

## **Aetiological role of viral and bacterial infections in acute adult lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI) in primary care.**

Dean D. Creer<sup>a</sup> MRCP  
J Paul Dilworth<sup>a</sup> MA.DM.FRCP  
Stephen H. Gillespie<sup>b</sup> MD.FRCP(Edin) FRCPath  
Alisha R. Johnston<sup>a</sup> MSc  
Sebastian L. Johnston<sup>c</sup> MD.PhD.FRCP  
Clare Ling<sup>b</sup> MSc  
Sushma Patel<sup>b</sup> PhD  
Gwendolyn Sanderson<sup>c</sup>  
Paul G. Wallace<sup>d</sup> FRCGP  
Timothy D. McHugh<sup>b</sup> PhD

<sup>a</sup> Department of Thoracic Medicine, Royal Free and University College Medical School, University College London.

<sup>b</sup> Centre for Medical Microbiology, Royal Free and University College Medical School, University College London.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Respiratory Medicine, National Heart and Lung Institute & Wright Fleming Institute of Infection and Immunity, Imperial College, London.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Primary Care and Population Sciences, Royal Free and University College Medical School, University College London.

Footline: "Respiratory viruses cause acute LRTI" (38 characters)

### **Author/address for correspondence/address for reprints:**

Dr JP Dilworth MA.DM.FRCP.  
Dept. of Thoracic Medicine  
Royal Free Hospital  
Pond Street, Hampstead  
London, NW3 2QG  
United Kingdom  
Tel: 020-7794 0500 Ext: 3084  
Fax: 020-7830 2466  
Email: Paul.Dilworth@royalfree.nhs.uk

## ABSTRACT

**Background** Lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) are a common reason for consulting General Practitioners (GP). The aetiology is unknown in the majority of cases, yet the majority result in antibiotic prescription. We investigated the aetiology of LRTI in a prospective, controlled study.

**Methods** Adults (n=80) presenting to GP's with acute LRTI were recruited with controls (n=49) over 12 months. Throat swabs, nasal aspirates (patients and controls) and sputum (patients) were obtained and PCR and RT-PCR assays used to detect *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, *Legionella pneumophila*, influenza viruses (AH1, AH3 and B), parainfluenza viruses 1-3, coronaviruses, RSV, adenoviruses, rhinoviruses and enteroviruses. Standard sputum bacteriology was also performed. Outcome was recorded at a follow up visit.

**Results** Potential pathogens were identified in 55 LRTI patients (69%) and 7 controls (14%) ( $p < 0.0001$ ). The identification rate was 63% (viruses) and 26% (bacteria) for patients and 12% ( $p < 0.0001$ ) and 6% ( $p = 0.013$ ) for controls. The most common organisms identified were rhinoviruses (33%), influenza viruses (24%) and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (19%) compared with 2% ( $p < 0.001$ ), 6% ( $p = 0.013$ ) and 4% ( $p = 0.034$ ) in controls. Multiple pathogens were identified in 18/80 (22.5%) LRTI patients and 2/49 (4%) controls ( $p = 0.011$ ). Atypical organisms were rarely identified. Cases with bacterial aetiology were clinically indistinguishable from those with viral aetiology.

**Conclusion** Acute adult LRTI presenting to GP's is a predominantly viral illness most commonly caused by rhinoviruses and influenza viruses.

## KEY WORDS

Lower respiratory tract infection, polymerase chain reaction, rhinovirus, influenza virus, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*.

## INTRODUCTION

Respiratory tract infections (RTI) are the most common reason for primary care consultations (1). One-third of all RTI's are lower respiratory tract infections(LRTI) (2) with an incidence of 44-50/1000 (3;4). Most LRTI in primary care in Europe including the UK are treated with antibiotics (2) despite the limited evidence of clinical benefit and the fact overuse of antibiotics is associated with an increasing rate of antibiotic resistance (5).

The aetiology of acute LRTI presenting to primary care physicians is also poorly established due to inadequate patient definition and limited pathogen detection (16-55%; 3,4, 6-8), resulting from a combination of inadequate clinical sampling and pathogen detection methodology, particularly for respiratory viruses. For example, a study using serology for respiratory viruses and atypical bacteria found evidence of infection in only 16% of adults with acute bronchitis (8). Yields of 29% and 40% were achieved when viral culture of nasopharyngeal washings and sputum culture for bacteria was added (6,7). A diagnostic yield of 44% was achieved but required a range of samples including induced sputum, urine, saliva, throat swab and blood for serology) to identify pathogens (4).

Thus, little is known about the aetiology of ambulatory patients with mild or moderate LRTI without evidence of pneumonia. Moreover, none of the previous studies investigating the aetiology of LRTI in primary care have included organism detection in a control population. A comparison of the detection rates of potential viral and bacterial pathogens between patients and matched controls is essential in attributing a pathologic role for the organisms detected. We present the results of a 12 month observational study of the aetiology of LRTI using both culture and nucleic acid amplification techniques for the detection of potential pathogens.

## **METHODS**

The study was approved by the Royal Free NHS Trust local ethics committee. Written consent was obtained from all patients and controls prior to inclusion into the study.

### **Subjects**

Adult patients ( $\geq 18$  years) were recruited from two general practices with a multi-ethnic patient population of 15 000 from social classes one to five. All patients were surgery attendees, no recruitment was undertaken out of hours or on home visits. Acute LRTI were defined as a new or worsening cough and at least one other lower respiratory tract symptom for which there was no other explanation, present for  $\leq 21$  days (3). Patients were excluded if they had underlying chronic suppurative lung disease (defined as bronchiectasis, lung abscess or empyema), tuberculosis, immunodeficiency or previous study participation (3 weeks). Antibiotic prescription, investigation and follow up were at the discretion of the GP. Age, gender and season matched controls were recruited from general practice patients attending for non- respiratory and non-infective illnesses and other healthy volunteers with no history of respiratory tract symptoms for two months prior to recruitment using the same exclusion criteria as patients. Recruitment occurred between May 2000 and April 2001.

### **Study design**

All patients were seen by the study research fellow (DDC) at the initial GP consultation and at a planned visit four weeks later. Demographic and clinical data (duration and nature of symptoms, past medical history, pulse rate, respiratory rate and temperature) and details of the patient's management (antibiotic therapy) were recorded.

### **Specimen collection and processing**

Throat swabs, nasal aspirates and blood for C-reactive protein (CRP, normal range  $<5\text{mg/dl}$ ) (from patients and controls) and sputum (from patients when possible) were collected at the first visit. In those patients who were prescribed antibiotics specimens were obtained before antibiotics were taken.

#### *Samples to be analysed by the viral and atypical bacterial Reverse Transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) panel*

Nasal aspirates were collected, mixed with 4ml of viral transport medium (VTM), aliquotted and stored at  $-70^{\circ}\text{C}$  within 1 hour of sampling (9). When rhinorrhoea was absent or minimal it was induced using a single  $100\mu\text{l}$  nasal spray to each nostril of sterile 8mg/ml histamine (Nova Laboratories Ltd, Leicester, UK) (9). A sputum plug was mixed in 4ml VTM, aliquotted into four sterile RNAase free microtubes (Bioquote Ltd) and stored at  $-70^{\circ}\text{C}$  within 1 hour of sampling.

#### *Samples to be analysed by the bacterial PCR panel and by standard sputum culture*

Sputum was processed on the day of collection and standard Gram's staining and bacterial culture performed. Heat-killed sputum aliquots were stored for PCR at  $-70^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Throat swabs were transported to the laboratory within 24 hours of collection, stored at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  for up to 48 hours and then stored at  $-70^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

### **Sample processing for PCR**

#### **DNA extraction for PCR**

Throat swabs were expressed in 1ml DNase free sterile water. For DNA extraction either  $250\mu\text{l}$  of throat swab diluent or  $250\mu\text{l}$  sputum aliquots were mixed with an equal volume of 10% Chelex (Sigma, UK) and the supernatant removed after centrifugation and used as

the sample template for PCR. DNA was extracted from positive controls (*S. pneumoniae*, *S. aureus*, *H. influenzae*, *M. pneumoniae*, *L. pneumophila*, *M. tuberculosis*, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, group C streptococcus, viridans type streptococci, coagulase negative staphylococcus and candida species) by proteinase K and 10% sarkosyl digestion followed by a standard phenol-chloroform extraction method. The positive control for *C. pneumoniae* was a plasmid containing the *C. pneumoniae* outer membrane protein gene.

#### **RNA extraction for RT-PCR**

RNA extraction from 140µl of sputum and nasal aspirate aliquots and positive controls (influenza viruses AH1, AH3 and B, parainfluenza viruses 1, 2 and 3, rhinovirus 16, coronaviruses 229E and OC43, RSV, adenovirus, *M. pneumoniae* and *C. pneumoniae*) was performed using QIAamp viral RNA mini spin columns following the manufacturers instructions (QIAGEN Ltd, West Sussex, UK).

#### **Reverse transcription**

RNA was reverse transcribed using both random hexamers (Promega, Southampton, UK) and a specific picornavirus (rhinovirus and enterovirus) primers, OL27 (9) (Oswel, Southampton, UK) to produce cDNA representative of all RNA species in the original clinical sample and picornavirus specific cDNA respectively.

#### **PCR Protocols**

The RT-PCR panel was used to identify *M. pneumoniae* (10), *C. pneumoniae* (11), influenza viruses AH1, AH3 and B (12), parainfluenza viruses 1-3 (13), coronaviruses 229E and OC43 (14), RSV A & B (15), adenoviruses (16) and rhinoviruses and enteroviruses (17). The PCR panel was used to identify *L. pneumophila* (18), *M. pneumoniae* (10), *C. pneumoniae* (19) and *S. pneumoniae* using a novel PCR. This protocol was designed and optimised to target the pneumolysin gene using TGTTGAGACTAAGGTTACAGCT (PNL1) and ACCTGAGGATAGAGAGTTGTTTC (PNL2) primers. Positive and negative controls were included in each series of up to 20 samples.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Statistical significance was assessed using the  $\chi^2$  test for categorical variables and the Mann-Whitney U test and Student's *t* test for non-parametric and parametric continuous variables respectively using GB-STAT™ statistics software (Dynamic Microsystems, Inc, Silver Spring, USA). A p-value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Data are presented as mean and standard deviations unless otherwise stated.

#### **RESULTS**

A total of 80 patients with acute LRTI and 49 controls were recruited during the 12 month study period. Recruited LRTI patients were representative of the adult LRTI population (Table 1), as both groups had similar age, percent female, re-consultation rates and GP antibiotic prescription rates. Smoking history and a history of obstructive lung disease were less common in the total LRTI population compared to the recruited LRTI patients. A comparison found (Table 1) no significant differences between age, percent female and smoking histories ( $p>0.05$ ), however a history of obstructive lung disease was less common amongst controls ( $p=0.02$ ). Throat swabs were collected from all patients and controls and nasal aspirates from 77 (96%) and 48 (98%) respectively. Sputum was collected from 60 (75%) patients. No patient entered the study on a second occasion.

**Table 1: Demographic details of the general LRTI population, LRTI patients and controls**

	Total LRTI Population (n=368)	Recruited LRTI Patients (n=80)	Controls (n=49)	P (recruited LRTI vs controls)
Percent female	63	63.8	63.3	0.894
Age(mean,(SD))	52.1 (19.8)	49.9 (19.7)	49.7 (17.3)	0.91
Age(range)	18-97	18-90	22-83	Not applicable
Smokers	30%	53.2%	46.9%	0.516
History of asthma/COPD	21%	44.9%	22.4%	0.0178
Antibiotic Prescription	64%	64%	Not applicable	Not applicable
Re-consultation rate	30%	33%	Not applicable	Not applicable

### **Clinical features of recruited acute adult community-acquired LRTI and controls**

There were no significant differences between recruited LRTI patients and controls in temperature ( $p=0.01$ ), but respiratory rates, pulse rates and CRP levels were significantly higher in patients ( $p<0.001$ ) (Table 2). At presentation patients had been ill (duration of illness) for 8.7 (SD; 5.5) days. Symptoms were recorded in decreasing frequency as follows: cough (100%), discoloured sputum (83%), upper respiratory tract symptoms (81%), breathlessness (77%), wheeze (71%), sweating (69%), headache (69%), fever (60%), chest pain (56%), myalgia (50%) and haemoptysis (9%).

**Table 2: Clinical features of LRTi patients and controls**

	<b>LRTi mean (SD) n = 80</b>	<b>Controls mean (SD) n = 49</b>	<b>Mean Difference mean, Upper / Lower CI</b>	<b>P value</b>
<b>Respiratory rate</b>	20 (4.99)	14.7 (3.32)	-5.24 -6.84 to -3.65	<0.0001
<b>Pulse rate</b>	81.1 (11.6)	73.1 (11.2)	-8.09 -12.21 to -3.97	<0.001
<b>Temperature °C</b>	36.4 (0.52)	36.2 (0.47)	-0.23 -0.41 to -0.05	0.01
<b>CRP</b>	19.3 (27.8)	3.2 (2.8)	-16.1 -24.63 to -7.55	<0.001

### **Antibiotic prescription for LRTI**

Antibiotics were prescribed to 64% of the recruited patients (Table 1). A second course of antibiotics was prescribed to 40% of the recruited LRTI patients who re-consulted within the 4 weeks prior to the second study visit.

### **Aetiology of acute adult community-acquired LRTI**

At least one organism was identified in 55 LRTI patients (69%) compared to 7 controls (14%) ( $p < 0.0001$ ). A total of 79 organisms (57 viruses, 22 bacteria) were identified in LRTI patients compared to a total of 9 organisms (6 viruses, 3 bacteria) in controls ( $p < 0.0001$ ) (Table 3). The overall identification rates for the patient population were 63% for viruses and 26% for bacteria and 12% ( $p < 0.0001$ ) and 6% ( $p = 0.013$ ) respectively for the control population, demonstrating the underlying carriage rates for these groups of organisms.

The most common viruses identified in LRTI were rhinoviruses in 33% and influenza viruses in 24% compared with 2% ( $p < 0.001$ ) and 6% ( $p = 0.013$ ) in controls respectively. The pneumolysin gene PCR detected *S. pneumoniae* in 15 LRTI patients (including the one patient who was *S. pneumoniae* sputum culture positive) and 2 controls ( $p = 0.03$ ). Sputum bacterial culture detected 7 organisms (5 *H. influenzae*, 1 *M. catarrhalis* and 1 *S. pneumoniae*).

Multiple organisms were identified in 18/80 (22.5%) LRTI patients and 2/49 (4%) controls ( $p = 0.011$ ). Influenza viruses were the most common virus type associated with mixed viral and bacterial infection (10/15) followed by rhinoviruses (8/15). Mixed viruses were detected in 8 patients, most commonly rhinovirus and influenza virus (7/8). Mixed bacterial infection was found in one patient with an associated mixed viral infection (*H. influenzae*, *S. pneumoniae*, coronavirus and rhinovirus). Four patients had 3 organisms identified (3 with *S. pneumoniae*, influenza virus and rhinovirus, 1 with *M. pneumoniae*, influenza virus and rhinovirus). Only 6/21 LRTI patients with bacteria detected had isolated bacterial infection (3 *S. pneumoniae*, 2 *H. influenzae* and 1 *M. catarrhalis*).

**Table 3 Organisms detected in LRTi study patients and controls**

Organism	Patients N=80	Control N=49	p-value
<b>Overall detection rate</b>	<b>55 (69%)</b>	<b>7 (14%)</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
No. of Organisms detected	79	9	<0.001
<b>Viral detection rate</b>	<b>49(63%)</b>	<b>6 (12%)</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
No. of viruses detected	57	6	<0.001
Rhinoviruses	26 (33%)	1	<0.001
Influenza viruses	19 (24%)	3	0.019
Coronaviruses	5	2	0.873
Parainfluenza viruses	3	0	0.441
RSV 2 0 0.691	2	0	0.691
Enteroviruses	2	0	0.691
<b>Bacterial detection rate</b>	<b>21 (26%)</b>	<b>3 (6%)</b>	<b>0.013</b>
No. of bacteria detected	22	3	0.006
<i>S.pneumoniae</i>	15 (19%)	2 (4%)	0.034
<i>H.influenzae</i>	5	0	0.189
<i>M.catarrhalis</i>	1	0	0.804
<i>M.pneumoniae</i>	1	0	0.804
<i>C.pneumoniae</i>	1	0	0.804
<i>L.pneumophila</i>	0	0	-

## DISCUSSION

In this 12 month observational study we employed nucleic acid amplification assays to detect both bacteria and viruses in acute adult LRTI in primary care, we demonstrate that the majority of mild LRTI's have a viral aetiology, that mixed viral/bacterial aetiology is also common and that those with a bacterial aetiology alone are not clinically distinguishable from viral LRTI's. A low rate of recruitment led to a small study size, this was limited by the requirement to meet with a second physician (the Study Clinical Fellow) after the initial consultation with their GP. However, the study population was representative of the LRTI population presenting to the recruiting GPs. In particular there were no significant difference in age, the predominance of female consultations, prescription rate or re-consultation rate observed (Table 1). The characteristics of the patients in this study are also similar to recent studies performed in the UK which have reported the a higher proportion of females, high prescription and re-consultation rates (3). Lower rates of smoking and obstructive lung disease in the entire LRTI population compared with the study population were noted and may be the result of under reporting of smoking and obstructive lung disease in the GP records. The LRTI incidence of 37/1000 adult population per year in this study is also comparable to the rate of 44/1000 recently reported (3), with the slightly lower rate coinciding with the reported low consultation levels for acute bronchitis and acute respiratory illness for England during the 2000/2001 season (20).

In this study an organism was detected in 69% of acute adult moderate LRTI's and was achieved by incorporation of PCR assays for the most common respiratory viruses and bacteria, and also by collection of specimens from throat swabs, nasal aspirates as well as sputum. At the time of the study PCR assays were not available for *H. influenzae* or *M. catarrhalis* in our laboratory and addition of these may have further improved the rate of detection of pathogens. Evenso, this detection rate is considerably higher than previous studies (16% (8) and 55%(4)). In the present study respiratory viruses were the most common cause of acute adult LRTI, occurring in 63% of patients, bacteria were detected in 26% .

Previous studies have reported rates of virus detection from 9-31% (4,6,7,8) in acute adult LRTI using standard. The studies with higher detection rates relied heavily on serology for virus detection and these data could be interpreted as suggesting that viruses are not important causes of acute adult LRTI either. However serological detection for rhinoviruses and coronaviruses is not widely used and viral culture is very insensitive. In this study viruses were detected in 63% of patients using combined nasal aspiration and sputum sampling where available. The most common viruses were rhinoviruses (33% of patients) followed by influenza viruses (24%) and coronaviruses (6%). In contrast a previous study used throat swabs alone (3) achieving a total virus detection rate of 19%, the 3 most common viruses being influenza, coronaviruses and rhinoviruses. Both the current study and the study by Macfarlane (3) used PCR assays for virus detection suggesting the differences in viral detection rates may be due to the addition of nasal aspirates and sputum for virus PCR in our study. This interpretation is supported by previous studies in which nasal aspirates and sputum were used successfully with detection rates of 76-85% (21,22).

Bacteria were detected in 26% of patients and the distribution of organisms corroborates data from previous studies in which bacterial detection varied between 6-26% (3,6,7), indicating that direct detection of bacterial infection in acute adult LRTI in primary care is relatively uncommon. A bacterial yield of 44% was obtained in a primary care study of patients with LRTI treated with antibiotics using a combination of sputum culture (induced if necessary) and serology (4), *H. influenzae* (8%) was cultured from sputum more commonly than *S. pneumoniae* (6%) as it was in our study. However, the majority of bacterial infections and particularly *S. pneumoniae* (30%) were identified by serology and not by direct detection (4). The present study directly detected only a single case of *M. pneumoniae*, in comparison, the study by MacFarlane et al (3) which reported the highest yield of infectious agents in adult LRTI in primary care so far (55%), reported that infection with atypical pathogens (predominantly *C. pneumoniae*) was inferred by serology in 25% of patients. PCR did not yield any positive samples in the study by MacFarlane et al.. This difference may be due to recruitment occurring over different seasons or that the discrepancy between the serology and PCR results in MacFarlane et al study might result from use of throat swabs for the detection of these organisms by PCR. In our study PCR in throat swabs, nasal aspirates and sputum were used with concordant results and *M. pneumoniae* was detected in a single patient. Other organisms were identified suggesting there were no significant inhibitors of PCR present in the assays. These data suggest that in contrast to the previous study employing serology (3), in the season studied, *M. pneumoniae* and *C. pneumoniae* were an uncommon cause of acute LRTI in adults.

Co-infection with more than one organism was found in 22.5% (18/80) of LRTI patients, and the majority of these were mixed bacteria/viral infections (15/18, 83%). Co-infection rates of 25% (3) and 32% (4) have been reported in previous LRTI studies. The clinical features and outcome of those with isolated bacterial infection was not significantly different to those with isolated viral infection. Hence, there is no clinically useful way to identify those patients with bacterial infection from those with viral infection and thus no clinical way to guide antibiotic treatment. Nevertheless the prescription rate in the present study was 64%, the same as the prescription rate for adult LRTI patients not recruited into the study and similar to the rate reported elsewhere for LRTI in primary care recently (3).

This is the first study of LRTI in primary care to compare patients with a matched control population, permitting aetiological relationships to be inferred. This is particularly important in studies using sensitive methods of detection such as PCR and inclusion of controls would have aided interpretation of the previous studies that relied heavily on serology for diagnosis. In the present study controls were well matched for age, percent female and smoking history. A history of obstructive lung disease was significantly more common amongst recruited LRTI patients compared to controls. The significantly higher respiratory rate and CRP levels in patients compared to controls suggests the likelihood of patients having an infective respiratory illness ( $p < 0.001$ ). The significantly higher identification rates in the patient population (69%) compared to the controls (14%),

p<0.001) and the similarity of our detection rate in matched controls to previous reports (23), provides confirmation of the causative role of the vast majority of the pathogens detected in this study.

In summary we have demonstrated that LRTI in primary care is predominantly a viral illness with a high rate of antibiotic prescription that is unrelated to bacterial aetiology. Infection with atypical bacterial pathogens was negligible.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We would like to thank the General Practitioners and Nurse Practitioners who helped with recruitment and specimen collection at both the Hampstead Group Practice and Lawrence House Surgeries. Additional thanks go to Dr Richard Morris, Royal Free and University College Medical School, for help with the statistics and to Mike Edwards for help with the picornavirus digests. The laboratory work could not have been achieved without the meticulous sample processing and archiving by staff members of the Department of Medical Microbiology, Royal Free Hospital. Conflict of interest: none The study received funding and support from the North Central Thames Primary Care Research Network (NoCTeN) and Royal Free NHS Trust Collaborative Project Grant. GS was supported by a British Lung Foundation/Severin Wunderman Family Foundation Lung Research Programme Grant awarded to SLJ.

## REFERENCES

1. Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. Morbidity statistics from general practice. 4th national study, 1991-1992. Series MB5,3. London: HMSO, 1995.
2. Huchon G, Woodhead M, Gialdroni-Grassi G, Leophonte P, Manresa F, Schaberg T, et al. Management of adult community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections. *European Respiratory Review* 1998;8:389-426.
3. Macfarlane J, Holmes W, Gard P, Macfarlane R, Rose D, Weston V, et al. Prospective study of the incidence, aetiology and outcome of adult lower respiratory tract illness in the community. *Thorax* 2001;56:109-14.
4. Macfarlane JT, Colville A, Guion A, Macfarlane RM, Rose DH. Prospective study of aetiology and outcome of adult lower-respiratory-tract infections in the community. *Lancet* 1993;341:511-4.
5. Standing Medical Advisory Committee Subgroup on Antimicrobial Resistance. The path of least resistance. London, Department of Health, 1998.
6. Boldy DAR, Skidmore SJ, Ayres JG. Acute bronchitis in the community: clinical features, infective factors, changes in pulmonary function and bronchial reactivity to histamine. *Respiratory Medicine* 1990;84:377-85.
7. Melbye H, Berdal BP. Akutt bronkitt hos voksne. Kliniske funn, mikroorganismer og bruk av antibiotika [Acute bronchitis in adults. Clinical findings, microbes and antibacterial treatment]. *Tidsskrift Den Norske Laegeforening* 1994;114:814-17.
8. Jonsson JS, Sigurdsson JA, Kristinsson KG, Guthnadottir M, Magnusson S. Acute bronchitis in adults. How close do we come to its aetiology in general practice? *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care* 1997;15:156-60.
9. Johnston SL, Sanderson G, Pattemore PK, Smith S, Bardin PG, Bruce CB, et al. Use of polymerase chain reaction for diagnosis of picornavirus infection in subjects with and without respiratory symptoms. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 1993;31:111-7.
10. Ieven M, Ursi D, Van Bever H, Quint W, Niesters HG, Goossens H. Detection of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* by two polymerase chain reactions and role of *M. pneumoniae* in acute respiratory tract infections in pediatric patients. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1996;173:1445-52.
11. Cunningham AF, Johnston SL, Julious SA, Lampe FC, Ward ME. Chronic *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection and asthma exacerbations in children. *European Respiratory Journal* 1998;11:345-9.
12. Ellis JS, Fleming DM, Zambon MC. Multiplex reverse transcription-PCR for surveillance of influenza A and B viruses in England and Wales in 1995 and 1996. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 1997;35:2076-82.
13. Corne JM, Green S, Sanderson G, Caul EO, Johnston SL. A multiplex RT-PCR for the detection of parainfluenza viruses 1-3 in clinical samples. *Journal of Virological Methods* 1999;82:9-18.
14. Myint S, Johnston S, Sanderson G, Simpson H. Evaluation of nested polymerase chain methods for the detection of human coronaviruses 229E and OC43. *Molecular & Cellular Probes* 1994;8:357-64.
15. O'Donnell DR, McGarvey MJ, Tully JM, Balfour-Lynn IM, Openshaw PJ. Respiratory syncytial virus RNA in cells from the peripheral blood during acute infection. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1998;133:272-4.

16. Hierholzer JC, Halonen PE, Dahlen PO, Bingham PG, McDonough MM. Detection of adenovirus in clinical specimens by polymerase chain reaction and liquid-phase hybridisation quantitated by time-resolved fluorometry. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 1993;31:1886-91.
17. Papadopoulos NG, Hunter J, Sanderson G, Meyer J, Johnston SL. Rhinovirus identification by BglII digestion of picornavirus RT-PCR amplicons. *Journal of Virological Methods* 1999;80:179-85.
18. Jaulhac B, Nowicki M, Bornstein N, Meunier O, Prevost G, Piemont Y et al. Detection of *Legionella spp.* in Bronchoalveolar Lavage Fluids by DNA Amplification. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 1992;30:920-4.
19. Campbell LA, Melgosa MP, Hamilton DJ, Kuo C-C, Grayston JT. Detection of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* by polymerase chain reaction. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 1992;30:434-9.
20. Goddard, Nichola. Summary of activity for 2000/01 season. PHLS Weekly Influenza Report (UK Surveillance of influenza and other seasonal respiratory illness). 2001.
21. Johnston SL, Pattemore PK, Sanderson G, Smith S, Lampe F, Josephs L, et al. Community study of role of viral infections in exacerbations of asthma in 9-11 year old children. *BMJ* 1995;310:1225-9.
22. Wark PA, Johnston SL, Moric I, Simpson JL, Hensley MJ, Gibson PG. Neutrophil degranulation and cell lysis is associated with clinical severity in virus-induced asthma. *European Respiratory Journal* 2002;19:68-75.
23. Fransen H, Wolontis S. Infections with viruses, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* and bacteria in acute respiratory illness. A study of hospitalized patients, patients treated at home, and healthy subjects. *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1969;1:31-7.

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and its Licensees to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in Thorax editions and any other BMJPG products to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence (<http://thorax.bmjournals.com/misc/ifora/licenceform.shtml>).