## NORADRENALINE AS A VASOCONSTRICTOR LOCAL ANAESTHESIA FOR THORACOPLASTY

BY

## H. E. BELL

From the Newcastle Regional Surgical Unit

(RECEIVED FOR PUBLICATION OCTOBER 18, 1954)

One of the chief advantages of local anaesthesia in thoracoplasty operations is the small amount of blood lost that accompanies its use, and in the Newcastle Regional Thoracic Surgery Unit (Seaham Hall Hospital) local anaesthesia remains the anaesthetic of choice for the standard two-stage operation. The haemostasis associated with local infiltration anaesthesia is directly due to the added vasoconstrictor drug. Adrenaline, introduced by Braun in 1900, still holds pride of place for this purpose.

During thoracoplasty operations much of the blood is lost during section of the thick muscular layer. In the hope of minimizing this loss it was decided to investigate the effect of noradrenaline in place of adrenaline, for physiologically noradrenaline is a constrictor of muscle vessels while adrenaline is actually a dilator (Barcroft and Swan, 1953). (From intra-arterial infusion experiments, however, adrenaline in high concentration has been shown to cause vasoconstriction in skeletal muscle and this presumably explains any haemostatic effect it has in local anaesthesia (Barcroft and Swan, 1953)). In view of the sole vasoconstrictor action of noradrenaline it was felt reasonable to try its effect in place of adrenaline.

Over 50 first-stage thoracoplasty operations have now been completed using noradrenaline thus, and the resulting diminution in blood loss would appear to merit its continued use. standard local anaesthetic technique for these operations is used, with the substitution of noradrenaline for adrenaline in the solution for infiltrating the muscle layer. A concentration of 1 in 125,000 has been found satisfactory, and in the average case 75 ml. of this solution is used (0.6 mg. noradrenaline base). For the nerve block (brachial plexus and paravertebral) and skin infiltration the use of adrenaline in a concentration of 1 in 400,000 has been continued unaltered, and normally 0.4 mg. adrenaline is used. Noradrenaline is quite inferior to adrenaline as a constrictor of skin vessels, hence nothing is to be gained from its use here (Mayer and Ruben, 1952).

The improved haemostasis is seen typically as (1) decreased oozing and (2) sluggish bleeding

from distinct points. Spouting vessels are not often seen and bleeding points are easily clipped off. The actual number of points does not seem ? to be appreciably diminished. The net result is thus an obvious reduction in blood loss, a point \int\_{N}^{\infty} of considerable importance in as extensive an o operation as thoracoplasty, and a saving in  $\vec{L}$ operation time. In an occasional case, however, possibly from technical reasons, the haemostasis was not quite so satisfactory. No attempt was \( \) made to measure blood loss, as, owing to the many & variable factors such as size, age, sex, muscular on development, blood pressure, etc., a very large number of cases would have been necessary to ₹ make the results statistically acceptable. The crecording of this technique was felt to be justified because of its usefulness in minimizing blood  $^{\circ}$ loss and in reducing operation time.

The effect on the blood pressure was noted carefully. In general there is a slightly greater rise than when adrenaline alone is used, but it was satisfactory to note that this rise was not as great as might have been anticipated considering the 5 amount of noradrenaline used. In the average case with a pre-operative blood pressure of 120/ 75 mm. Hg a peak rise to 135/85 mm. Hg may be expected 15 to 20 minutes after finishing the local anaesthetic procedure. In no case was there any alarming rise and in some there was no rise at all \to from the pre-operative reading. There is a ten-> dency to a more abrupt fall in blood pressure in \( \frac{1}{2} \). the later stages of the operation than where adrenaline alone is used. In the few cases inwhich the blood pressure fell in this way it was  $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ of a temporary nature, and when it occurred the  $^{N}_{L}$ patient sometimes complained of nausea. Thereg were no cases of haemorrhage from the wound following operation and healing was unaffected.

I wish to express my thanks to Mr. S. G. Griffin, U F.R.C.S., for his co-operation, and also to Messrs. Bayer Products, Ltd., for their assistance.

## REFERENCES

Barcroft, H., and Swan, H. J. C. (1953). Sympathetic Control of Human Blood Vessels (Monogr. Physiol. Soc., No. 1), Arnold Mayer, R. A., and Ruben, J. E. (1952). J. Philad. gen. Hosp, 3, 18.