Author's reply

The commentary by Connell *et al*[1] on the data presented in our paper[2] is a welcome contribution to the debate on the most appropriate method for demonstrating latent tuberculosis (TB) infection in refugee children. Although a comparison of the performance of interferon-γ release assays (IGRAs) with tuberculin skin tests (TSTs) was not the primary aim of our study, the data do allow us to make observations on this topic. We have reassessed our data on the effect of previous BCG immunisation on IGRA and TST positivity (see table 3) and suggest that the very similar ORs for IGRAs and TST might reflect the adjustment of the cut-off point for a positive TST where we had added 5 mm for children under the age of 5 years with a history of previous BCG immunisation (see Methods section). It has been shown that BCG immunisation affects TST reactivity predominantly in children of this age.[3] When our data analysis was restricted to include only children aged <5 years, the OR for a positive TST in BCG-immunised children was 3.2 (p=0.1) when the adjusted cut-off was used and 5.1 (p=0.04) when a cut-off of 10 mm was used. We are grateful to Connell *et al* for emphasising that the antigens used in both types of IGRA are also expressed by a small number of non-tuberculous mycobacteria and therefore can only be regarded as predominantly *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*-specific, as indicated in the Introduction to our paper.

We do not agree that our data 'suggest TST may have had superior sensitivity to either of the two IGRAs in household TB contacts (ie, those at highest risk of latent tuberculosis infection)'. By reference to the data in figure 3 of our paper, it can be seen that five of six children (83%) with household TB contact who had a positive TST in association with neither IGRA being positive had received BCG immunisation. Furthermore, we had adjusted the cut-off point for TST positivity by subtracting 5 mm for children with household contact; re-analysis of the data using a cut-off point of 10 mm for all children decreased the OR for a positive result to 2.1 (p=0.1). It is therefore not unlikely that the higher rate of TST positivity in this subgroup reflected previous BCG immunisation rather than false negative IGRA results. With reference to the data in table 1 of Connell *et al*, we note that the percentage of children without an interpretable result is particularly inflated by the large number of younger children enrolled in the study. This is evident by the breakdown according to age group in table 1 (with the single HIV case omitted). It should also be noted that the failed phlebotomy numbers are further skewed for QuantiFERON-TB gold in tube (QFT-GIT) as, for most of the study, preference was given to attempting the T-SPOT.TB in cases of limited blood volume.

Connell *et al* did not comment on our finding that an inconclusive test result with one IGRA was usually associated with a valid result for the other IGRA. It is for that reason that we suggested initial testing with an IGRA rather than TST, and testing with the alternative IGRA when the first IGRA gives an inconclusive result. Furthermore, our data suggest that choosing the first-line IGRA according to patient demographic/clinical considerations will minimise the need for repeat testing. This strategy will only be possible when both IGRAs are available, but we believe it has the potential to be more cost-effective and convenient for both children and their families than a primarily TST-based screening approach, particularly in children from refugee families.

Michaela Lucas,[1] Pam Nicol,[2] Elizabeth McKinnon,[3] Rebecca Whidborne,[1] Andrew Lucas,[3] Aesen Thambiran,[4] David Burgner,[5] Justin Waring,[6] Martyn French[7]

[1]Department of Clinical Immunology, Royal Perth Hospital and Pathwest Laboratory Medicine, Perth, Western Australia; [2]School of Paediatrics and Child Health, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia; [3]Centre for Clinical Immunology and Biomedical Statistics, Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia; [4]Migrant Health Unit, North Metropolitan Area Health Service, Perth, Western Australia; [5]Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; [6]TB Control Program, North Metropolitan Area Health Service, Perth, Western Australia; [7]School of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia

Table 1 Re-analysis of IGRA results by age categories

	0–2 years (N=70)	3–4 years (N=110)	5–16 years (N=343)
T-SPOT.TB			
Insufficient blood volume	21	16	9
Test failed	9	16	32
Indeterminate results	1	0	7
Total N (%) without interpretable result	31 (44%)	32 (29%)	48 (14%)
QFT-GIT			
Insufficient blood volume	26	23	14
Test failed	0	0	0
Indeterminate results	7	16	47
Total N (%) without interpretable result	33 (47%)	39 (35%)	61 (18%)

Correspondence to Michaela Lucas, Royal Perth Hospital and Pathwest Laboratory Med, Wellington Street, Perth, 6000 Australia; michaela.lucas@health.wa.gov.au

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

Accepted 24 August 2010
Published Online First 21 October 2010

Thorax 2011;**66**:265. doi:10.1136/thx.2010.149732

REFERENCES

1. **Connell TG,** Tebruegge M, Ritz N, *et al*. The potential danger of a solely interferon-g release assay-based approach to testing for latent Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in children. *Thorax* 2011;**66**:263–4.
2. **Lucas M,** Nicol P, McKinnon E, *et al*. A prospective large-scale study of methods for the detection of latent Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in refugee children. *Thorax* 2010;**65**:442–8.
3. **Chan PC,** Chang LY, Wu YC, *et al*. Age-specific cut-offs for the tuberculin skin test to detect latent tuberculosis in BCG-vaccinated children. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2008;**12**:1401–6.

Indices of bronchial reactivity and sensitivity

Cisneros *et al*[1] report associations between scores on the Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) and three indices of bronchial reactivity (dose–response slope (DRS), continuous index of responsiveness (CIR) and bronchial reactivity index (BRI)), which they suggest are qualitatively different from sensitivity, measured by $PD_{20}FEV_1$. This conclusion is questionable.

First, there are no meaningful differences between DRS, CIR and BRI. All are calculated using the final percentage fall in the forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV_1) and final cumulative dose. The only difference between them is the mathematical transformation applied to the data. Any differences in the associations between AQLQ and DRS, CIR or BRI can only be due to differences in the shape or linearity of the mathematical functions describing their relationships with AQLQ.

Secondly, the information provided by PD_{20} is not qualitatively different from that provided by DRS. PD_{20} is calculated by interpolation from standard dose–response curves plotted on a semi-log scale. The same data plotted on a linear dose axis appear as a straight line, the slope of which is the DRS. In subjects with a PD_{20}, there is a close correlation between DRS and PD_{20}.[2] Figure 1 shows the relationship between $\log PD_{20}$ and logDRS ($r=-0.97$, $p<0.0001$) in 41 subjects with asthma with airway hyper-responsiveness (AHR) to histamine, from a clinical trial in our laboratory.[3] Cisneros *et al*[1] do not state the correlation between PD_{20} and their indices of 'reactivity', but a close correlation would argue against any meaningful differences in the interpretation of PD_{20} and 'reactivity'.