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Extraperiosteal plombage with lucite balls is well established as an effective procedure in the surgical treatment of far-advanced pulmonary tuberculosis, particularly when more extensive or resective surgery is contraindicated (Lucas and Cleland, 1950; Wilson, Armada, Vindzberg, and O'Brien, 1956; Young, 1958). Originally, this operation was done in two stages, the first entailing insertion of the spheres, and the second plomb and conversion to thoracoplasty (Woods, Walker, and Schmidt, 1950). The time that elapsed between the two operations varied between three and six months. However, following the favourable reports of Woods and Buente (1953) and Wilson, Armada, O'Brien, and Vindzberg (1955), we decided to omit the second stage in 1955. Our decision was influenced by the clean, uninfected plombage spaces which we found in our first 21 patients, in whom conversion thoracoplasty was routinely done three to four months after insertion of the plomb. In a previous report from this service (Milwidsky and Romanoff, 1956) the early follow-up of patients so treated did not reveal complications and we felt justified in continuing with a one-stage operation. To date, 100 planned one-stage extraperiosteal plombages with lucite balls have been done in our service. However, during the last two years an increasing number of these patients have presented themselves with complications due to the presence of the plomb. This paper summarizes the reports on 18 such patients, two of whom had bilateral space infections (Table I).

COMMENT

Before the plomb was inserted all patients received prolonged antituberculous therapy, including drug treatment, for a period ranging from one to eight years.

All patients were sputum positive at the time of the first operation.

With one exception, the disease was bilateral in all cases.

In no case was apicolysis done.

Every patient continued to receive conservative therapy after the first-stage operation.

DISCUSSION

One hundred planned one-stage extraperiosteal plombage procedures were done in 98 patients, two of whom had bilateral operations. Eighteen patients (including the two who had had the bilateral operation) presented themselves for further surgical treatment because of complications due to the retained plomb. The incidence of complications at present is 20%. We feel that it is probable that had we been able to follow up all our cases an even higher rate of complications would have been found.

Young’s (1958) finding that adequate preoperative antimicrobial treatment prevented space infections is not borne out by our experience. All our patients received prolonged antituberculous therapy for a minimum of one year and often for many years. Despite this our complication rate is 20% compared with Young’s 2½% in those of his patients who had had adequate drug treatment.

We agree with Wilson et al. (1956) that apicolyis should not be attempted in these operations, as it may predispose to infection of the extraperiosteal space. However, omitting apicolyis does not safeguard against later complications which are apparently caused by the presence of the foreign body and the continuous pressure it exerts on the denuded ribs.

There is considerable difference of opinion as to the time interval that should elapse between insertion of the plomb and the manifestation of
### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Name, Age, Sex</th>
<th>Time Interval between Two Operations (Months)</th>
<th>Clinical Presentation of Complications</th>
<th>Findings at Second Operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H.G.S. F. 57 M 35 F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Fluctuant mass, fever, cough</td>
<td>Giant cold abscess, rib necrosis, cracked balls, fractured ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>R.M. 38 M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Symptom free (re-admitted because of non-collapsed cavity underneath plomb)</td>
<td>Cold abscess, fractured ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A.S.S. F. 48 M 37 M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Intractable pain at site of operation</td>
<td>Cold abscess, necrotic fractured ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F.H. 30 F</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Fluctuant mass under scar, pain</td>
<td>Ball in mediastinum, fractured ribs, cracked balls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I.H. 22 F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Discharging sinus</td>
<td>Cold abscess, fractured ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S.I. F. 55 M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Symptom free, fractured ribs and migrated ball detected radiologically</td>
<td>Cold abscess, fractured ribs, broncho-extraperoisteal space communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M.M. F. 35 M</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Haemoptysis, fever, fluctuant mass, pain</td>
<td>Cold abscess, necrosis of ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>T.M. 48 F</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Discharging sinus, fever</td>
<td>Cold abscess, necrotic fractured ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F.A. 31 M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mass under scar</td>
<td>Ball in mediastinum, cracked balls, fractured ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>A.M. 59 M</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Cold abscess, necrosis of ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>B.A. 34 M</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Deterioration in general condition, non-collapsed cavity underneath plomb</td>
<td>Necrotic fractured ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>A.A. 32 F</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Mass under scar, pain, fever</td>
<td>Cold abscess, necrosis of ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>K.Y. 57 M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Symptom free, sputum not converted, persistent cavity</td>
<td>Cold abscess, fractured ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>S.M. 41 M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Haemoptysis and non-conversion of sputum, fractured ribs detected radiologically</td>
<td>Cold abscess, fractured ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>P.B. 27 M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Symptom free, fractured ribs and fluid levels detected radiologically</td>
<td>Necrotic fractured ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16a</td>
<td>S.Z. 47 M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Right side, cold abscess and pain</td>
<td>Cold abscess, fractured ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16b</td>
<td>S.Z. 47 M</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Left side, cold abscess, pain</td>
<td>Cold abscess, fractured ribs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17a</td>
<td>P.A. 59 M</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>General feeling of malaise, right side, fractured ribs and fluid levels detected radiologically</td>
<td>Fractured necrotic ribs, space infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17b</td>
<td>P.A. 59 M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Left side, ditto</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M.Y. 33 M</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Pain, fractured ribs and fluid levels detected radiologically</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*At time of second operation.  
† Died one month after pneumonectomy done at time of conversion operation.

complications. Cleland (1956) reported that most infections occurred within two years of operation. Macarthur (1957), on the other hand, stated that the clinical evidence of infection in the extra-periosteal space occurred after about three years. Our patients presented themselves between 11 months and four years after operation. We therefore assume that there is no set time limit for the appearance of complications.

The most common presenting feature in this series was a fluctuant mass beneath the scar which occurred in eight instances. Six patients, one with bilateral plomb, complained of pain in the region of the operation. Four had fever. Two presented with sinuses from cold abscesses which had ruptured. Five patients were symptom-free, but were readmitted because their sputum had not converted or because routine follow-up radiographs had shown pathological fractures of the ribs.

At operation, the fluctuant masses were found to be cold abscesses (Table I). All patients had avascular necrosis of the ribs. In many extra-periosteal spaces the balls had cracked and contained infected material. In four a ball had migrated either to the axilla or to the mediastinum. In one patient a ball had eroded the underlying lung, causing a broncho-extraperoisteal communication. This was the only patient who developed wound infection following removal of the plomb. One patient, who had bilateral cold abscesses, was found to have tuberculous spread from both extra-periosteal spaces to the axillary and paravertebral areas. He responded very well to bilateral conversion thoracoplasty supplemented by intensive drug therapy. A patient whose sputum was positive due to a large cavity beneath the infected plomb, and in whom pneumoectomy was done at the time of removal of the plomb, succumbed one month after operation because of empyema (Case 11).

When converting to a thoracoplasty we did not find it necessary to remove the first rib as advocated by Macarthur (1957). Except for the patient mentioned above who died, all patients improved after the conversion operation. The post-operative morbidity was low and the wounds healed by first intention.

It is not the aim of this paper to discuss the results of extraperiosteal plombage as regards the underlying lung pathology. However, it should be mentioned that three of these 18 patients must be regarded as failures of collapse therapy, since residual cavities could be demonstrated radiologically beneath the plomb. These three patients
remained sputum positive. Of the remaining 15 patients sputum conversion was achieved in five. The other 10 patients were intermittently positive. All these had bilateral, far-advanced disease, so that the source of the positive sputum may have been the contralateral lung.

**Summary**

Of 100 planned one-stage plombage operations for advanced pulmonary cavitary tuberculosis, 20% presented with late complications necessitating removal of the plomb and "conversion thoracoplasty."

The main complications were space infections and avascular necrosis of the ribs.

In view of these findings we recommend abandoning the planned one-stage procedure and reverting to elective "conversion thoracoplasty" three to six months after insertion of the plomb.

We wish to express our thanks to Professor H. Milwidisky, at whose instigation this study was undertaken, for his helpful criticism and advice.
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