seen significantly more often in patients with a reduced sensitivity to CO₂. Whilst reduced CO₂ sensitivity is associated with a raised PCO₂ (arterial and alveolar) and therefore a reduced alveolar Po₂ other factors, such as ventilation/perfusion inequalities, determine the alveolar/arterial Po₂ gradient and so the level of arterial oxygenation. The dominant importance of such factors in many patients allows the recognition of that common clinical presentation of a 'blue and puffing' bronchitic. In these instances ventilation/perfusion inequalities contribute towards the desaturation whilst the presence of considerable ventilatory drive causes the dyspnoea. An anoxic drive may well be of importance in these patients.

None of the other clinical features examined correlated with CO₂ sensitivity, and correlations with the slope of the 

\[ \text{W }/\text{V } \]

curve or the ventilatory response to CO₂ were seen only in the instances of dyspnoea and oedema. The correlation between dyspnoea and the 

\[ \text{W }/\text{V } \]

slope has already been discussed. Ventilatory response to CO₂ was positively correlated with dyspnoea. This suggests that in this group of patients diminished respiratory drive was more important in producing the diminished ventilatory response to CO₂ than mechanical factors, since in the latter
instance a reduced ventilatory response would be associated with increased dyspnoea. For oedema the correlation was with the ventilatory response to CO₂: the clinical significance of this finding is not apparent. Clinical features in chronic airways obstruction were also examined by Clark (1968), who found no relation between clinical type, as expressed by the divisions bronchitic, emphysematous, and intermediate (Burrows et al., 1966), and ventilatory response to CO₂.

It is possible from the above analysis to group together five features significantly correlated with diminished CO₂ sensitivity, viz., a relative lack of dyspnoea, oedema, polycythaemia, a raised resting PCO₂ and the absence of emphysema on the chest radiograph. The concurrence of four or five of these features in a patient with severe or moderately severe chronic airways obstruction would constitute good circumstantial evidence for diminished CO₂ sensitivity. The complete clinical picture presented by these patients is, however, determined by a complex interaction of several pathological processes. This study has highlighted the place of one of these processes, CO₂ sensitivity, and indicated the importance of other ventilatory drives, the level of airways obstruction and the degree of ventilation/perfusion equality.

In the aetiology of the distinction between patients who are of the ‘blue and bloated’ bronchitic type rather than of the ‘pink and puffing’ emphysematous type, loss of sensitivity to CO₂ would seem to be one of the more important factors. It seems likely, however, that in individual patients several other processes contribute towards the overall clinical picture, and the investigation of these is likely to lead to a better understanding of the pathophysiology of chronic airways obstruction.
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